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We have for our first presentations, han overview of the Midnight Cry message as it developed, particularly from 2016 the increase of knowledge through the formalization, we spoke of that message being formalized in October 3rd, 2018 in **Arkansas** and later October 13th in **France***.*

I gave a little more history of that period than has been done before, showing how at the very outset, the very day that it's presented, it causes a disagreement or a shaking within this movement. Not over the date November 9th but over the concept of understanding of two streams of information.

On October 3rd when the message was first presented, **Elder Jeff** immediately reacted against that understanding. I just want to remind us of that fact, that on October 3rd at that very moment, that was where the **controversy** began, and that has **continued** to be **controversy** all the way through. Whether it was at the very camp meeting after the Midnight Cry message was given or whether it began to be undermined, it had to be reinforced with the study of the repeating pattern, Boston, Concord, Exeter. reinforced again with a battle of Ipsus and so forth.

All through the year, highlighting how clear prophecy is in **demonstrating** these two streams of information. And then just after the German camp meeting, held about six weeks or two months ago, Elder Jeff and FFA and his followers separated themselves from the movement.

In the very first video, he goes attacking the leadership of this movement. Saying we're in apostasy, and separating himself from us is a video entitled, “Hiding Mother Angelica” It’s the first video Elder Jeff presents as an attack on this message, on the leadership of this movement.

And what he's attacking, as was attacked on October 3rd, is the message of two streams of information. And the essence of what he shared was this.

“I have taught from October throughout the year that in his history of 1989 to 9/11 you have this increase of knowledge and this formalization in 1996. And this is the formalization of the first angels’ message. And we’ve known in 1996 as a formalization of the message through “The Time of the End” magazine.”

 T**OE** **FORM** **911**

 **1989** **1996**

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 TOE

 **Magazine**

 **\* Fox News**

And what I highlighted, was separate to the formalization of our first angels’ message. You also have these external information streams, also being formalized. Now I have been broad with that. I've been happy for people to just see this as an overall formalization.

First of all, I'll show what Elder Jeff presents.

This is the beginning of Fox news. Fox News is the propaganda mouthpiece of the evangelical far-right today. The mouthpiece of Donald Trump and his administration and those who support him.

So, you have Fox News coming to existence. So, Fox News or the information stream for apostate Protestantism, is also formalized in 1996. And throughout the presentations, including last October, I've also highlighted just as a curiosity, but also prophetically significant, many other information sources.

Also, you find either coming into existence in 1996 or in some way transforming, I have listed The New York Times, The Washington Post, they both go online, they don't begin in 1996, but they take advantage of this new World Wide Web. It's the beginning of Yahoo News, and one of the ones that I find most fascinating is Cryptome.

* Yahoo
* New York Times
* Washing Post
* Crypton

There was a young Australian man who saw this website Cryptome; Cryptome was this Web site that enabled people to anonymously leak private information. This Australian man saw this website Cryotome and said. I like this hacking business; I like this releasing of government secrets anonymously. And he asked the creator, can I work with you, and the creator of Cryptome said no, I work alone.

So, that young Australian man Julian Assange said, that's fine I'll start my own. And he started Wikileaks. Cryptome was the predecessor of Wikileaks and it inspired Julian Assange.

So, even on that level, which you wouldn't put in any key prophetic box Cryptome is formalized, these websites formalized in 1996. But the ones that we highlight, I have highlighted is Fox News. And I've also taught that in this history you see CNN brought over. It doesn't begin in 1996 but it's brought over by Time Warner. And that also transforms the CNN Network.
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So, it's the year of news overall, externally and internally.

What Elder Jeff taught when he came out and attacked this message about six weeks ago, was that he's found a third.

See what I teach is that Fox News and CNN are two information streams within the United States.

So, if you look at Donald Trump today, it's 2019, how many different narratives do you have within America about who he is.

Is he Cyrus the savior of the United States or is he a dictator the destroyer of the Constitution? Because you have a choice between two different narratives.

CNN will say, he’s destroying the United States and he's rising as a dictator. Fox News will say he's been raised up of God, and that he’s is the biblical fulfillment of King Cyrus, and he's restoring America as a city on the hill as God's people.

Which one is right prophetically? Prophecy is clear, this side is correct, in fact, they have in so many ways given evidence to our prophetic message. So, you have inside the United States, two streams of information. What Elder Jeff teaches is that it's not Fox News versus CNN, what he has pushed from the very beginning from October 3rd, is that they are both equally bad.

So, conservatives who say Donald Trump is their savior of the United States, they're bad because he would say they're the voice of the false prophet.

So, Elder Jeff would say, Fox equals the mouthpiece or the voice of the false prophet. He would say that CNN, those liberals who are saying Donald Trump is a dictator, that they are also bad. And that they are the beast of the UN, who he calls the dragon.

 “Hiding Mother Angelica”

 Fox = False Prophet

 CNN = Dragon

The first problem I had with that is, on what level does CNN speak for the United Nations. Go in to a definition of what the United Nations actually are, CNN does not speak for Ukraine or Germany or India, they speak for a portion of the American public within the United States, who believe that their country is under the control of a rising dictatorship.

But he would say that CNN is the mouthpiece of the UN.

And then what he came out with at the beginning, just after the German camp meeting, is this study “Hiding Mother Angelica”.

