

# *Camp Meeting Guadeloupe*

New Mode of Warfare

7 of 15





Tess Lambert

April 2019

So far, we have covered Acts 27 in three presentations where we laid out the history of both ships. This study then took us into a study of the King of the South ('KoS'). We drew some other lessons, and we talked about the fifth line detailing judgment on the structures, or the institutions. We're going to come back to specific points of that study, particularly the year of 1863 where everything goes wrong. But the real purpose was to head into a study of the 'KoS.' Then we took the number 273, and we began to study the South. It took us to the history of Pyrrhus, and that was what our subject matter was in our last study.





In Elder Parminder's classes he has been expanding on the concept of an 'Alpha and Omega,' and examining how we construct those. We are already familiar with them, but just from our study of Millerite history. Even on that level I think everyone understands that we are repeating Millerite history. But to say that it's a repeat and to leave it at that level, then it becomes a little too simple, and the problem with too simple is you can draw some wrong conclusions. Therefore, we are going to cover some Millerite history and explain that concept.

In order to remind us, we have seen a history of 'Failure' and a history of 'Success.' This is one pattern, so by bringing it into the context of God's people's time it would be the 'Beginning and End of Ancient Israel.' Here we could say (pointing to the whiteboard) the 'Beginning and the End of the 'KoS,' but it's the same concept. Then we come into this history and it is the 'Beginning and the End for the 'KoS,' but on another level, or we could say another perspective. It would be WWII and our history, and the USSR and Russia against Germany and the USA.

KoS histories	Ipsus E	Heraclea E	Asculum E	275 Beneventum E
Pyrrhus α Failure	Ipsus KoN & KoS 	Thessaly KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Epirus KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Macedonia KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Pyrrhus Ω Success	X	Heraclea KoN → KoS 	Asculum KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	Beneventum KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 
USSR α Failure	Poland KoN & KoS	August 1940 KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Barbarossa KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Germany KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Russia Ω Success	KoN & KoS	1 KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	2016	2018	2019	Panium


The Papacy was involved in the history of WWII, and it's involved in our history. We laid it out in this manner to make a specific point. When we use parable teaching, we take a story and line it up with another story, and then we 'Compare and Contrast.' That's what we've done. We've compared them, so we are going to learn from each one of these battles. Ipsus is going to teach us about 2016. Heraclea is going to teach us about 2018. August 1940 is going to teach us about 2018, but we can't just take August 1940 as a waymark and drop it into our history. The reason why we can't do that is because this is in the history of 'Failure.' You can see that with Panium, because with Panium we cannot take this dynamic of the 'KoS' coming against the King of the North ('KoN') with the 'KoS' winning and drop that dynamic into Panium. Why can't we do that? Because one of these histories is a 'Success' and the other one is a 'Failure.' We know that at Panium the 'KoN' wins, not the 'KoS.' So, when we study 2018, which we will do, we need to remember this concept. There are 'Lines of Success' ('LoS') and 'Lines of Failure' ('LoF').

When we study the specific characteristics of August 1940, they still will teach us that there are some things that need to change. The 'KoS' is the initiator of this conflict, and in our line, we are to look for the conflict started by the 'KoN.' Then at the end, instead of looking for a victory by the 'KoN,' we have to look for a victory by the 'KoS.' We have to change these details, and that's how we are going to study the 'KoS;' however, we need to take these lessons and not say that all is well and good, but rather learn from them. Because there are people in the movement taking Millerite history, and taking a waymark and just dropping them wholesale into our history. If people are not aware of what they're doing and the rules they're breaking, then it looks deceptively accurate, and I would suggest that it's dangerous. We can't drop them from a 'LoF' to a 'LoS.' We are going to discuss Millerite history another time.

KoS histories	Ipsus E	Heraclea E	Asculum E	275 Beneventum E
Pyrrhus α Failure	Ipsus KoN & KoS 	Thessaly KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Epirus KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Macedonia KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Pyrrhus Ω Success	X	Heraclea KoN → KoS 	Asculum KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 	Beneventum KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 
USSR α Failure	Poland KoN & KoS	August 1940 KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Barbarossa KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Germany KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Russia Ω Success	KoN & KoS	1 KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 KoS → KoN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 KoN → KoS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	2016	2018	2019	Panium


It becomes a little bit more complicated to know now where to approach this subject, because everything becomes connected. Any subject that we pick will be interconnected with one another. Therefore, we'll introduce this thought by considering another rule, which is connecting our waymarks.

