

Tess, Guadalupe

So far we have covered Acts 27 in 3 presentations where we laid out the history of both ships. This study then took us is to a study of the king of the South. We drew some other lessons, we talked about the 5th line, judgment on the structures, or the institutions. We're going to come back to specific points of that study, particularly 1863, where everything goes wrong. But the real purpose was to head into a study of the king of the south. So we took the number of 273, and we began to study the south. It took us to the history of Pyrrhus, and that was what our subject matter was in our last study.









In Elder Parminder's class, he has been expanding on the concept of an alpha and omega, how we construct those. We are already familiar with them just in our study of Millerite history. Even on that level, I think everyone understands that we are repeating Millerite history. But to say that it's a repeat, and to leave it at that level, it becomes a little too simple and the problem with too simple, is you can draw some wrong conclusions. So we are going to cover some Millerite history and explain that concept.

But to remind us we have a history of failure, and a history of success. And this is one pattern, by bringing it into God's people, it would say beginning and end of Ancient Israel. Here we could say the beginning and the end of the king of the South. But it's the same concept. And then we come into this history and it is the beginning and the end for the king of the South, but on another level, another perspective. WW2 and our history, USSR and Russia against Germany and the USA.

The Papacy was involved in the history of WW2, and it's involved in our history. We laid it out in this manner to make a specific point. When we come to parable teaching, we take a story, and line it up with another story and we compare and contrast and that's what we've done. So we've compared them and we are going to learn from each one of these battles. Ipsus, is going to teach us of 2016. Heraclea is going to teach us of 2018.

Aug 1940, is going to teach us of 2018. But we can't just take Aug 1940 as a way mark and drop it into our history. The reason why we can't do that is because this is in the history of failure. You can see that with Panium, we can not take this dynamic of the KS coming against the KN, and the KS winning and drop that into Panium. And Why can't we do that? Because one is success and one is failure. And we know at Panium the KN wins, not the KS. So when we study 2018, which we will do, we need to remember this concept. Lines of success and failure.

When we study Aug 1940, it's still going to teach us that there are some things that need to change. The KS is the initiator of this conflict and in our line we're to look for the conflict begun by the KN. And then at the end instead of looking for a victory of the KN, we have to look for a victory of the KS. So we have to change these concepts. And that's how we are going to study the KoS. But we need to take these lessons, and not say that's all well and good, but rather learn from them. Because there's people in the movement, taking Millerite history, taking a way mark and just dropping them wholesale into our history. And if people are not aware of what they're doing and the rules they're breaking, it looks deceptively accurate, and I would suggest it's dangerous. We can't drop them from failure to success. We're going to discuss Millerite history another time.

KS histories	Ipsus E	Heraclea E	Asculum E	275 Benevetum E
Pyrrhus  Failure	Ipsus KN & KS 	Thessaly KS → KN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Epirus KN → KS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Macedonia KS → KN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Pyrrhus  Success	X	Heraclea KN → KS 	Asculum KS → KN 	Beneventum KS → KN 
USSR  Failure	Poland KN & KS	August 1940 KS → KN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Barbarosa KN → KS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Germany KS → KN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Russia  Success	KN & KS	1 KN → KS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 KS → KN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 KS → KN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	2016	2018	2019	Panium


Tess, Guadalupe

It becomes a little bit more complicated to know now where to approach this subject, because every thing becomes connected. Any subject we pick is inter-connected with one another. So we'll introduce this thought by considering another rule, and that is connecting our waymarks.


If we were to go to Millerite history and we were to consider 1844, we would consider Apr 19, we talk about July 21, we would talk about, Aug 1, Aug 15, and Oct 22, 1844. These are waymarks in Millerite history. And what did the Millerites need to do to accurately to go through this history? To navigate it safely, they had to go through each waymark following the growth and development of an internal message. They had to walk in step with that message as it grew and developed. So there is an internal message inside the movement that they must walk in step with to go through safely. If we were to take that to our reform line there is a difference. We come to Apr 19 is 2001, July 21 is 2014, Aug 1 is 2016, Aug 15 is 2018, and Oct 22 is 2019. Even if you are not entirely sure how we come to those years, I just want to state it now to make a point.

In 2001, what must have you to successively pass that way mark? There's an internal message developing in this time period, but that isn't enough. With Millerite history it's internal growth of the message. But when you come to 2001, what did you need to see? It wasn't just an internal message, now you need to see external events connected to that internal message. So you need to see 2001 were going to have a message about the woes developed. There's going to be more of an increase of knowledge, but you needed to see an external event: 9/11. 2014 S/L. There's an internal message that we must walk in step with, there's external events that we also must connect to it. 2016, there's an internal message on the KoS, but there is an external event in the US. Trump's election, and we must connect the internal with the external. 2018, we have a battle Heraclea, it connects to our internal message in 2019. Our Oct 22/44 is connected to external events.

So compared to Millerite history, our reform line has another layer, and that is these external events, that we must connect to come to 2019 and accurately predict what is going to look like. What we need to do to predict it, is make sure that these external events are being accurately traced. You can not accept 2014 if you haven't seen 2001, so what is required of you is to take these external events and connect them. They must tell a story, development. I'm going to explain what this looks like.




Tess, Guadalupe



We come to this history, 2016 and forward, and what people are saying, what they begin to understand, is that the KoS is coming back into history and there is going to be two battles: Raphia, and Panium. People understand there is going to be two battles in our history, and then they start to consider what this is going to look like. So they are studying ancient battles and warfare, and they bring that into our history and they expect a hot war. People start buying up food, they move into the country if they are not already there, preparing to lose their jobs, we're planning for people being forced into the army. There's going to be this massive war between the Russia and the USA and they are expecting all of that to start at Raphia. They don't have a date yet, but they're expecting this event. I would suggest why we did that is because we didn't connect our waymarks.