And he said that he has proof now that he was correct because he's found another one. There's was a Catholic Nun Mother Angelica, who started a news network. And you can place that news network in some fashion in 1996. And it's the largest Catholic News Network today.
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So, he teaches that you have the mouthpiece of the false prophet, the mouthpiece of the Dragon and the mouthpiece of the beast, and that they're all equally bad, all equally wicked.

And I addressed that yesterday where I said with the first problem out of many problems is, who is doing the plowing of the Nethinim’s, because you would have to either decide that the false prophet is plowing the Nethinim’s, the dragon is plowing the Nethinim’s or the Beast is plowing the Nethinim’s. And you know that that makes absolutely no logical sense.

So, this is just a little bit of a background back into our study.

This is really the core disagreement that developed from October 3rd. This disagreement about whether or not you have these three information streams, formalized in 1996, These three information streams that are equally bad, or whether or not you have many information streams formalized, that that Fox News and CNN are two information streams within the United States, One speaking for apostate Protestantism and one doing the work of plowing the Nethinim’s.

So, back to our study of the counterfeit, that was just a short introduction.

Yesterday we began to revisit this study of the counterfeit. And we showed how you have the story of the true. You have a period of scattering, and God gathers his people in 1798 by raising up a messenger who, although not appearing to at first, is by the end of that history, that 46-year history. He's going to overthrow the leadership of God's church and bring in this testing message, that's going to cause a division within the church.

This messenger was William Miller. And what tests God's people was a three-step prophetic testing message. This is a 46-year history. In 1818 he has his message in a capsule, in 1833 its formalized he received his credentials, and it begins traveling and teaching in 1844, it ends in disappointment and failure.

In that same history you have Ellen White rising as a prophet receiving direct revelation from God, you take that to the counterfeit, they had gone into apostasy when they abolish the Jesuit Order. They've begun disobeying their boss. In 1798 they're scattered they go into captivity.

Satan needs to raise up a new leadership from within the Catholic Church, it's going to be divisive, he's going to make a lot of enemies, and that new leadership was Eugenio Pacelli.

In 1899 he becomes a priest and he begin to study Code of Canon Law. In 1917 he has his message in a capsule the Code of Canon Law is completed, the same day that he's made a Bishop to be sent to Germany is the same day as the visions of Fatima.

So, you have the Code of Canon Law and the message of Fatima. One is going to give the ideology, the spiritual, and one is going to give the leadership the structural, both formalized and both in a capsule form but not yet formalized the 13th of May,1917.

1933 you have that message formalized; it’s signed into law with a Concordant with Hitler. And that also places Hitler as a dictatorship. And Eugenio Pacelli then takes over the leadership of the Catholic Church. He begins destroying the documents of his predecessor, restructuring the Catholic Church as Pope Pius the 12th.

And in this history that caused a Civil War internally. He was hated by the leadership the Catholic leadership in Germany. And what is Germany in this history? Germany is the King of the North.

So, you can see Pope Pius the 12th has major issues with his church in Germany. And Germany in this history was the king of the north but it ends in disappointment and failure.

This is a 46-history beginning in 1798 and ending in 1844. This is a 46-history beginning in 1899 and ending in 1945; disappointment and failure, disappointment, disappointment and failure.

Their message had existed in its capsule form from 1818 and from 1917. Formalized in 1833, formalized in 1933.

Ending in disappointment they go into a scattering time. Just trying to save what is left of them, of their church

 Ellen White announces that they are entering into a new gathering time November 1, 1850, and she points her church back to the messages given in this history that were written on the 1843 chart.

Pius the 12th, he begins a new gathering time to a million strong crowd, announces the dogma of the Assumption of Mary. He's pointing his church back to Mary and the messages of Fatima from this history. They enter a gathering time, it goes nowhere. By now Adventism is in a Laodicea condition. The Catholic Church was in a Laodicea condition

1863 **organization rejection of the prophetic message,**

1962 **reorganization rejection of their prophetic message**.

And then you have this period where there is another attempt to finish the work. This happened under the message of **Jones** and **Waggoner**. That he was half right half wrong. He was opposed by the leadership of Butler, who released a **book** titled, based on the book of Galatians, attacking the message of Wagner.

1989 for the Catholic Church, was there 1888 experience.

You had John Paul the 2nd, he was half right and half wrong. He wants to take down the Soviet Union, but he also was in direct opposition to the Jesuits, and the reorganization of the Second Vatican Council.

And we all agreed yesterday that that reorganization for the Catholic churches work at the end of the world, was a good thing, for them, for Satan their boss it was a good thing.

So, the Jesuits are again under attack. Remember they went into a scattering originally because they wouldn't listen to their Jesuit order. And John Paul was opposing their Jesuit Order.

1987 a book written by a close associate of John Paul the second Malachi Martin saying the Jesuits the betrayal of the Roman Catholic Church essentially saying the Jesuits have betrayed the Catholic Church.

So, this continues a history in the Catholic Church of scattering. The years after the fall of the Soviet Union for John Paul 2nd were not happy ones, He recognized that his agenda in Eastern Europe and his agenda to work with the United States government was a complete and utter failure. He knew that before 1991.

By 1990 he's trying to work with the United States in the Middle East where you have this conflict that developed into Desert Storm and the Gulf War. By the time you get to Desert Storm the United States is saying, we appreciate your opinion John Paul 2nd, but who are you to tell us what we can do militarily. And they refused to take any counsel from the Pope.