If we were to go to Millerite history and we were to consider 1844, we would consider April 19, and we would talk about July 21. We would also talk about August 1, August 15, and October 22, 1844. These are waymarks in Millerite history. What did the Millerites need to do to accurately go through this history? To navigate it safely, they had to go through each waymark following the growth and development of an 'Internal' message. They had to walk in step with that message as it grew and developed. There is an 'Internal' message inside the movement that they must walk in step with to go through safely. If we were to take that to our reform line there is a difference. We come to April 19, and it's 2001, July 21, is 2014, August 1, is 2016, August 15, is 2018, and October 22, is 2019. Even if you are not entirely sure how we come to those years, I just want to state it now to make a point.




In 2001, what must you have to successively pass that waymark? There's an 'Internal' message developing in this time period, but that isn't enough. With Millerite history it's 'Internal' growth of the message. But when you come to 2001, what did you need to see? It wasn't just an 'Internal' message, now you need to see 'External Events' connected to that 'Internal' message. Therefore, you need to see that at the 2001 waymark we're going to have a message about the "Woes" developed. There's going to be more of an 'Increase of Knowledge' ('IoK'), but you needed to see an 'External Event' at September 9, 2001 ('9/11'), so at 2014 a Sunday Law ('SL'). There's an 'Internal' message that we must walk in step with. There are 'External Events' that we also must connect to them. In 2016, there's an 'Internal' message about the 'KoS,' but there is an 'External Event' in the United States of America (USA), which is Trump's election, and we must connect the 'Internal' with the 'External.' In 2018, we have a Battle of Heraclea, and it connects to our 'Internal' message in 2019. Our October 22, 1844 is also connected to 'External Events.'


Therefore, compared to Millerite history our reform line has another layer, and those are the 'External Events' that we must connect to come to 2019, and then accurately predict what it is going to look like. What we need to do to predict it is to make sure that these 'External Events' are being accurately traced. You cannot accept 2014 if you haven't seen 2001. You are required to take these 'External Events' and connect them. They must tell a story, a development. I'm going to explain what this looks like.




We come to this history of 2016 and forward, and what people are stating, and what they begin to understand is that the King of the South is coming back into history and that there is going to be two battles: Raphia, and Panium. People understand there is going to be two battles in our history, and then they start to consider what this is going to look like. They are studying ancient battles and warfare, and they bring that into our history and they expect a hot war. People start buying up food, and they move into the country if they are not already there. They're preparing to lose their jobs; we are planning for people to be forced into the army. They are expecting that there is going to be this massive war between Russia and the USA, and they are anticipating all of that to start at Raphia. They don't have a date yet, but they're expecting this event. I would suggest that the reason we did that is because we didn't connect our waymarks.



People did not watch the relationship develop between Putin and the West from his election in 1999; they did not connect those waymarks and see what was actually occurring. 2014 and 2016 gave us all we needed to know about what that war looked like, but people were not following the 'External Events' accurately. They cut this rope ('cord on the line') and ignored what it looked like in this history, and they started expecting some type of nuclear strike. What we need to do is connect our waymarks, and go back to the Time of the End ('ToE') from 1989 to 1991. We teach in this movement that everything that we need to know is encapsulated in this time period, and that this 'loK' will be everything that we expand on or add to as this 'Internal' message is developed. What we haven't done is take that concept to the 'External Events.' We take 1989 to 1991, and take that period back to the Millerite history of the period of 1798 to 1818, and see that there's an 'loK.' What is William Miller saying in 1818? He says, "that in about 25 years Christ is going to come back." Then all they do after 1818, is begin to share and add to that original message. Therefore, everything for that message is there in the beginning. William Miller has done his study, now it just gets expanded upon. So, 1989 to 1991, the study of Daniel 11:40, and everything that we're looking at in these presentations, like the 'KoS,' is just in that one verse. We are living in that verse and still walking through Daniel 11:40, and the 'Internal' message is encapsulated in that 'loK' period. So, this is the story of our 'loK' to the 'ToE.'