People did not watch the relationship develop between Putin and the West from his election in 1999, they did not connect those waymarks and see what was actually occurring. 2014 and 2016, gave us all we needed to know about what that war looked like. But people were not following the external events, accurately. So they cut this rope and ignored what it looked like in this history, and started expecting some type of nuclear strike. What we need to do is connect our waymarks, and go back the TOE 1989, to 1991. We teach in this movement, every thing that we need to know is encapsulated in this time period. That this increase of knowledge is everything that we expand on or add to, as this internal message is developed. What we haven't done is take that concept to the external events. We take 1989 to 1991, take it back to Millerite history 1798 to 1818, there's increase of knowledge. And what is WM saying? in 1818, he says that in about 25 years, Christ is going to come back. And then all they do after 1818, is begin to share and add to that original message. But everything for that message is there in the beginning. He has done his study. Now it just gets expanded. So 1989 to 1991, the study of Dan 11:40, and every thing that were looking at in these presentations, the KoS, is just in that one verse. Because we are living in that verse and still walking through Dan 11:40, and the internal message is encapsulated in that increase of knowledge. So this is the story of our increase of knowledge. TOE.

Tess, Guadalupe




When we come to external events, and we want to know what these battles look like, what much of this looks like, we need to consider the external. And in 1989, a lot more happened than the fall of the Berlin wall. So much happened in that year, that the Time Magazine, 20 years later wrote a book about 1989 listing everything that happened in that year. They recognized that it was a turning point in history. The fall of the Berlin wall was just a couple of pages in that book because there is a lot more we can trace back connect to 1989.

If we are going to consider war between the KoS and the KoN, we want to know what that looks like. We need to take our thread back it into these histories. We won't go through that in these studies, but its worth looking at to consider the cold war, go back before 1989. Just one thing about the cold war, why was it a cold war? They came up with the acronym, MAD. "Mutually Assured Destruction". The point behind this phrase is to say that it would be mad for there to be a hot war between the KoN and the KoS, because for the US to attack the USSR, or the USSR to attack the US, would be mutually assured destruction. If the USA attack's the USSR with a nuclear weapon, before that bomb hit, the USSR would release their own, and both countries would be decimated. So to strike your enemy was an act of suicide and they called that MAD, and that is the 46 year cold war.

So coming to our time, in that dynamic changed at all? No, we don't talk about it like they did in the Cold war, but that dynamic has not changed. So what they had to do is develop a new mode of warfare. We come to 1989, to 91, and now there is a new problem for the KoS. After the 1991, it goes from a communist economy to a western style capitalist economy. All of the government owned infrastructure is sold at an extremely cheap rate and its snapped up by Oligarchs. A hand full of men fight over these cheap state owned business, they kill each other over them until just a few men hold the important infrastructures inside Russia: their gas companies, the electricity, all of these institutions that used to be run by the state. They very quickly become billionaires, they become Russia's Oligarchs. And these are some of the primary men who now do the bidding of Putin, do his will. He works through his oligarchs more so than he would work through his government.

Tess, Guadalupe



These billionaires know that both their wealth and the life depend on keeping the president happy. But they weren't able to take up all that money. And much of that money as they business were sold off, hemorrhaged out of Russia, into the west. And now a large part of this Russian money is invested in the West. A lot of it's in London, with explains a little bit about the politics between the two countries, there's also a lot of it in the US. And now the west has another way to control Russia. If Putin was to go to war with the west, it would be mutually assured destruction, but now it gets worse for Russia, because now it is Mutually assured Economic Destruction.


**MAD
Mutual
Assured
"Economic"
Destruction**

We all know that if the American economy is not doing well, the whole world suffers. But now if the American Economy is not doing well after 1991, that the KoS will also suffer. So now there are two incentives, two reasons, for Putin to not engage the west in a hot war and he needs to defeat his enemy without an open strike or damaging their economy. This requires a clever approach, and Putin is one of the smartest world leaders alive. He's been very clever through this history.

In 1989 something new is developed and this is known as the Internet's Big Bang, the WWW world Wide web. Prior to the WWW's invention the internet was not so useful, you could not put out or access the information, it was not such a useful tool for either accessing or disseminating information. But in 1989 a man in named in Tim Burners Lee fixes this problem. He writes a paper titled Information Management, a proposal. It's all about how to put out and access information on the internet. And this is one of the things that Time Magazine highlighted, they called it the Internet's Big Bang. In 1990, he created the first web browser. So what went from being just a written paper was developed in 1990, and then first web browser was released in 1991. So these three years are not just our increase of knowledge and not just the fall of the Soviet Union, they have another layer or story. And what they are doing is developing the internet into being this information platform, where you can put our vast quantities of information and also access it.

During the cold war we talked about sanctions and subterfuges. And now there is a new platform to engage in the an activity particularly in undermining your enemy, it doesn't take Russia long. In 1996 Russia hacks the USA and goes into their navy into the computer system of there defense networks. So 1996, the formalization of our message Russia is already beginning to act, they hacked the USA. It's known as Moonlight Maze, the name given to that operation.


Tess, Guadalupe




https://wikileaks.org/spyfiles/docs/crypton-m/273_terranet-10g-internet-traffic-monitoring-system.html

1996, another big bang, you have the release of Google, and various news services: Yahoo, Fox News, news papers begin to go online, such as the Washington Post, I want to mention one other, Crypton. We're not so familiar with Crypton, you might be familiar with Julian Assange, and WikiLeaks. This was the predecessor to WikiLeaks, the web sight that was set up to release information on the government. So you have all types of news being set up in 1996. And as a movement we need to much more aware of Fox News, what they were set of for in 1996. Because in Fox News we have a new platform speaking for conservative Protestant America. And they lead and are crucial to the election of Donald Trump and also crucial what he is going to do in the future. Then we have Google, and now we have search engines, then we have Crypton, releasing government secrets. And we have Russia waking up to the fact that they can hack the USA and gain information. This is a quick over view of a study that was done last year. We can see it in more detail in the October 2018 SOPT when it was first presented. When we go back to this time period it doesn't just give us the information for our internal message, it also gives us the external events. And what we see being developed is a new mode of warfare, a new weapon. Even secular sources recognize this event.