So, any type of alliance from the United States perspective, ends at least by 1991. They no longer need the Pope, communism has fallen, the Soviet Union has fallen, they don't need John Paul 2nd anymore.

So, by the time you get to 1991 and after that history, he's known as the “Angry Pope”, he was very angry about the results of that history.

So, you have this Catholic church in this gathering time, this movement has been in existence for 30 years now, how much of a head start do you think Satan is going to give us? When he knows that after two histories of failure, this is now the end of the world. Satan knows that this is the end.

How much of a head start is he going to give us? You have John Paul the 2nd failing in 1991. You have this split in the Catholic Church, this Civil War between John Paul the 2nd and the Jesuits. And we say Jesuits kind of broadly, but really, they are the liberal faction in the Catholic Church.

What these liberal factions began to recognize was that John Paul the 2nd was not on their side, and John Paul 2nd is preparing up his predecessor. He's stacking all the cards on this Cardinal Ratzinger who John Paul 2nd wants to succeed him.

So, this liberal faction within the Catholic church is recognizing that John Paul the 2nd is not on their side, and they do not want another Pope like him next elected. And they know that John Paul the 2nd is training up Cardinal Ratzinger to replace him.

So, what they do is they come together, and they form a group. And this group comes together in the history of 1996, and they are known as the St. Gallen group. They jokingly would refer to themselves as the St. Gallen Mafia. And they were a collection of these high-ranking Archbishop's, Bishops, Cardinals, within the Catholic Church who were opposed to John Paul the 2nd, opposed to another conservative Pope.

And what they wanted to do was to elect a Pope who aligned with their views, with the views of the liberal Jesuit factions. And France would transform the Catholic Church from the inside. They wanted to overthrow the leadership of the Catholic Church from the inside and bring about a Reformation.

This St. Gallen group came together in 1996. In 2015 it became much more open what they had been about. John Paul the 2nd knew that they existed, but in his attempts to dig out who his enemies were, he had failed to be able to dig out the names.

So, he had attempted to take them down, but they were too secretive.

In 2015 there were three main Cardinals in this St. Gallen group. One of those Cardinals wrote his autobiography, he’s Cardinal Godfrey de Neal's, and he laid out this history in a much more open fashion. And this is an article from the National Catholic Register dated September 24, 2015.

It says,

“Serious concerns are being raised about Cardinal Godfrey de Neal's, one of the Papal delegates chosen to attend to the upcoming ordinary Synod on the family, after the Archbishop of Brussels confessed this week to being part of a radical Mafia Reformist group opposed to Benedict the 16th”.

So, in 1996 they come together to try and stop Cardinal Ratzinger being the next Pope they failed in that attempt. Cardinal Ratzinger became Benedict the 16th. Godfrey de Neel's is known as this radical liberal reformer within the Catholic Church.

And this article goes on to state some of his beliefs that differ from conservative traditional Catholic thinking.

“At the launch of the book in Brussels this week September of 2015, Cardinal de Neel said he was part of a secret club of Cardinals opposed to Benedict the 16th. He calls it a Mafia Club that bore the name of St. Gallen. The group wanted a drastic reformation of the Catholic Church to make it much more modern. And they had a name they had a Carinal, they wanted to head it, his name was Cardinal Jorge Bergoglio.

So, there was three main Cardinals of this St. Gallen group. The one we just mentioned was Cardinal de Neal's he was the Archbishop of Brussels. Another was Cardinal Martini. And a third, the Archbishop of Milan, Cardinal Kasper. These are the three. kind of known as the Triumvirate.

Cardinal Martini is one of the most fascinating, he would teach that the Catholic Church is in this pompous tired condition. Essentially the Catholic Church is in Laodicea and it's time for a renewal. He would teach that the Catholic Church is entering into a prophetic time period when they should expect surprising developments through the work of the Holy Spirit.

And this Cardinal Martini he was a tutor of another man rising up within the Catholic ranks, Jorge Mario Bergoglio. Bergoglio was soouted within this St. Gallen group. In 2001, prior to 2001 had not met him.

So, in 1996 this group comes together but they don't yet have their man. Martini is mentoring this young Bergoglio, but these three are looking for someone who's going to be able to conduct his reformation within the Catholic Church.

In 2001, Jorge Mario Bergoglio is made a Cardinal. In that same year in 2001 he meets the St. Gallen group. And they found their man to overturn the leadership of the Catholic Church and reform it. In 1899 Eugenio Pacelli is made a Priest and he comes under the tutorship of Cardinal Gaspari. He's going to rise up through the ranks, completely reform the Catholic Church and become Pope Pius the 12th.

In 2001, Jorge Bergoglio becomes a Cardinal, he meets with the St. Gallen group, he’s tutored under them, he's going to rise up become Pope Francis in 2013 and begin the work of reforming the Catholic Church.

1899 is the beginning of the Alpha history of modern Babylon. 2001 is the beginning of the Omega history of modern Babylon.

2001 he begins training under them, but it takes time, they failed to have him elected at the death of John Paul 2nd. But in 2013 they succeed, and he becomes Pope Francis.

And he's began this work of implementing the ideology of the St. Gallen group. Which has caused, if you have followed the what is happening internally in a Catholic Church the last few years, it's caused a Civil War.

What they are fearing will be the greatest in schism centuries.