When we come to 'External Events,' and we want to know what these battles look like, what much of this looks like, we need to consider the 'External.' In 1989 a lot more happened than the Fall of the Berlin Wall. So much happened in that year, that 20 years later "Time Magazine" wrote a book about 1989, which listed everything that happened in that year. They recognized that it was a 'Turning Point' in history. The Fall of the Berlin Wall was just a couple of pages in that book, because there is a lot more, we can trace back and connect to 1989.






If we are going to consider the war between the 'KoS' and the 'KoN,' then we want to know what that looks like. We need to take our thread back into these histories. We won't go through that in these studies, but it's worth looking at; especially, to consider the Cold War and go back before 1989. One more thing about the Cold War. Why was it a Cold War? Because they came up with the acronym, MAD, which means, Mutually Assured Destruction (MAD). The point behind mentioning this phrase is to say that it would be mad for there to be a hot war between the 'KoN' and the 'KoS,' because for the USA to attack the USSR, or for the USSR to attack the US, this would be mutually assured destruction. If the USA attacks the USSR with a nuclear weapon, before that bomb hit the USSR would release their own, and both countries would be decimated. To strike your enemy was an act of suicide, and they called that MAD, and that is the 46-year Cold War.




**MAD**  
**Mutual**  
**Assured**  
**Destruction**

**Mutual(ly) assured destruction (MAD)** is a [doctrine](#) of military [strategy](#) and [national security policy](#) in which a full-scale use of [nuclear weapons](#) by two or more opposing sides would cause the [complete annihilation](#) of both the attacker and the defender (see [pre-emptive nuclear strike](#) and [second strike](#)).<sup>[1]</sup> It is based on the theory of [deterrence](#), which holds that the threat of using strong weapons against the enemy prevents the enemy's use of those same weapons. The strategy is a form of [Nash equilibrium](#) in which, once armed, neither side has any incentive to initiate a conflict or to disarm.

Now coming to our time, has that dynamic changed at all? No, we don't talk about it like they did in the Cold War, but that dynamic has not changed. What they had to do is develop a new 'Mode of Warfare.' We come to 1989 to 1991, and now there is a new problem for the 'KoS.' After 1991, it goes from a Communist economy to a Western-style Capitalist economy. All of the government owned infrastructure is sold at an extremely cheap rate and it's snapped up by oligarchs. A handful of men fight over these cheap state-owned businesses, and they kill each other over them until just a few men hold the important infrastructures inside Russia, i.e., their gas companies, the electricity, and all of these institutions that used to be run by the state. They very quickly become billionaires and they become Russia's oligarchs. And these are some of the primary men who now do the bidding of Putin. They do his will. He works through his oligarchs more so than he would work through his government.




These billionaires know that both their wealth and their lives depend on keeping the president happy, but they weren't able to take up all that money. Plus, much of that money, as their businesses were sold off, hemorrhaged out of Russia and into the West. Now a large part of this Russian money is invested in the West. A lot of it's in London, which explains a little bit about the politics between the two countries. There's also a lot of it in the USA, and now the West has another way to control Russia. If Putin was to go to war with the West, it would be mutually assured destruction; but now it gets worse for Russia, because now it is Mutually Assured Economic Destruction.




**MAD**  
**Mutual**  
**Assured**  
**"Economic"**  
**Destruction**

We all know that if the American economy is not doing well, then the whole world suffers. However, now if the American economy is not doing well after 1991 then the 'KoS' will also suffer. Now there are two incentives; two reasons for Putin to not engage the West in a hot war, and he needs to defeat his enemy without an open strike or by damaging their economy. This requires a clever approach, and Putin is one of the smartest world leaders alive. He's been very clever through this history.




In 1989 something new is developed and this is known as the “Internet’s Big Bang,” the World Wide Web (WWW). Prior to the invention of the WWW, the Internet was not so useful, you could not put out or access information because it was not such a useful tool for either accessing or disseminating information. However, in 1989 a man in named Tim Berners-Lee fixes this problem. He writes a paper titled, “Information Management: A Proposal” (Tim Berners-Lee, CERN, March 1989). It’s all about how to put out and access information on the Internet, and this is one of the things that Time Magazine highlighted. They called it the “Internet’s Big Bang.” In 1990 he created the first web browser, and then it went from being just a written paper developed in 1990. The first web browser was released in 1991. So, these three years are not just our ‘loK’ and not just the Fall of the Soviet Union, but they now add another layer or story. Now what they are doing is developing the Internet into being this information platform, where you can put out vast quantities of information and also access it.