Donald Trump said something interesting around Sept last year, we are going to discuss why he said this. But he said the rise of the internet corresponded with the rise of the USA as the worlds only super power. What is Trump saying? He's comparing and contrasting, and he is saying that at the same time that the internet rose up, is the same time that the USA was rising up as the world's only super power. And the key word is "only". When was the USA rising up as the worlds only super power? 1989 to 91. Because what was happening from 1989 to 91? Prior to 1989 how many world super powers do you have? Two. So 1989 is the fall of the KoS, and if the other super power is falling, you can be sure the USA is rising up as the worlds only super power. And he says that this time period, he takes that concept and compares and contrast, and he says that the same time this is happening is the rise of the internet.



Tess, Guadalupe




So Donald Trump recognizes that connection. And it's this thread, the information and increase of knowledge that we need to bring down into our history and use to understand how battles are fought in our time, because we are already being told that in the increase of knowledge. And understanding the concept of MAD, we can understand why there can not be a hot war, that that would be suicide. So the point we need to see is when we are going through our studies we need to recognize the role of the internet, we also need to see that we cannot disconnect our thread. We can't see an external battle in the future, and disconnect that event from the history that leads to that event.

So when we come to Raphia it's already being explained to us in the history of 1989 to 91. There was another reason to start considering this subject, because we began to consider it in 2018 when we understood that 2016 is the battle of Ipsus. And what won the battle of Ipsus for the allies? Elephants, and then Heraclea, Asculum, and Beneventum. So what ever mode of warfare that was used in 2016, is the mode of warfare that is going to be used at Raphia. And then we also saw, that not only can you place elephants here, it's the beginning of WW2, and the invasion of Poland looks a lot like the invasions of the Soviet Union.

So now we have two witnesses to say that Raphia looks like 2016, in the method of warfare. And since that time we have also been able to see 2018 develop which makes that argument stronger still. So we must connect our waymarks.

Tess, Guadalupe




Before we get into the subject of 2016, we need to consider one other topic, and that is 2014. We are saying 2014 is S/L for the Priests. And there was a little bit of difficulty in the movement to identify what that looked like, what that S/L was in 2014. There are ideas that were thrown around. The way that we need to understand the S/L in 2014, is by going into the our reform line from the perspective of a parable, and that is that 2014 is going to be understood by compare and a contrast. The first point we are going to compare and contrast, second point we are going to connect our waymarks and say that what ever happened in 2014 can be traced back and pinned to the time of the end, two rules we need to follow.

So before we go into a study of 2016 and the Battle of Ipsus, we'll take a moment and consider 2014. And what was 2014 in Pyrrhus and WW2? What happened here? We understood there was an alliance between the KoS and the KoN. To explain this we'll go back to the TOE, and discuss a business that was set up. In 1989, this isn't in England, it's in Britain. We are going to explain why it needed to be Britain. There is a man named Nigel Oaks and he sets up a business. It begins just as research. He plans on doing research on human behavior, and his plan is with this study of human behavior, to learn how to manipulate and control it and then make money off that research. And as he is doing this study, he has a new tool he can use, and that is the Internet. So this study of human behavior becomes much more detailed than it has ever been before. He sets up **BDI, "Behavior Dynamics Working Group"**. It's an institute run in Britain that studies social influence. It describes itself as the worlds leading center for research and development, into persuasion and social influence. It's said to be the only academic organization in the world who's understanding of this psychology and persuasion has been successfully used globally.

So what was first just a study that began in 1989 "Behavior Dynamics Working Group" becomes this institute in 1990. In 1992, this man Nigel Oaks, (Look him up), he's speaking to a magazine, and describing this business he is setting up. He says we used the same techniques as Aristotle and Hitler. We appeal to people on an emotional level to get them to agree on a functional level. It's interesting that he is saying the same techniques that Hitler used, because we traced our history Raphia and Panium but first of all Ipsus, and lined it up with WW2, and who is Hitler? Donald Trump, the same technique's that Hitler used to rise to power, the same techniques that Trump is going to use. And the man that created this technique now using the internet freely admitted that in 1992. And the research done in 1989 is what is developed through this history and then used in Trump's campaign, same techniques used to elect Trump were used to elect Hitler.

Tess, Guadalupe




And they freely admit that to use these techniques they need to use mass public persuasion and deception. As one of the leading members once said, nothing has to be true, you just need the people to believe it, and that's the mentality that is being fed to Trump in 2016. It doesn't matter if Obama is born in Africa, what matters is that people believe it. It doesn't matter whether or not it's true that Hillary is a pedophile, as long as people believe it. And I am not really sure how anyone believes that Donald Trump says the truth, I'm not sure that even his supporters even believe what he says, but most of us are aware that he has the problem with the truth, and these are the same techniques that Hitler used to deceive the public. To manipulate the public it doesn't have to be true. But what it has to do is create an emotional response. That emotional response is nearly always fear.

Be afraid of Muslims, be afraid of Immigrants, be afraid of anyone who does not fit into your definition of the Judeo Christian West. This phrase applies specifically, Judeo Christian West because in this history another person becomes involved, Steve Bannon, we need to look into this man and understand him. Search out his mentality and what he says and what he has been involved in. This work began in 1989, with the Behavior Dynamics Working Group, is then developed by Nigel Oaks, they connect with Steve Bannon and he is responsible for two recent events that have changed the world as we know it. Both of the events are the work of this company. The Election of Donald Trump and BREXIT in the UK, the division of the European Union. And Steve Bannon said these are the two areas he is fighting, what he's fought for, breaking up the European Union beginning in London and Donald Trump's Election.