In this history Pope Pius, the 12th, who were his greatest enemies within his own church? It was Pope Pius the 12th versus the German Cardinals. They didn't like him, they had to force him under his control. Where does the greatest opposition to Pope Francis exists today? Within the United States.

He's having to force the United States, Catholic leadership back under the control of the Vatican. Pope Pius the 12th, fighting for the control of his church within the king of the north Pope Francis, fighting for control of his church with the king of the north.

Bergoglio was elected as Pope in 2013. And it came time for him to **choose his name.**

I'll read excerpts from this paragraph regarding the history of their St. Francis.

“In the history of their St. Francis about 1,200 years ago the Catholic Church was in trouble. Many Bishops and Priests were absent Pastors, those who were in residence oftentimes were causes of scandal by their lacks morals and bad example”.

So, the Catholic Church in the history of Francis was in a bad condition.

A man named Giovanni Bernard Olin was praying in a church named after St. Damien in front of a crucifix. This is their narrative of St. Francis.

*“The figure of Jesus on that crucifix suddenly called out to him, by his common nickname, which came from the fact that his father was French and said, Francis rebuild my house. The man who became eventually the great Saint Francis thought the Lord was asking him to repair the dilapidated Church of St. Damien. So, he went to his father's clothing store took some valuable fabrics and sold them, along with a horse in order to start repairing the church. He soon realized that that was not he what he was being called for. When this figure calls to him, Francis, rebuild my house, he came to realize, soon after that he was called to rebuild the church as a whole.”*

He was being called to rebuild the entire household of God,

How did St. Francis rebuild the church? He helped bring the church back to her foundations, so, that the church could be rebuilt stoned by stone on the foundation of Christ.

What is the message of Francis? Rebuild the church. Take it back to its old paths, back to its foundations, and repair the temple.

So, from 2013 forward, what is Pope Francis's mission? What is our mission? **Our mission is to take the church back to its foundations, back to the old paths, and restore the temple.**

Francis has chosen the exact counterfeit name to do the exact same work within the Catholic Church. And why didn't he pick the name, Francis the first? They always take a number even if they're the first Pope, choosing that name they become the first, always the number. He refused, he said, I will not be Francis the first it’s just Francis. Because he knows according to Catholic prophecy, one Catholic prophecy, he's also the only Francis, he’s the last Francis.

So, he followed a calling to rebuild the temple. And this has been from 1996 forward, this effort to overturn the Catholic leadership from the inside. In 2001 they have their man.

2013 he's elected, it's in 2014 that he begins this process in a real way of restructuring the way the Catholic Church operates. and there’s some important Synods in 2014, some extremely controversy social meetings within the Catholic Church in 2014, where he began to do that work.

In some factions of the Catholic Church he's now known as the dictator Pope and extremely hated.

Will go into some more of that history.

So, the Catholic Church is in a state of Civil War. God is sorting out His church, restoring it from the inside. And Satan is doing the exact same within the Papacy. He also has a new leadership rising up.

I don't want to go into all the details of what has happened, we know that last year that there was Archbishop Vigano released a paper calling on Pope Francis to step down.

And it was really not just Archbishop Vigano but a huge faction within the Catholic Church. Who believed that Pope Francis is an impostor, he would teach the Antichrist within their own church, and that he needs to be cleansed from the church and removed, so that the church can be restored.

So, Vigano publicly, for the first time they make this public call for Francis to step down as Pope.

So, we can go into all that history, but I want to highlight one particular history.

We know that our dispensation is 2014 to 2019, and so much of what we see happening, you can place bookends with these two dates.

 **2014** 2019

 \_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Just when you think about the rise of the Christian Right, you can bookend up with these dates, when you think about Islam, and Islamic caliphate Baghdadi, 2014, caliphate loses all of their land, Baghdadi killed 2019, you can bookend this history, whatever line you're threading.

I want to just use one thread, one story, and that has been the work that began in 2014 for an Amazonian Synod at the Vatican.

A Synod is a meeting of specific high-ranking Catholic officials, they meet, and they write a proposal or recommendations to give to the Pope.

So, a Synod is a meeting of specific high-ranking Catholic clergy. And they make recommendations to the Pope, recommendations that they would like to see implemented within the Catholic Church,

In 2014 Pope Francis begins preparing an Amazonian Synod.

So, for time we won't go into all the details, but they, Pope Francis and his followers and this St. Gallen group, they believed in something known as liberation theology.

* LIBERATION THEOLOGY

And this is within conservative Catholicism seen as heretical. They believed in liberation theology, the conservatives within Catholicism believe that it is not only heretical but also the politicizing of the Catholic Church.

Liberation theology is a movement within Christian theology, developed mainly by Latin American Roman Catholics, which attempts to address the problems of poverty and social injustice, as well as spiritual matters. So, they're not just dealing with spiritual matters it's also relating to people's rights, poverty and social injustice.

And those opposed to Pope Francis, attack him, saying that he is making the Catholic Church political.

That is both an interesting compare and contrast, and also quite a curious statement when you consider how political John Paul the 2nd was. So, it's also quite hypocritical.

The conservatives will say John Paul the 2nd wasn't political. But now that you start dealing with something like social justice and treating people right, suddenly it’s making the Catholic Church political, its hypocrisy. It’s the same hypocrisy we see inside the movement, now outside the movement by those attacking us.

So, in 2014 he creates something called REPAM. And REPAM was the organization who was tasked with preparing an Amazonian Synod. REPAM was set up in 2014, in answer to the grave concerns of Pope Francis and the Latin American church, regarding the deep wounds that Amazonia and its people bear.