Berners-Lee, who never directly profited off his invention, has also spent most of his life trying to guard it. While Silicon Valley started ride-share apps and social-media networks without profoundly considering the consequences, Berners-Lee has spent the past three decades thinking about little else. From the beginning, in fact, Berners-Lee understood how the epic power of the Web would radically transform governments, businesses, societies. He also envisioned that his invention could, in the wrong hands, become a destroyer of worlds, as Robert Oppenheimer once famously observed of his own creation. His prophecy came to life, most recently, when revelations emerged that Russian hackers interfered with the 2016 presidential election, or when Facebook admitted it exposed data on more than 80 million users to a political research firm, Cambridge Analytica, which worked for Donald Trump’s campaign. This episode was the latest in an increasingly chilling narrative. In 2012, Facebook conducted secret psychological experiments on nearly 700,000 users. Both Google and Amazon have filed patent applications for devices designed to listen for mood shifts and emotions in the human voice. <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2018/07/the-man-who-created-the-world-wide-web-has-some-regrets>




During the Cold War we talked about sanctions and subterfuges, but now there is a new platform to engage in; particularly, the activity of undermining your enemy, and it doesn't take Russia long. In 1996 Russia hacks the USA and goes into the Navy's computer system defense networks. In 1996 is the 'Formalization of our Message' (Form) and Russia is already beginning to act. They have hacked the USA. It's known as Moonlight Maze, which is the name given to that specific operation.



**In early March of 1998**, word came through that someone had hacked into the computers at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in Ohio and was pilfering files—unclassified but sensitive—on cockpit design and microchip schematics. Over the next few months, the hacker fanned out to other military facilities. No one knew his location (the hopping from one site to another was prodigious, swift, and global); his searches bore no clear pattern (except that they involved high-profile military R&D projects). Nine months earlier, when cyber-war was still a hypothetical matter, the Pentagon had staged a war game called Eligible Receiver, in which 25 members of an NSA Red Team, using commercially available gear, hacked into every Defense Department computer network, shutting down or distorting vital communications. Now, in an operation that an interagency task force dubbed Moonlight Maze, the Pentagon was experiencing the real thing, from a foreign power.

<https://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/03/russia-cyber-war-fred-kaplan-book-213746>

In 1996 there's another big bang, because you have the release of Google, and various other news services, i.e., Yahoo, Fox News, newspapers begin to go online, such as, The Washington Post. I want to mention one other, Cryptome. We're not so familiar with Cryptome, but you might be familiar with Julian Assange and Wikileaks. Cryptome was the predecessor to Wikileaks, which was the website that was set up to release information on the government. So, you have all types of news streams being set up in 1996, and as a movement we need to be much more aware of Fox News, and what they were set up for in 1996. Because in Fox News we have a news platform speaking for Conservative Protestant America. It is the Conservative Protestants that lead and are crucial to the election of Donald Trump, as well as being crucial to what he is going to do in the future. Then we have Google, and now we have search engines when before this we only had Cryptome releasing government secrets. This causes Russia to begin waking up to the fact that they can hack the USA and gain information. (This is a quick overview of a study that was done last year.) We can see it in more detail in the October 2018 School of the Prophets (SOTP) series when it was first presented. When we go back to this time period it doesn't just give us the information for our 'Internal' message, but it also gives us the 'External Events.' What we see being developed is a 'New Mode of Warfare,' a 'New Weapon.' Even secular sources recognize this event.




[https://wikileaks.org/spyfiles/docs/crypton-m/273\\_terranelt-10g-internet-traffic-monitoring-system.html](https://wikileaks.org/spyfiles/docs/crypton-m/273_terranelt-10g-internet-traffic-monitoring-system.html)






Donald Trump said something interesting around September of last year (2018), and we are going to discuss why he said this. He said, “the rise of the internet corresponded with the rise of the USA as the World’s Only Superpower.” What is Trump saying? He’s ‘Comparing and Contrasting,’ and he is saying that at the same time the Internet rose up is also the same time that the USA was rising up as the “World’s Only Superpower.” The key word is “Only.” When was the USA rising up as the “World’s Only Superpower?” From 1989 to 1991. What was happening from 1989 to 1991? Prior to 1989, how many world superpowers do you have? Two. At 1989 is the fall of the ‘KoS,’ and if the other superpower is falling, you can be sure the USA is rising up as the “World’s Only Superpower.” Trump says that “this time period,” he is taking that concept and is ‘Comparing and Contrasting,’ and he says, “that at the very same time this is occurring is at the rise of the Internet” (Trump, 2018).






