METHODOLOGY: LINE UPON LINE

Elder Tess Lambert

CM Uganda

02-14-2020

This morning, we looked at how the message of the L.R. opened, and what we wanted to demonstrate was how seemingly different messages are connected. **Reform lines and Dan. 11:40-45**. It's one message. **2520 and time setting** are connected. One study; **KING of the NORTH (KON), KING of the SOUTH (KOS), and equality**; one study. They are connected. So, we went back to the beginning, **Acts 27**. It was used as **a cause**. Acts 27 unlocks the history of Pyrrhus, and that link was first identified through the number 273. But we didn't take this number, the waymark, and cut it out of a line. It connects the whole line with another whole line. We need to remember how it does that. So, we have these two lines.

Acts 27

Pyrrhus

Acts 27 took us to Pyrrhus, Pyrrhus to KOS. When we looked at Pyrrhus, we looked at the Pyrrhic war and the fourth **Diadochi War (D.W.)**. They gave us two witnesses that could connect us to WWII and WWIII.

Failure WW1 and WWII

Success= WWIII

I emphasized in the last class how in each step we have multiple classes. The story of the **triple application** developed over 2.5 years. And this is the resulting structure. If you put the Pyrrhic war on the one side, it served as a second witness, and we still apply it. But the structure is the third and the fourth D.W. It gives a witness for WWI and WWII. So, we have two witnesses for this triple application-one witness here in the breakdown of Alexander's empire (pointing to the 3rd D.W.), another witness here for WWI and WWII. We can combine them to understand WWIII. We give extra information because WWIII doesn't start in 1989. So, it's going to give us some history that leads to WWIII which ends in

Panium, which lines up with 1989, the defeat of the KOS, Dan. 11:40. The KOS falls progressively-the deadly wound of 1989 to 1991. But the war ends in 1989.

So, we have these extra wars-Pyrrhus v. Lysimachus, which was a Cold War; the U.S. vs USSR, which was also a Cold War (C.W.). It shows us that while WWII ends in Panium, the deadly wound is at Panium, and it'll take time for the KOS to die at the S.L. So, this study extends before and after WWIII. It gives us information on both sides. So, we began to lay out these wars in the order they were revealed-1st, the Pyrrhic War, the 4th D.W. So, we lay that out. The KON takes the satellite states that began in 1989. The U.S. start taking the satellite states of the USSR-Afghanistan, Ukraine, Poland, etc. It's progressive from 1989 to 1991. This is the 4th D.W. which lines up with WWII. It's the 2nd part of our triple application. Both the 1st and the 2nd takes us from the TOE to the SL. But the focus of the 2nd is the latter half. Most of the information it gives is this history, from 2014 to 2021. WWI is on this side. Most of its focus is on this history-from 1989 to 2014.

So, the KON took the satellite states and then everyone is surprised when the KON and the KOS goes into an alliance. We find in 2014 an alliance called collusion between Donald Trump (D.T.) and Vladimir Putin (V.P.), between the KON and the KOS. Then we have the battle of Ipsus. The KON is going to begin a war on the western front, attacking the three allies. You will see at Ipsus, Antigonus and Demetrius attacking three allies. And the KOS, Pyrrhus, is supporting the KON, Demetrius. So, we find a battle, a war, where the KON and the KOS are allies. This sparks a war on the western front. 2016 is the election. D.T., KON, begins a war on the western front. And in this, he is supported by V.P., KOS. D.T. is taking on three allies. He has a mission to destroy three allies-The three branches of the U.S. gov't. The executive branch is taken quickly just like Poland was taken quickly. Then he has an ongoing war with the legislative branch and the judicial branch. Just like Hitler had an ongoing war with France and Great Britain. Three allies at Ipsus, WWII, and 2016-one falls quickly-Poland and the executive branch; ongoing war with the others. So, the war begins in 2016 on the western front (W.F.). This alliance between the KON and the KOS was damaged here when the pact was broken at the battle of Heraclea as well as between Hitler and Stalin at WWII, a small battle in preparation for the large one. The pact is

broken, a strain in the alliance between Hitler and Stalin; preparation for the war on the eastern front (E.F.).

2016 is the war on the W.F. that extends to Panium while the E.F. begins in 2019 and ends in Panium. Two front wars-W.F.-D.T. vs the west, the institutions of his own country; E.F.-D.T. vs V.P. In 2014, the alliance. They fight in Concord, 2016, which results in the breakdown of their alliance. 2019, they go to war. We would normally call the beginning and the end of that war on the E.F. Raphia, where the war began. Panium, 2021, where the war ends. 1945, the WWII ends. Beneventum, the defeat of the KOS, his progressive fall until the SL. This is described as the C.W. Argos means harvest. SL begins the harvest of the world.