So, it's particularly focusing on Brazil.

It embodies the promise Pope Francis made in the Amazon town of Maldonado Peru, to affirm a wholehearted option for the defense of life, the defense of the earth, and the defense of cultures.

It stands for the Red Ecclesial Pan-Amazonica or Pan-Amazonian eclassical network So, REPAN was the preparation work for an Amazonian Synod.

And as you can imagine, this is already causing stirs within the Catholic Church.

What I want us to see, is this is bigger than just South America, bigger than just Peru and Brazil. This Amazonian Synod is particularly an interesting chiasm. It's now particularly being pushed by the Catholic leadership in Germany. Pretty much by the liberal Catholic Cardinals all over the world but particularly Germany.

So, it's a worldwide movement, and there’s specific reasons why Germany would care about the Catholic Church in Peru and Brazil.

I'm going to quote from an article, this is a German Bishop, Bishop Krautler. And this is quoting him from April 4 of 2014 He's talking about the issues within Brazil.

He said, first he meets with Pope Francis, and he says in that meeting, “I referred first to our communities and regretted that because of the great shortage of ordained ministers, they only have access to the Eucharist a few times a year.” The Pope then suggests to the bishop; Why don't you ordain married men? And what is that within the Catholic Church? It’s heresy.

Pope Francis told this bishop to make bold proposals with regard to the shortage of Priests. In 2014 Cardinal Humes, in connection with Bishop Krautler brings up the idea of ordaining married men in the frame of the Brazilian Bishops Conference.

September 12, 2014, REPAM was officially founded in Brasilia, at a September 9 to 12 gathering, in Brasilia Brazil. This is just stepping through this history how this Amazonian Synod has been in preparation for these five years.

But these Cardinals, their agenda, what they want to see happen within this Amazonian region is the ordination of married Priests. And they also want to see women deacons. Both of which beliefs are heretical within the conservative Catholic Church faction.

At this stage they're only pushing for women to be ordained as Deacons within the Catholic Church. But this is where the Anglican Church began, and everyone knows that.

 **2014** 2019

 \_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
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 Worldwide Movement
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The Deacon church began by making the deacon office open to women, and soon after they're able to make women equal on all levels.

So, it's the first step and everyone knows it's the first step. So, this is an issue about marriage and about the role of women within the Catholic Church.

March 2 of 2015 the Vatican hosts a press conference presenting REPAM to the public.

 2017, Pope Francis convoked the Synod of Bishops on the Pen-Amazon region.

November 2017, in Ecuador there's the first gathering organized, by REPAM, which is there to organize and discuss the upcoming Amazon Synod.

January 19, 2018, Pope Francis converts the first Priests and Nodal Council. So. this is how this preparation has happened over 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and then into February 2019, REPAM goes to Washington DC in order to present the Amazon Synod and lay out some of its main goals.

It's the Jesuits in Washington DC hosted REPAM there. And you also notice that Pope Francis is the first Pope in Catholic history as a Jesuit, so, that they're working closely together.

When we talk about the Jesuits, I want us to remove from our mind our conspiracy theories of some secret organization. The work the Jesuits are doing was public back in 1773 history, it's public now, you can see it.

If we start relying on conspiracy theories and hearsay about what the Jesuits are doing, we're heading into the same territory as Donald Trump. What the Jesuits are doing is public and open. They are the nice faction within the Catholic Church.

They are the ones fighting for liberation theology for taking care of the planet, for human rights.

So, June 26, 2019 there's a secret meeting near Rome with a number of Cardinals and Bishops and a couple of other key figures. A final statement of that event, this secret meeting one of the final steps in preparation for the Amazon Synod was made a final statement calling for the ordination of female deacons.

All of this history of 14 to 19 that I've just read, is from a website, known as Life Site news. This is a Catholic news web site and they are against Pope Francis.

So, they finalize their summary of this history of 14 to 19 with the following statement; this short overview that they've just given, gives the impression that the Amazon Synod is being organized mostly by a close-knit group of people who have been working for years together trying to advance their agenda that is influenced by liberation theology.
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So, you can see Life Site news, of what I just quoted are against Pope Francis, if you can try and remember them.

I want to quote now from another Catholic web site; They say the rhetoric against Francis is unprecedented in the last 200 years. This is quoting Jesuit Marc Massa, director of the center for religion and American Public Life at Boston college.

He says, “*this is stirring up a pot which if it boils over may very well be guilty of causing a schism within the Catholic Church*”. They, the conservative Catholic faction are afraid of Francis's efforts of reform and are willing to do almost anything or align themselves with anyone to stop him.

This Amazonian Synod came together just in the last few weeks, it finished I believe last week it had been in preparation since 2014, and those specially chosen Catholic leaders wrote this document and recommendation to the Pope.

And they recommended that the Pope in this area of South America, make it formally permissible to ordain married Priests and women Deacons, just as they had been expecting and encouraging through those years.
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All of this has caused a great shaking within the Catholic Church.

So, when you come to the first days of that Amazon Synod, when Pope Francis was opening the Synod, a delegation from the area of the Amazon with the native tribes, arrived at the Vatican with their feather headdresses, with their traditional dress, and with five statues, five wooden statues of a naked pregnant woman.