We are discussing 2014, we want to understand what's happening in 2014, and we understand what ever it is it's a violation of the Constitution and people's rights under the Constitution. And if we take 2014, and we understand that it is the pact, the Molotov Ripponthrop Pact in WW2, and it's the alliance between Pyrrhus and Demetrius in the history of Pyrrhus, what are saying about 2014? Who is the KoN? In this history in WW2, who is the KoN? Germany. And the king is Hitler. So they are identifying Hitler, the King of the North, in the history of Donald Trump even before he is elected. No one knows that in 2014 Donald Trump is going to run for president, although you can begin to be aware of the that from 2011.

Tess, Guadalupe




What we have done is connect our way marks. We have gone from 1989 and we are starting to look at how we are going to apply this history, what WW3 will look like in our history. And when we have completed our study of 2014, and we will consider those two battles, 2016, and 2018.

So we have connected our way marks, and now we will look at a compare and a contrast. What we are going to compare and contrast is the internal with the external, our two threads. Internal and external, what's happening inside the movement with what's happening outside the movement. So inside the movement in 2012, 2012 is a way mark and why? The message of time setting was first developed in 2012, and first presented to the movement here. And where was that message developed? in Britain, the UK. So a message of time comes out of the UK and enters the US in 2012.

We discussed the "Behavior Dynamics Working Group". In 1993, they formed a new company SCL, this was the furthest development as a company and it stands for Strategic Communication Laboratory. So it's a laboratory, like a science laboratory, where you study human behavior and how to manipulate it, and the laboratory is the internet. Taking people's behavior from the internet primarily, personal data, and then using that to create a profile of who that person is on a deeper level than what has ever been able to be done before. Because beginning in 1989 people began to put out personal info on the internet and that has just developed. Now there is thousands of pieces of personal data on the internet. They can be small pieces of data or information that they create a picture or a profile of what that person is like, of their fears and their hope. And if Hitler would have had this tool he would have been even more effective, because it gives you much more than you are now able to manipulate, and he could now do it at a scientific level. So in 1993, it forms the Strategic Communications Laboratory, they harness their skills in war zones, practice their skills, they develop their techniques in war zones, like Iraq and Afghanistan through this history. And then they begin to realize that the same techniques they are using in war zones to persuade people to not join militant groups, they can use in elections to persuade people to vote or feel a certain way. And they become involved in elections, primarily in 3rd world countries. The reason that they are not so involved in the west is because that their greatest threat to what they do is transparency. They cannot work somewhere to their full effect if there is a free and open media. It makes it much harder to manipulate people if there is a free media. And that's one of the reasons it takes them so long to enter the USA market.


Tess, Guadalupe



So in 2012, Something happens in the USA. What happened? This is the reflection of Obama. Obama served one term, he comes to 2012, he's fighting Mitt Romney, and Obama wins. He's a democrat and defeats the Republican Mitt Romney. The Republican party has now lost two elections, and they are extremely unhappy with the changes that Obama is making to the country. Obama is bringing in such changes, in 2011 he brings in gay marriage, and the Republic party's base is particularly conservative Protestant America. So they are very unhappy with the changes Obama is making, because some of them are quite significant. And in 2012 he is re-elected, and the Republican party is shaken and extremely unhappy. They want to find a tool that will ensure that they do not loose the following election in 2016. And SCL themselves said that this was the catalyst to enter into the American market. Now there is a political party that is willing to employ them. So in 2012 SCL enters the US market. They are coming from the UK, into the US. And all of this is to do a work building up to 2016. Who they connect with in this history is Steve Bannon, and some major Republican donors. Alexander Nix was the public face of SCL, the CEO of the company. He said that in 2012 there was a vacuum with in the Republican party that created a commercial opportunity for SCL to begin to work with that political party. In 2013 he meets two people. Christopher Wylie, and its through his testimony that this history was uncovered. He is a young man but incredibly brilliant, gifted with code and computers. He did much of the work for them in this history. And these men, Christopher Wylie, Alexander Nix, CEO (Look him Up) and Steve Bannon, they see there's opportunity.

Steve Bannon says that the US is in a transition phase, it's changing. He says that this is the 4th time this has happened in history. The first time was the American Revolution, the second time the Civil war, and the third time WW2. The forth time is our history, the present. The American Revolution, the Civil War, WW2 and the Great Depression, and the present day. Steve Bannon is a far right leaning conservative Protestant American. He sees Obama as dangerous, and that America is loosing control of its heritage which he says is Christian. What he sees in this company is something or a tool or a weapon he can use to try and manipulate the next election. We'll finish this story in our next study.

Tess, Guadalupe



Last week we began to understand we are facing battles, four in our history and they began in 2016. And what we want to understand is what that looks like. So we are using two rules, compare and contrast to connect our way marks. We already do that with the internal message. Everything is built on what has gone before. And in a special way it's built on an increase of knowledge just like it was in the Millerite history. So we need to go back to the TOE to see what this war is going to look like, and then follow it at each stage of development. We've done that on 2 levels and saw the rise of the internet and connected with that the rise of this particular company, that is designed to use the same techniques as Hitler, to use the information and manipulate the public opinion. And all of this you can pin in 1989, develop into the battles we see in our history.

Tess, Guadalupe

In our previous studies we've looked at two major subjects. We spent time on Acts 27. When we looked at those two ships, the journey of Paul, as a repeat and enlarge. Those 2 ships are telling the same story of those institutions from two different perspectives. So we see this connection between the USA and Adventism, beginning from the TOE in 1798.

In comparing and contrasting those institutions we can learn a lot, particularly regarding the USA, because we have spent so much time studying Adventism. We know 1798, William Miller i- Millerite history. We traced that to 1844. We talk about the 2520, 1863, the rejection of our prophetic message, and then in our movement particularly. We're interested in that thread of history from 1798 to the S/L. But if we consider the external history of the USA, we find that its inseparable. At each way mark we are also going to experience external, particularly in 1863 when it relates to the USA. So that's one lesson we learned from Acts 27, the USA and Adventism on the same journey. Symbolized by the same ship.