Even Donald Trump recognizes that connection. It's this thread, that is supplying the information and 'loK' that we need to bring down into our history and use to understand how battles are fought in our time. We are already being told that in the 'loK' period, and with the understanding of the concept of MAD, then we can understand why there cannot be a hot war, because that would be suicide. So, the very point we need to see when we are going through our studies is that we need to recognize the role of the Internet, and we also need to see that we cannot disconnect our thread. We can't see an 'External' battle in the future, and then disconnect that event from the history that leads up to that event.




When we come to Raphia it's already being explained to us in the history of 1989 to 1991. Additionally, there was another reason to start considering this subject, because we began to consider it in 2018 when we understood that 2016 is the Battle of Ipsus. What won the Battle of Ipsus for the allies? Elephants, and then also for Heraclea, Asculum, and Beneventum. Whatever kind of 'Mode of Warfare' was being used in 2016, is the exact 'Mode of Warfare' that is going to be used at Raphia. Plus, we also saw that not only can you place 'Elephants' here, it's also the beginning of WWII, which makes the invasion of Poland look a lot like the invasion of the Soviet Union.

Now we have 'two witnesses' to say that Raphia looks like 2016 in the method of warfare, and since that time we have also been able to see 2018 develop, which makes that argument stronger still. We must connect our waymarks.




Before we get into the subject of 2016, we need to consider one other topic, and that is 2014. 2014 is 'SL' for the Priests. 'SL' in 2014, can be understood by going into our reform line and looking at it from the perspective of a parable, and doing a 'compare and a contrast.' The first point we are going to 'compare and contrast,' then the second point we are going to connect our waymarks. We can say that whatever happened in 2014 can be traced back and pinned to the Time of the End ('ToE'). These and two rules we need to follow.




E = elephants

Before we go into a study of 2016 and the Battle of Ipsus, we'll take a moment and consider 2014. What was 2014 in Pyrrhus' history and in WWII? What happened here? We understood there was an alliance between the 'KoS' and the 'KoN.' To explain this, we'll go back to the Time of the End ('ToE'), and discuss a business that was set up in 1989, and this isn't in England, it's in Britain, and we are going to explain why it needed to be Britain. There is a man named Nigel Oakes and he sets up a business. It begins just as research. He plans on doing research on human behavior, and his plan is that through this study of human behavior it will be possible to learn how to manipulate and control human reaction, and then make money off that research. It just so happens that as he is doing this study, he has a new tool he can use and that tool is the Internet. This study of human behavior becomes much more detailed than it has ever been before. He sets up BDI, which stands for Behavioral Dynamics Working Group (BDI). It's an institute run in Britain that studies social influence. It describes itself as the "world's leading center for research and development into persuasion and social influence." BDI is said to be "the only academic organization in the world who's understanding of this psychology and persuasion has been successfully used globally."




What was first just a study that began in 1989, BDI now becomes this institute in 1990. In 1992 this man Nigel Oakes, (look him up), he's speaking to a magazine and describing this business he is setting up. He says, "We used the same techniques as Aristotle and Hitler. We appeal to people on an emotional level to get them to agree on a functional level." It's interesting that he is saying the same techniques that Hitler used, because we traced our history via Raphia and Panium, but first of all Ipsus, and then lined it up with WWII, and who is Hitler? Donald Trump, because the same techniques that Hitler used to rise to power, are the very same techniques that Trump is going to use, and the man that created this technique is now using the Internet and freely admitted to that very thing in 1992. Even still, the research done in 1989 is what is being developed through this history and then used in Trump's campaign. The very same techniques used to elect Trump were used to elect Hitler.