So, you have the Vatican, you have Francis, and you have this group come from the Amazon region. This group of Catholics, representing their cultures. They have their feather headdresses, their traditional garments, and they have these five statutes and there's five pregnant women, and she's naked. And you can see it's like a cross-section. You can just see this wooden belly and a baby inside.

This caused outrage within the Catholic Church. So much so, that after the ceremony, the Pope put these statues of these women in a Catholic Church. And one of these conservative Catholics flew, from another country, went into that church, someone videoed him, he took those five statues and he threw them into the river in Rome.

Pope Francis had someone fish them out of the river and bring them back. And he made an apology to the Amazonian people.

But the conservative Catholics rejoiced.

They said that they had allowed this pagan culture to bring in these pagan idols into their Catholic Church. And one Cardinal said, the great mistake was that Pope Francis ever allowed the idols into the Catholic Church in the first place. So, now it was public.

He told one Catholic broadcaster, EWTN, he said to throw it out can be against human law, but to bring the idols into the church was a grave sin, a crime against divine law.

EWTN later broadcast a video by the man who claimed he was the one that stole the statues, and he defended his actions and said those statutes were in violation of the first commandment; you shall have no other gods before me. So, what he did was a religious cleansing of the church.

A Vatican spokesman said, “*in the name of tradition and doctrine they threw away a symbol of maternity and the sacredness of life*”, and they were labeled racists.

The Conservatives attacking those people, that delegation came, that’s one of the reasons they attacked them was for the feathered headdress. And what's the response of the Pope? You don't like their feather headdresses, have you seen the hats we wear in the Catholic Church? Have you seen our three-tiered crown? So, Pope Francis's response is, you don't like their headdresses, what's your problem with our headdresses, they're ridiculous too essentially.

What I want us to see is the two different narratives existing in the Catholic Church.

I'm going to start to read some of these quotes. The one I want to particularly read from EWTN.

EWTN its tied to the Catholic Church, it's part of that, it's a voice for it, but it's also associated with two others. The church militant these are the most radical. They're the one saying that Pope Francis is the Antichrist. They are what we would call the most independent of Adventist independence.

They believe the Catholic Church leadership is in apostasy and that they need to be thrown out.

Life Site news, similarly, critical. not quite as radical as church militant. You find church militant on YouTube. They have tens of thousands of YouTube views, and their main audience is within the United States, where there is the greatest opposition to Pope Francis.

In EWTN and these Catholic Church conservatives, what they're teaching is that Francis is deliberately bringing about confusion, so that Francis can bring in his New World Order and his secret agenda.

What I want us to see is that, when you listen to Walter Veith, and our conspiracy theories, they're not so special.

I'm going to make some quotes now from another Catholic news site. This is now the National Catholic Reporter.

The National Catholic Reporter, they're not quite as big as EWTN but they are really the liberal voice, the liberal spokes people within the Catholic Church. The National Catholic Reporter.

* NATIONAL CATHOLIC REPORTER

I'm quoting directly from an article they put out in July. “*After more than a century of secret vaccinations a group of Freemasons and communists aided by liberals modernists and mafia of reforming church leaders, are able to subvert the Catholic Church from within, including electing a corrupt Pope all as part of a diabolical plot for world domination. The latest Dan Brown novel, no, it is the thesis of infiltration, the plot to destroy the church from within by radical traditionalists Taylor Marshall”.* Taylor Marshall is the voice behind church militant.

* EWTN

 |---------- Church Militant (Taylor Marshall)

 |---------- Life Site News

So, what church militant teaches, as what they just summarized as being the main tenants of his book. That the Catholic Church has been infiltrated from within and elected a false Pope, a corrupt Pope.

The books publisher turns out to be a small Catholic press that is affiliated with the Eternal Word television network, EWTN, best known for its cable television channel.

But also, the owner of a radio station and broadcast and newspaper and two online news services, and a religious goods catalog, and a book publishing.

A truly global media empire, WTN that has given favorable coverage to Republican politicians and the Trump White House.

EWTN also is the media star in a web of connections among wealthy conservative Catholic donors and even some anti Pope Francis extremists.

On Memorial Day, viewers who tuned into EWTN News Nightly, for news from a Catholic perspective, were treated to two previously recorded one-on-one interviews by anchor Lauren Ashburn, two previously recorded interviews with Mike Pence and Sarah Huckabee Sanders.

The segment was clear evidence of how a television outlet once devoted to expressions of Catholic piety and conservative catechists and apologetics, has grown into a truly influential media empire.

Well-connected to Republican politicians and the Trump White House, where the Catholic perspective is unabashedly partisan, has also become the media star in a web of connections, including wealthy conservative Catholic donors. And some of the most public anti Pope Francis forces in the Catholic world.

Those connections traceable through a maze of nonprofit organizations helped fuel EWTN’s development it is a complex tale, involving the matchup of a peculiar brand of U.S. conservative Catholicism with conservative political ideology and economic theory.

What the National Catholic Reporter does is, in July of this year, they release a four-part series, and it's a four-part takedown of EWTN. Their conservative broadcasting rivals.

I'm quoting from two of those four articles. Quoting from a third article. What they begin to do is show how Archbishop Vigano, who last year publicly called for the removal of Pope Francis, it’s himself, tied to the leadership behind the EWTN.

Archbishop Vigano recently released a book, “Vigano verses the Vatican.” The uncensored testimony of the Italian journalist who helped break the story.