Then we also considered the number 273, and it brought us into the history of Pyrrhus in two parts. An alpha and an omega history. So we find that KOS exists in two histories. First in Macedonia and then in Italy. So we are able to identify, just with the KOS in the history of Pyrrhus and alpha and an omega. And we saw that we could bring this into our history, and that after 1798, there is going to be an alpha and an omega. One of these we find in the history of WW2 and can actually trace it all the way back from 1917 to 1989. But the particular story that we highlighted was this WW2 fight between Hitler sand Stalin, between fascism and Communism. And then we saw the WW2 tells us about WW3. Hitler-Stalin, and Trump-Putin.

After we laid out our histories we cut our lines, and we did the exact same things that we would do with modern and ancient Israel. And we are not only going to do this, then from our omegas, we want to go back to our alpha, and also draw lessons from these histories.

So we need to take WW2, and Pyrrhus history, and over lay them with our own. So this becomes us, in the history of Russia and the USA, form 1989 to the S/L.

This becomes the history of Pyrrhus in Macedonia, Pyrrhus in Italy and WW2.

So now we have these histories, alpha and omega, on the lines. This forms the first history and the second. In Elder Parminder's classes he looked at the concept of Israel, because you could talk about Moses, Christ, Millerites and us.




And we find failure and success. We have observed from our chart that there are differences in WW2 history with the KOS, it shows us there are differences in the history of Israel. The history of the Millerites is going to have differences, not just in 1844, but we also need to observe a couple of others. We're going to expand on that concept in a later study.

Tess, Guadalupe


So we identified failure success, failure success. But out of all of this we combined these lines and we saw that we have four sequential battles, the first battle, lines up with 2016. The second with 2018, third with 2019, what we first understood by calling it Raphia, and the final battle, which we understand is Panium.


So we have four sequential battles. The first is Ipsus, the second is Heraclea, then we would talk about Asculum and Beneventum. Then we could consider WW2, Poland, August 1940, then we have the invasion of the Soviet Union: Operation Barbarossa, then we have the end of the war.



So we then have three different histories to teach us about these battles, and what we want to do is go into the history of 2016 and understand what that battle looked like, because 2016 is the key that explains these other three. Because in the battle of Ipsus, what mode of warfare was used that decided the victor? Elephants, Heraclea elephants, Asculum, elephants, Beneventum elephants. So the same mode of warfare used in 2016 decided the winner in 2018, it's also going to be the same mode of warfare used at Raphia and Panium. If we are going to understand Raphia and Panium we need to understand Ipsus and Heraclea. We'll look at both of those battles in our next study.

We reminded ourselves of this pattern, but we also want to consider the methodology we are going to use. So if we were to consider the TOE, and we were to talk about the internal, we've taught in this movement that our loK is from 1989 to 1991, we're having an increase of knowledge. And then we can see it is formalized in 1996, tested at 9/11. And we traced these external histories, connected with internal events. And there are a couple of principles I want to remind us of.






When we taught that everything that comes after the message that we are teaching now is found in the increase of knowledge, it is an accurate representation that everything that comes after is encapsulated in the IK, and we see that in Millerite history, where WM is studying, and comes to 1818 and he says he believes that in about 25 years Christ will come back. There needs to be other information to expand on those subjects, but you can pin it all back into this time period where it is in its encapsulated form at the loK. And we teach that in our message, that this increase of knowledge of Dan 11:40, we find all that comes after we can trace and pin back in the loK. If we apply that to their internal, we should apply that to the external. And if we are going to take this history or warfare and battles of the KoS, we should take it back and pin it to the external events of 1989-91. And you can see a few elements of this history.

We discussed one of them yesterday. Where is the USA on Nov 9, 1989? When the Berlin Wall is falling, where is the USA in that work? They are not there, you can't see them. Because everything that built up to Nov 9 was in the 46 year history of the cold war. And if you didn't keep up with those external events and you come to this date (1989) you would have a hard time blaming the USA. It becomes harder in our time than it was in theirs. Because what's done more openly in the cold war history, is even more secretive in our own. But it still required you to keep up with external events.

Who overthrows Gorbachev in 1991, who initiates that? It's not the USA, it's his own people. This is the history of an internal revolution and the USSR or KoS was destroyed from the inside, under cover the first lesson we can learn.


We also discussed the subject of internal and external way marks. In Millerite history for the most part, not in every case, we see they had the subject of Islam in 1840, that proved their day for a year principal. But when we traced these way marks from April to October, particularly from 2001 to 2019, their reform line is not built like ours. It's internal events. And what they were required to do from 1798 is follow the development of this internal message from William Miller and the other pioneers who developed that. So you come to Apr 19th, there's an internal event, impacts the message, a disappointment. July 21, 1844, Samuel Snow, he brings a message. It continues to grow and expand, and what the Millerite's are doing at every way mark, are following the development of this internal message. Our reform line is built a little differently and that is how we connect our external events.



Now we are not just tracing the development of an internal message, we are tracing the development of external events. And that is one work that as a movement we have been behind in doing, whether we didn't see the need, or we were looking in the wrong places. But if we don't trace the development, from this history 1990, then when we come down to this history 2016 Ipsus, and we are looking for a hot war at Raphia. We have cut our thread we are saying there is about to be a battle and we are ignoring its development. By the time we get to 2019, Putin has been president for 20 years. And he hasn't been sitting on his hands for that period. Then we find that we can take these external events back to the TOE and pin them in here, 1991. This is what gives us the information about what this war looks like, the beginning of its development.

We paraphrased a quote of Donald Trump's that he said in Sept last year, and what he said was that the rise of the internet occurred at the same time as the rise of the USA as the world's only super power. That is a profound observation coming from Donald Trump because what he is saying is that the USA rose as the world's only super power at the same time as the Internet was rising up. When was that? 1989. The USA begins to rise as the world's only super power. Because prior to 1989, how many super powers were there? Two, Soviet Union, and the USA. In 1989 the USA begins to rise as the world's only super power, because the second is losing strengths, it's falling. And he compares and contrasts that event with the rise of the internet, the beginning of the time of the end.