The head of the company that founded Cambridge Analytica said last year that President [Donald Trump](#) uses the same propaganda methods as Adolf Hitler did 80 years ago. Nigel Oakes is the CEO of SCL Group, which is the parent company of Cambridge Analytica, the data mining company that used information it gleaned about [Facebook](#) users to try and help Trump during the 2016 elections. Last year, Oakes was interviewed by a University of Essex professor about propaganda and its role in swaying public opinion during the [Brexit](#) referendum. The transcripts of the interview were posted by a committee of the [British Parliament](#). <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-5628355/Trump-uses-propaganda-methods-Hitler-says-head-firm-owns-Cambridge-Analytica.html>

[3. Nigel Oakes in interview.](#)

They freely admit that to use these techniques they need to use mass public persuasion and deception, and as one of the leading members once said, "Nothing has to be true, because you just need the people to believe it." ("Repeat a lie often enough and it becomes the truth," is a law of propaganda often attributed to the Nazi Joseph Goebbels). That's the mentality that is being fed to Trump in 2016. It doesn't matter if Obama is born in Africa, what matters is that people believe it. It doesn't matter whether or not it's true that Hillary is a pedophile, as long as people believe it. I am not really sure how anyone believes that Donald Trump says the truth, I'm not sure that his supporters even believe what he says, but most of us are aware that he has a problem with the truth, and these are the same techniques that Hitler used to deceive the public. To manipulate the public, it doesn't have to be true, but **what it has to do is create an emotional response**. That emotional response is nearly always fear.




Be afraid of Muslims, be afraid of Immigrants, be afraid of anyone who does not fit into your definition of the Judaeo-Christian West. This phrase applies specifically to the Judaeo-Christian West, because in this history another person becomes involved, Steve Bannon. We need to look into this man and understand him. Search out his mentality and what he says and what he has been involved in. This work that began in 1989 with the Behavioral Dynamics Working Group (BDI) is then developed by Nigel Oakes, and then they connect with Steve Bannon, and he is responsible for two recent events that have changed the world as we know it. Both of the events are the work of this company BDI, the election of Donald Trump in the USA and BREXIT in the UK, as well as, the division of the European Union. Steve Bannon said that “these are the two areas he is fighting.” What he’s fought for, is the breaking up the European Union beginning in London, and Donald Trump’s election.



E = elephants

We are discussing 2014, and we want to understand what's happening in 2014. We understand that whatever it is, it's a violation of the Constitution and people's rights under the Constitution. So, if we take 2014 and we understand that it is the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact in WWII, and it's the alliance between Pyrrhus and Demetrius in the history of Pyrrhus, what are we saying about 2014? Who is the 'KoN'? In the history of WWII, who is the "KoN?" Germany. And the king is Hitler. They are identifying Hitler as the 'KoN' in the history of Donald Trump, even before he is elected. No one knows that in 2014 Donald Trump is going to run for President of the United States, although you can begin to become aware of that fact from 2011.




[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Molotov-Ribbentrop\\_Pact](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Molotov-Ribbentrop_Pact)





What we have done is connect our waymarks. We have gone from 1989, and we are starting to look at how we are going to apply this history to what WWIII will look like in our history. When we have completed our study of 2014, we then will consider those two battles in 2016 and 2018.

We have connected our waymarks, and now we will look at a 'Compare and Contrast.' What we are going to 'Compare and Contrast' is the 'Internal' with the 'External.' Our two threads are the 'Internal' and 'External,' and how those relate to what's happening inside the movement with what's happening outside the movement. Inside the movement in 2012 is a waymark. Why? The message of 'Time Setting' was first developed in 2012, and first presented to the movement here, and where was that message developed? In Britain and the United Kingdom (UK). So, a message of 'Time' comes out of the UK and enters the USA in 2012.




We have discussed that BDI occurs in 1993, and that they formed a new company SCL, this was now the furthest development as a company, and it stands for Strategic Communication Laboratory (SCL). It's a laboratory, like a science laboratory, where you study human behavior and how to manipulate it, and the laboratory is the Internet. Taking people's behavior from the Internet, primarily personal data, and then using that to create a profile of who that person is on a deeper level than what has ever been able to be done before. So, beginning in 1989 people began to put out personal info on the Internet, and that has just been a recent development. Now there are thousands of pieces of personal data on the Internet. They can be small pieces of data, or information that they use to create a picture or a profile of what that person is like and what their fears and their hopes are. If Hitler would have had this tool, he would have been even more effective, because it gives you a much greater tool to utilize to enable manipulation, and he would now be able to do it at a scientific level.