So, Vigano releases a book, “Vigano verses the Vatican”, its publication confirms another link between EWTN and Vigano.

Vigano worked with the EWTN board members in drafting his original letters, and in the preparation of his book.

Quoting further down this article,

*“Nowhere is the division more blatant then on a recurring segment of the world over as a program on EWTN. Featuring anchor Raymond Arroyo who also has a gig at Fox News. The segment has become little more than a Vatican bashing roundtable. Weather of how Francis is strengthening the Catholic teaching of the death penalty, how he's handling the sex abuse scandal, or different Catholic doctrines”.*

*Not surprisingly they're also attacking the Amazonian Synod. Guests on the show are a who's who of Francis critics, including one columnist*. (**they start listing a few columnist***) who signed the 2017 Filial correction letter, that accused Pope Francis of being a heretic. And Cardinal Burke, one of four Cardinals to openly challenge one of the Pope's apostolic letters.*

A Cardinal who openly said that Pope Francis was in doctrinal heresy.

So, what this four-part series of National Catholic Reporter does is it takes down EWTN. And it starts breaking down how EWTN is publicly and privately undermining Pope Francis.

In the midst of July, a four-part series from the National Catholic Reporter, about the Eternal Word Television Network, a media empire started in 1981 by a feisty Nun and her religious order, in the deep south.

What Nun started EWTN? Mother Angelica.

1981 Mother Angelica starts EWTN.

And what Elder Jeff teaches is at EWTN, not started in 1996, they got a few contracts here, so he wants to place a formalization here. I have no problem with that. If he wants to put it in this list, I'm fine with that.

But what he is saying is, that this network EWTN, that Mother Angelica's setup, disproves two streams of information, because now you have three, and EWTN is the voice of the Beast. A couple of problems I have with that.

 “Hiding Mother Angelica”

 Fox = False Prophet

 CNN = Dragon

 EWTN = Beast

EWTN, does it speak for Pope Francis? No, it speaks for those who believe that he's a heretic within their church and needs to be removed.

You can place its formalization in 1996.

But you have two streams within the Catholic Church.

* EWTN

 |---------- Church Militant (Taylor Marshall)

 |---------- Life Site News

What Elder Jeff has done was unwittingly, unintentionally, gives stronger evidence for the message of two streams of information.

We have four subjects of the Midnight Cry that are all under discussion. We have the *United States*, we have *Adventism*, we have the *Papacy* and we have our *Movement.*

\*United States \*Adventism \*Papacy \*Movement

These are the four external subjects that we are threading the history of, in the Midnight Cry message, and in other messages, that are currently being developed and presented. These are our four subjects.

For every one of these subjects what condition are they in internally? Civil War, Civil War, Civil War, and Civil War.

 United States Adventism Papacy Movement

 Civil War Civil War Civil War Civil War

So, we can come back to this model. And what I have said that within the United States they're in a Civil War. And how many sides do you have if there's a Civil War? You have two sides.

So, how many narratives do you have? You have two narratives. It's as simple as that.

*You have CNN and Fox*.

 United States Adventism Papacy Movement

 **/\**

CNN FOX

And now Jeff says no, because you have a network started by a feisty Catholic nun in the deep south, who's extremely conservative, is fighting against liberalism, and all those men who gave rise to Pope Francis, She starts this network in 1996, and that is well and good.

Elder Jeff would teach, that I intentionally hide this network. Intentionally knew that it was in some fashion formalized in 1996, but that I never presented that because I didn't want to show the work of the Papacy in this history.

 T**OE** **FORM** **911**

 **1989** **1996**

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_|\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 TOE

 **Magazine**

 **\* Fox News**

 **\* CNN > TIME Warner**

 **\* EWTN**

* Yahoo
* New York Times
* Washing Post
* Crypton

It's part of his narrative that I'm trying to cover up the work of the Papacy and we discussed that yesterday.

The Midnight Cry message began with Fatima ended with the counterfeit.

But EWTN, what Elder Jeff has given us, is evidence of two streams of information. Because from, depending how far you want to go back, I would highlight 1996, 2001.

You have this Civil War within the Papacy. And in that Civil War you have two sides as in any Civil War and two streams of information. And you have EWTN.

United States Adventism Papacy Movement

 **/\** /\ /\

CNN FOX EWTN

EWTN is saying, we love our church, we are concerned about our Pope, have you seen the headdresses and the paganism, we need to pray for our Pope. This is their nicest reporting; we need to pray for him so he can be strong and resist the temptation of all these wicked people. And you have National Catholic Reporter saying, have you seen our hairdressers

I'm going to quote from the National Catholic Reporter; EWTN criticized that ceremony with those five wooden statutes and they said this is ridiculous. EWTN said that this was a pagan ritual, with these pagan Amazonia goddesses. They made the statements, this is EWTN, the identifiably Christian has aspects of the ritual, have taken place alongside and identified images and sculptures with the incorporation of rituals of unclear origin. And this has led to confusion.

So, what EWTN is saying, Pope Francis has mingled identifiably Christian elements with these pagan rooted symbols and goddesses.

How does National Catholic Reporter respond? Why is the carved image of a pregnant woman so tantalizing to these critics? But they have not a second thought for the Egyptian obelisk in the center of St. Peter's Square, nor the Fresco of the Delphic Oracle in the Sixteenth Chapel.

Remember that **Philistines** in earlier generations, wanted the nudes on the Sixteenth Chapel covered.