TOE 1989, Tim Burners Lee writes a paper called "Information Management" a proposal, nothing is developed, but it's a plan. There is something he can do to the internet to turn it into what they call an "information creation engine" where you can put out information, and access information. So at this stage its just a proposal. In 1990, it begins to take form, he creates the first web browser. In 1991, it's released to companies and then to the public in the same year. You have the release of the WWW.




Then we saw in 1996, by the time of the formalization of our message, at the same time Russia has realized it can use this engine to attack the USA. What they want is information. And this was Moon Light Maze, they gained access to the Navy, the Department of Defense and they stole a great deal of information for the Russian military. So you have the first Russian hacking in 1996. The same year you have the release of Google, the creation and release of Yahoo, the beginning of Fox News, and this is one we need to discuss more and more. Then we discussed Crypton which was the predecessor of WikiLeaks. In 1996 Julian Assange is already engaged in hacking activity, and he sees this website and learns from them, he tries to work with them, but what he ends up doing is taking their concept and creating his own. This was called WikiLeaks which we are probably more familiar with, but Crypton was his inspiration. Now not only do you have Google, not only do you have Fox News and hacking, now you also have the release of government secrets, all elements we find in our time.

So this is just the first 6-7 years, but what we began to do is trace our thread of what these first years teach us about what war looks like in our time. It's the tool Vladimir Putin now has. We talked about MAD. This was the concept during the cold war. Just a brief acronym to describe what this war period looks like, differently than how war is traditionally fought. And the reason it looks different is because to openly attack each other would be an act of suicide, what they called Mutually Assured Destruction. So if you had a weapon, and I had a weapon, and if I fired mine, knowing that would cause you to fire yours, it's more like a suicide pacts. No ones going to fire. So they both have these nuclear weapons and neither party will use them, so they have to use unconventional methods to take down their enemy.

**MAD
 Mutual
 Assured
 "Economic"
 Destruction**


Then we discussed the effect of the fall of the Soviet Union, because what isn't restraining the KoS in this history is MAD, weapons. But after 1991, their economy is privatized, all their major industries are sold off by the government, leaving just a handful of men who sometimes through murder and bribery destroy their rivals and very cheaply buy out those previously state owned institutions. They buy them so cheaply that they very quickly become billionaires and this is the creation of the Russian Oligarch, one of Vladimir Putin's primary tools, or people who works through, even more so than his government because these ones are harder to tie to him.

Tess, Guadalupe



So now after 1991 the KOS has a new problem. If he destroys America he will destroy their economy, if he damages the USA economy, he will also suffer. So he has to fight a war with the USA that does not look like a conventional war because he needs as little retaliation as possible. And in that war, he needs to preserve the American economy. What he is doing he has learned from American tactics and employs them against the USA. Those tactics are to weaken from the inside and to cause division.

There was a top Russian General who said just recently, "That there is no line now between war and peace. War in our day looks a lot like peace time. And peace in our day, looks a lot like war". And what he is saying, one of the heads of the Russian military, is its very hard for us to now say whether or not we are at war or at peace because they look the same, the line is gone between them. Which is why we can walk through 2016, and not see the beginning of WW2, that line has been broken down, because there is a new way of fighting. And it becomes more and more difficult to understand what is happening unless we look at it through the eyes of prophecy. And prophecy and parables become the same thing. We have to look at the world wars as a parable. They are the lines combined with other histories that are going to give us clarity of what history we are walking through. There are people in the American government who are saying that our current history is a repeat of Nazi Germany. That with the election of Donald Trump, they said was 1933 Germany. If you were to take them to these lines, they would see it. But there are people in this world, already watching this progress, just by following the external events. But the situation becomes, where if you don't want to see it you wont see it. Which is why many people inside the USA, not just there but world wide, are becoming more and more divided. Because some people see their savior in Donald Trump, their Cyrus of Isa 46, many of them are saying that. You have another side saying he is the last president of the USA, this is 1933 Germany, and the rise of Hitler. The people are choosing one side or the other. External to prophecy, based on how they navigate this history. But we are given parables so that we have a sure foundation and they leave us with no doubt about what is happening.




So the two principles that we particularly discussed, the two methods we want to use to unlock this history, the first was connecting our way marks. Identifying that we must trace these external events as a thread, just like we do with the internal message and it is not enough to see a date in isolation. It has to be connected to a story. So people introduced the concepts now, they'll say they saw a new weapon in this history 2019, and they'll say America has developed a new weapon and they named it an elephant. Then they say this is proof that Pyrrhus is true because America has a new weapon called the elephant, and are looking for elephants. And what is the problem with doing that? Take that weapon back to 1989, to 91 to 96, trace it through this history connect to a story and explain how that weapon began in this history, 2019 in isolation.

When we do that we become news paper prophets and it's not a safe way of studying prophecy. There are many things in our time called the elephant. Just the fact that they take that name does not mean that they have the mode of warfare developed for Raphia. To study prophecy in that way is dangerous, because it leads us to make conclusions that break our rules, and disconnect our way marks and causes us to rely on news papers for random stories.

So the first rule is to connect our way marks. And we should know our external history. Syria at the moment is a proxy war. Why does the USA want control of Syria? We should know that. We will try to cover that later. But we should be following these external events and trying to understand them.

The other subject or tool we need to think about, is compare and contrast. We said yesterday, two battles we particularly want to understand and is Ipsus and Heraclea. We want to understand 2016 and 2018. But these battles can be understood unless we trace a little history. And we don't have time to go into such detail. But it has been done before in Brazil and we will continue to expand on this subject. But we first need to trace our past history which we briefly did this morning, and I also think we need to understand 2014. And to understand 2014, we need to see it as a compare and contrast. And what we are going to compare and contrast is the internal and the external.