In 1993 it forms the SCL, and they harness their skills in war zones and practice their skills. It's these war zone areas which provide them with their testing ground for the development of these techniques. They test in places like Iraq and Afghanistan all through this history, and then they begin to realize that the same techniques they are using in war zones to persuade people to not join militant groups, are the same techniques they can use in elections to persuade people to vote or feel a certain way. They become involved in elections, primarily in Third World countries. The reason that they are not so involved in the West is because their greatest threat to what they are doing is transparency. They cannot work somewhere to their full effect if there is a free and open media. It makes it much harder to manipulate people if there is a free media. And that's one of the reasons it takes them so long to enter the USA market.

In 2012 something happened in the USA. What happened? The following comments are a reflection about Barack Obama. Obama served one term as the President of the United States and he comes to 2012 and he's now fighting Mitt Romney. Obama wins. He's a Democrat and defeats the Republican candidate Mitt Romney. The Republican Party has now lost two elections, and they are extremely unhappy with the changes that Obama has been making to the country. In 2011 Obama is bringing in changes such as Gay marriage, and the Republic Party's base is specifically Conservative Protestant America. So, they are very unhappy with the changes Obama is making, because some of them are quite significant. Then in 2012 he is re-elected, and the Republican Party is shaken and extremely unhappy. They want to find a tool that will ensure that they do not lose the Presidential Election in 2016. Even SCL admits, "that this was the catalyst to enter into the American market." There now is a political party that is willing to employ them. So then in 2012 SCL enters the USA market. They come from the UK into the USA, and all of this is to do a work building up to 2016. They connect with Steve Bannon in this history as well as with some major Republican donors.



Alexander Nix was the public face of SCL. He's the CEO of the company, and he (Nix) said, "that in 2012 there was a vacuum within the Republican Party that created a commercial opportunity for SCL to begin to work with that political party." In 2013 he meets two people, one of them is Christopher Wylie, and it's through Wylie's testimony that this history was uncovered. Wylie is a young man, but incredibly brilliant and gifted with code and computers. He did much of the work for SCL in this history. And these men, Christopher Wylie and [Alexander Nix](#), the SCL CEO, (Look him Up), and Steve Bannon, they see there's opportunity.

## Cambridge Analytica used data from Facebook and Politico to help Trump

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2017/oct/26/cambridge-analytica-used-data-from-facebook-and-politico-to-help-trump>




In a presentation at the **2016 Concordia Annual Summit** in New York, Mr. Alexander Nix discusses the power of big data in global elections. Cambridge Analytica's revolutionary approach to audience targeting, data modeling, and psychographic profiling has made them a leader in behavioral microtargeting for election processes around the world.

<https://youtu.be/n8Dd5aVXLCc>

## Whistleblower describes how firm linked to former Trump adviser Steve Bannon compiled user data to target American voters


<https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/mar/17/cambridge-analytica-facebook-influence-us-election>



Steve Bannon says, “that the USA is in a transitional phase, it’s changing.” He says, “that this is the fourth time that this has happened in history.” The first time was during the American Revolution, the second time during the Civil War, and the third time occurred during WWII. The fourth time is our history, the Present. The American Revolution, the Civil War, WWII, the Great Depression, and Present day. Steve Bannon is a far-right leaning Conservative Protestant American. He sees Obama as dangerous, and that America is losing control of its heritage, which he (Bannon) says, “is Christian.” What he (Bannon) sees in this company is something, or a tool or a weapon he can use to try and manipulate the next election. We’ll finish this story in our next study.

## Bannon’s Worldview: Dissecting the Message of ‘The Fourth Turning’

<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/08/us/politics/bannon-fourth-turning.html>



- American Revolution
- Civil War
- WWII
- present

Last week we began to understand that we are facing battles, specifically four in our history, and they began in 2016. What we want to understand is what that looks like. We are using two rules, 'Compare and Contrast' to connect our waymarks. We already do that with the 'Internal' message. Everything is built on what has been before. In a special way it's built on an 'loK' just like it was in the Millerite history. We need to go back to the 'Time of the End' to see what this war is going to look like, and then follow it at each stage of development. We've done that on two levels, and saw the rise of the Internet and connected that with the rise of this particular company BDI, that is designed to use the same techniques as Hitler used to utilize information and manipulate the public's opinion. All of this you can pin in 1989, which develops into the battles we see in our history.