So, ETWN says, this is terrible, there's these pagan goddesses at the Vatican and NCR says, do you know what an Egyptian obelisk is? Have you looked at the ceiling of the Sixteenth Chapel?

EWTN is saying these statues of women are naked. And NCR says, have you seen inside your own church?

United States Adventism Papacy Movement

 **/\** /\ /\

CNN FOX EWTN NCR

This also brings back memories of Walter Vieth. And what has made conservative Adventism believe that somehow, we have their secret knowledge of what an obelisk is.

They know what an obelisk is. They know what they have inside their own church.

What they have, the fight they're in right now is over dispensationalism.

 United States Adventism Papacy Movement

 **/\** /\ /\ /\

 CNN FOX EWTN NCR

 “DISPENSATIONALISN”

EWTN, and those affiliated with them, are saying Pope Francis wants to bring in married Priest and women Deacons.

I listened to a broadcast by Taylor Marshall on church militant this morning. And he takes a strong emphatic position that teaching never changes. He is making a direct attack on dispensationalism.

That Pope Francis cannot come in and ordain a married priest, because once it is decided that priests should not be married, nothing can change that.

The argument is the Civil War inside the Papacy is all about dispensationalism. And what does NCR say in response? NCR says in response, 150 years ago, the Catholic Church didn't believe in such a thing as democracy, or in religious freedom. Do you remember your own history 150 years ago? So, how can you say that your Catholic Church is not dispensational. You've changed your position EWTN on democracy.

So, there's this debate between these two streams of information within the Catholic Church, is all about dispensationalism and whether or not Catholic tradition changes from one dispensation to another dispensation.

 United States Adventism Papacy Movement

 **/\** /\ /\ /\

 CNN FOX EWTN NCR

 “DISPENSATIONALISN”

Each one of these four subjects are in a state of Civil War. And what we do throughout our studies, is line them up and make comparisons; compares and contrast them.

USA two streams of information. Only one of these streams is going to unite behind the movement that finishes the work.

Fox is going to unite behind Donald Trump, already has. Finish the work, bring in the Sunday Law.

There's a movement here and NCR is uniting behind Francis, who was raised up in 2001, reforming the Catholic Church and is going to do a work. Same thing with the movement, there's two sides arguing about dispensationalism.

United States Adventism Papacy Movement

 **/\** /\ /\ /\

 CNN FOX EWTN NCR FFA MOVEMENT

 /\

 Trump

 “DISPENSATIONALISN”

And you know this movement, the leadership of this movement as it is today, are going to finish the work, complete the work this movement was raised up to do.

The very first attack on the Midnight Cry in October 3, was about two streams of information. The first video Elder Jeff releases, attacking the Midnight Cry, separating himself from this message was about two streams of information. EWTN and Mother Angelica.

You go into EWTN you trace the way marks; you thread history in our dispensation, you see the work of Pope Francis.

 2014 2019

 | 2016 2018 |

 ==============================

 REPAN Amazon Synod

2014 to 2019 you have this Civil War within the Papacy. 2014 it's preparation for the Amazonian Synod. And he's restructuring the Catholic Church. Its beginning in the Amazon, they all know that this is the beginning of a worldwide change, relating to the marriage of the priesthood and women within the Catholic Church.

 2014 2019

 | 2016 2018 |

 ==============================

 REPAN Amazon Synod

 Worldwide Movement

 \*Married Priest

 \*Women Deacon

2019 it breaks into the open. You have the two main Catholic news networks at open war. One supporting Francis, one openly attacking Francis. One tied to the independent portions of the Catholic Church. This is an open Civil War.

* EWTN

 |---------- Church Militant (Taylor Marshall)

 |---------- Life Site News

If you want to know who speaks for the Francis leadership Papacy, it's not EWTN, they are not his voice. The National Catholic Reporter is.

 \*NATIONAL CATHOLIC REPORTER

So, the claim that Mother Angelica and EWTN in 1996 is some type of mouthpiece, they are not a mouthpiece for the Papacy at the end of the world.

Pope Francis is a counterfeit of this movement. And as a counterfeit of this movement, what is this movement been doing? Discussing dispensationalism, discussing equality, and people's rights. That is being counterfeited within the Papacy.

You know that Pope Francis is the final Pope that's going to finish the work. You know that this movement and the leadership of this movement as it currently exists, is what? Is going to finish the work. I hope we can see how even the attacks on this message only serve to strengthen it.

Ellen White makes this statement that, those attacking the Gospel message, cannot help but give glory to God with their attacks. And even with this, even with the subject of half right half wrong. made us go back into this history and see in a stronger fashion than we've ever seen before that we're in a history of success.

And now when the attacks come again, attacking two streams of information they only serve to strengthen it.

If you kneel with me, we'll close in prayer.

Dear father in heaven, thank you for our blessings. Thank you, Lord, that you reinforce and strengthen the messages you've given us. Thank you, Lord, that we can have a Foundation for our faith, thank you Lord for its consistency. I pray Lord that we will be consistent, that we will have trust in you, and faith in you. That the things that we don't understand we will trust you to reveal them in your time. I put all into your hands, I put this movement into your hands, I pray for those that are being shaken by these disagreements. With those attacking this movement. I pray Lord that they will be able to see and accept that you have led us in the past, you have led us these five years, that you're leading us now.

I pray in Jesus name, Amen