Tess, Guadalupe



The internal path of this movement beginning from 2012, we are going to continue that thought to 2016. Elder Parminder has taught one of the roles of parables or what they can do for you is show you information that's not easily seen. So when you can take what is visible, and you can compare and contrast it with what is less visible, you can understand both. So we began to understand the internal beginning in 2012. We found that in 2012 a message came from the UK and entered the USA. And that message was the message of time. And what that message was saying was that in 2014 we would experience our Sunday law. 2014 is a Sunday law that's predicted in 2012. It enters the USA and what was the response? It is rejected. Its rejected by the leadership, by Elder Jeff and those leaders that surrounded him at that time. We come to 2013, and what is the experience of the movement? In 2013, there is a battle developing and an internal struggle where enemies begin to show themselves. Hopefully we're familiar with this history, some of this discussion might be new to you. So for those of you who are new, time was first introduced to the movement in 2012, there were studies done in the UK. Those studies were shared with people in the USA, with the leadership and those messages were rejected. Time setting was described as fanaticism. And those studies were rejected. Which is why time setting is such a new concept to many of us, but it has been a subject of the movement since 2012. The only thing that delayed it was our experience of it.


Then 2013, and there were internal enemies. The main party, Path of the Just had worked in step with Elder Jeff and they were deeply opposed to the message of time setting. But by the time you get into 2013, they are not just opposing time setting, now they are also fighting against Elder Jeff, against the movement itself. And those enemies first began to show themselves in 2013. That conflict spread from 2013 into the early months of 2014. And it is in 2014 that they properly split, and Path of the Just leaves the movement. So it begins in 2013, but extends in the history of 2014. And in 2014 despite the rejection of leadership and despite the work of the enemies, 2014 is a S/L way mark for this movement, and we find the opening up of Ezra 7:9. It's a successful way mark, it was not dependant upon the acceptance of the leadership, and it was not prevented by internal discord, but it was a successful way mark.


Then we began to discuss the external. We went back to 1989, and we introduced Nigel Oaks from the UK. And the company he is building he begins in 1989, as a study group, The Behavioral Dynamics Working Group. They are going to study how to manipulate human behavior, then he forms this into the Behavioral Dynamics Institute in 1990. In 1993, it becomes the Strategic Communication Laboratory (SCL). Laboratory, like something scientific, but here it's to study human behavior and how to manipulate it. And they are going to use the same techniques as Aristotle and Hitler. So when we come to this history, the mechanism that leads to Donald Trump's campaign, the tool that is picked up in this history, 2014-2016, is using the exact same tactics as Hitler used, to also come to power. And in our last study we spent much of the time comparing our History to that of WW2, and Donald Trump and Hitler become the same person. It's ugly to say it, but it's prophetically accurate.



He formed SCL, they began to work in war zones, and then in foreign elections. They mostly worked in countries that has some type of difficulty with their media, where it was in some ways restricted. Because if there was a free press it made it much harder to manipulate the public, they did not work in the west much in this time period. There is a list of countries where they did work, we know Kenya was a major one in two elections, but they began to work in the west and they gained the attention of the Republican party. And that was in 2012. In 2012, there's a US election, Obama against Mitt Romney. Obama is a Democrat, a liberal. Romney was Republican. Obama has ideas that we would consider to be very liberal, that some people would say immoral. So by the time you get to the history of 2012, the Republican party particularly and stations like Fox news are saying that Obama is at war with religion, he is waging a war against religion and Christianity. And they become much more zealous at stopping him. Much more determined, to change the course of government in the USA. In 2012, they failed to do that. And Obama was elected for a second term.


We read the quotes of Alexander Nix, the CEO, where he said that this victory for Obama in 2012 was the catalyst that allowed them to enter into the American market. So SCL enters the USA from where? The UK in 2012. And who is government? Obama, he has just been reelected, Obama and his associates the Democrats. Let's remember in 2001 and the Patriot Act. We haven't discussed the Patriotic Act in any great detail. But it's something we're already aware of. In 2001, as a response to 9/11 George Bush brings in a Patriot Act, 45 days after 9/11, and this was a restriction of civil liberty. It started on what they used the Patriot Act to do, was take the private information of the American people and place it in massive data bases. Particularly what they were collecting was phone records and everything done over the internet. So all the information that is put out from 1989, from 2001, the American government begins to collect and store.






So that if they ever feel the need to find information about you, they can go into those records and find out who you called or emailed. Every thing you have ever written on social media, every web sight you ever visited, all in a massive government data base. And to do that is a clear violation of the Constitution. Who was fighting that in 2001? Not everyone, but in 2001 to 2014 there's an on going war that sometimes dies down and sometimes rises up, but it's an ongoing struggle in the USA government over the Patriot Act. Its primarily the Democrats who are opposing it from the beginning. This is George Bush, and he is a Republican and his party was behind him. .

But we come down into this history, and there's a Democrat, and they for the most part are opposed to the Patriot Act. Before Obama became involved in politics, what was his career? He was a Constitutional Lawyer. He knows that document well. And what ever failings he may have had he knew what the Constitution said. So you do not have someone in power who is going to come to the Sunday law and violate that Constitution. So there's an issue with this company. Who are they going to work through? They come from the UK into the USA, and can they access the Government? No, they cannot work with Obama the President, they cannot work with his associations the Democrats. Instead they begin to work with the Republican party. Here's a list of key names, that we need to look at when you have the time.
 Key Names: Nigel Oaks, Steve Bannon, Chris Wylie, Alexander Nix.




Tess, Guadalupe



Nigel Oaks began this process perhaps more than the others. In 2013, there's a problem, what happens? The Patriot Act was introduced in 2001, but there is someone working within the US Defense who begins to turn against his government: Snowden. He is opposing two things, first the Patriot Act, which is a violation of the Constitution, but now he is not just opposing the Patriot Act, now he is opposing the government, his leadership. So you have the Path of the Just internal of the movement that begins to fight the message of time, and the leadership. 2013, you have a government contractor from within their own agencies, who begins to oppose the Patriot Act, government surveillance in general. What he is opposing is government surveillance and control. And also in this action, he is attacking the government. In 2013, Edward Snowden took a great deal of those government secret files and he created the largest leak known in history. Beginning in 2013, extending into around the middle of June 2014, he's releasing government secrets, a direct attack on the government to such an extent that by 2014 and 2015 the FBI and the NSA are facing lawsuits. And it was ruled that what they had done under the Patriot Act was illegal and a violation of the Constitution. This work of Snowden changed the internet as we know it. The report by Robert Muller about Russian interference and the investigation of Trump's team was released in March 2019. And in that report what did Robert Muller complain of? He said he could not investigate everything because the information that he wanted to access was encrypted, which means he can no longer access, so when information is encrypted you would need someone who knows more information about computers than me. But when information is encrypted it's very difficult to access it. The reason why encryption was introduced particularly into messaging services such as WhatsApp, was not to protect us from foreign actors or criminals, all of the encryption was introduced in this history of 2013, was to hide information from American Government. Encryption was not introduced to protect from criminals, but as a direct result of Edward Snowden to hide the information from the American government. And it has completely changed even down into our history where Robert Muller and the Russian investigation is finding that a road block.

This was the largest leak of information known in history. And it created quite a struggle within the USA government, a war inside the USA government about what to do with this Patriot Act. Because Obama is opposing it, the Democrats are opposing it, even the Republican who intentionally wrote the Patriot act is fighting against it. And that's what happens over the course of 2014.

So if we are going to see a violation of liberty it's not going to come from the government. 2014, the government fighting the Patriot Act they already have, and Obama is fighting as hard as he can in 2014 to have the Patriot Act amended, come back into line with the Constitution. He fails in that effort because of the opposition of the Republican Party. And in 2015 the Patriot Act is repealed and no longer exists, and now we are under the Freedom act. It's a lot like the Patriot act but there are a few differences which makes it harder for the government to access information.



So this is what is visibly happening, but under the surface there is the work of the Republican party and this SCL elections.


Particularly in 2013, SCL meets two people. Christopher Wylie and Steve Bannon become involved in there work. Especially noting Steve Bannon, who successfully brings this tool to the attention of the some Republican donors and they create the company Cambridge Analytical. And this is the company that is used and becomes the tool of the Republican party to help elect Donald Trump. And in 2014, they do their work. It was a lot like what was done under the Patriot Act, but now it is not just collected and stored. Now they are using everything they learned from the studies they done in 1989, they use that information.

So 2014, they took the personal information and data of tens of millions of Americans, they have said over 100 Million, but the exact number is not known, around 80-90 Million, they formed that information into algorithms and then they began to manipulate public opinion all as a build up of preparation for the 2016 election. They start creating phrases in 2014 designed to test the American public, and see what kind of subject material creates an emotional response. Some of the phrases they begin to say: 'Build the Wall', they send that out on to the internet, photos of immigrants, photos of walls, and they're testing the American public to see what types of messages would appeal to certain groups of people that they can use in the 2016 election. So in this history you have two layers. You have internal and external, but in the external you have two layers, the government that is visible, but then you also have the work of the Republican party and the development of Cambridge and Analytica.

Lets summarize:

- In 2012, a message come from the UK to the USA, the message of time. It's rejected by the leadership, Elder Jeff and those closely connected with him.
- In 2012, a company enters the USA from the UK, it is directly opposed to Obama and the Democrats, the two are not able to be in agreement, they cannot work through the government.
- In 2013, you have the work of enemies, Path of the Just, internal to the movement beginning to fight with the leadership. They have also opposed the message of time.
- In 2013 externally you have the work of Edward Snowden, the largest leak of government data in history, he's fighting against government surveillance but the Patriot Act in particularly and he's fighting against the government..
- In 2014 internally, despite the rejection of leadership the Sunday Law for this movement and light has opened up on Ezra 7: 9.

In 2014 externally, it cannot go through the government, this Sunday Law, cannot be passed by the government of the USA, but it has to come from another party. Beginning from the UK, they do a work under Cambridge Analytica, and this work which is a direct violation of the Constitution is the tool developed for Trump's campaign. It begins in 2014, and then continues to be developed into the election of 2016. 2014 is also the beginning of the message, the beginning of the work known as the Loud Cry.



Some people are saying, or putting into the public record that there's mistakes built into this model, they are saying it is incorrect, and that there is mistakes. Because what is being suggested is that Elder Tess placed the rejection of the leadership in 2013. "And I know that I am speaking to the camera as much as to all of you. I'm not sure who is watching, but I want to make it clear, I have never believed that, therefore I'm fairly convinced I have never taught that. The rejection of the leadership was 2012, when the message entered the USA, it did not lay dormant for a period of time. It was not accepted and then rejected. It was rejected from the moment it entered. And I have always placed that entering in 2012. We come to the external and 2012 is the election of Obama and the Democrats who had a majority in that house. So while I am not placing the rejection of that message in 2013, I am placing it in 2012, which is what I've done from the beginning. I am not sure why people have twisted that, perhaps they have misunderstood the board work. I have highlighted 2013, because where you see the work of enemies, you also know that the government itself is opposed. So I could see Obama defending the Constitution in 2013 and opposed to the Sunday Law, but he did that in 2008 2009, 2011. He did that all through his history, just the way time was never accepted in 2012, or in 2013, or back in the history prior in this bored work. It's not something you just need to pin to a date but it was the whole of that history. So I have never taught or ever believed that the rejection of the leadership was in 2013. The rejection was in 2012. And we should know that, not because it's what I said, but because that is what the parable teaches, that it's this message, it's SCL that comes from the UK to the USA, in 2012. So even if I had said 2013, the mistake would have been mine, not with the message. The parable is clear, it marks 2012. What we mark in 2013, is the work of enemies, Path of the Just and Edward Snowden. We're also noting in 2013, the leadership was still not in agreement just like it was for the history prior and the history in future. So I want to correct that misunderstanding. The rejection of the leadership is in 2012, that's what has always been taught. But despite anything I have to say, that's what the parable teaches. 2012 the rejection, 2013 the work of enemies, 2014 a successful waymark, despite those difficulties that that message had to over come, it is the way mark of S/L for this movement.