So, we have all these symbols. I just want to note that we have six battles in this line-Gabeine, Ipsus, Heraclea, Asculum, and Beneventum, and Argos. Gabeine was won when the **elephants** created a distraction. Ipsus was won because the elephants separated the king from his army. Heraclea was won because of the elephants. The Romans have never seen this mode of warfare before. Asculum was won because of the elephants. Beneventum was won because of the elephants of Pyrrhus suddenly turned on him. Pyrrhus was killed at Argos when his elephants blocked his way of escape. So, we have six historical battles. And the conclusion of each of those battles, all six of them, was because of the mode of the warfare, the elephants, from Gabeine to Argos. This is the important part of the message because people are waiting for a hot war (H.W.). The same people that were fighting that there would be a hot war, who was saying that it would start as information war perhaps, but end in a hot war, the same ones who are misusing lines say that Islam is going to use nuclear weapons. We already fought them on the mode of warfare and they accepted this, pretended to accept **Information War (I.W.)**, but they refused to commit. By the time we pushed it to where they accepted it, that these battles here (2019) will not be a hot war, based on all of these evidence, TOE, that battle, and so much evidence. They still argued that it will end as a hot war between the U.S. and Russia, pointing to 2021. But **I.W. is symbolized by the elephants.** It was elephants at the TOE, 1989, the battle of Gabeine, all the way through to Argos. It's consistent. In so many ways, they have so many cracks with the methodology of the people who argue with these studies. We were here (2018) when we taught that this is an I.W. We said we had

two witnesses-1989 and 2016. We are here (pointing to 2019 and 2021). Now we will have four witnesses.

Who was being demonstrated to be correct? Them or us? We had two witnesses, and it was not enough for them despite it being a rule. When people can't accept two witnesses, four witnesses make no difference. We've been through four of six battles, and there is still no H.W. It's gotten to the point of madness. Now Elder Jeff is teaching that 2020 becomes Panium. They are bringing it a year forward to what we teach. They believe that it's a progressive waymark. I get that that history is progressive but a waymark is not progressive. A waymark is not a period of 18 mos. You might argue 12 mos. But a waymark is not two years. Because he cannot concede to any point to what he teaches, he will still argue that Panium is 2020. It begins in a few month (writing July on the board). And what did he teach about Raphia? They claim that it was them that understood 11-09-2019. I don't know how they justify this to themselves. But back here, prior to 11-09, they say they came up with that date. So, I said, "That's fine. Keep the date." But I knew, they have the type of methodology that comes up with craziness like a H.W. battle. And I knew that this was the cross, 11-09, looks like defeat.

They are looking for the wrong things on this date, and nothing would happen that they could see. As expected, 11-09 passed, they saw nothing, and they mocked like those before the cross. "Look what just became of your movement. Look at your false prophet on a tree. He said he was the Messiah. You said that you were the true movement. And you have just been crushed. The pharisees mocked. FFA mocked. But they made a mistake. They laid claims to this date, 11-09." The problem they face is, they agreed that this was Raphia. So, they might not like our explanation which is based on consistency, Proxy Wars and Information wars. They don't like our explanation but what's theirs? Where's their Raphia?

So, what do they say? There is no external events at this waymark (pointing to Raphia, 11-09-2019). What have they just done to the battle of Raphia? They say no external events. But then they say that Panium is coming. So, they're going to teach Panium, an external event, but they no longer teach that Raphia is an external event. No intelligent person in the world would accept that. It's

foolishness. But their followers say, "O.K. Whatever you say, we'll swallow." They have no problem teaching Panium. It's five months away. And there is no battle of Raphia; on the date that they claim to come up with. They are forced into that situation. And instead of Panium being about KON and the KOS, because they could no longer teach about KON and the KOS, the only message they have is Islam. Because they have fallen off the path, become part of the wicked world below, become part of the Trump America. Does D.T. condemn V.P.? No.

Apostate Protestants (A.P.) have a message based on attacking Islam. So, when FFA fell off the path, become A.P., the only message they can teach is to attack Islam. But the battle of Panium is between KON and the KOS. Islam is separate to that. I just want us to see how they struck Raphia from the line. They had to do that because they systematically rejected this message. They were rejecting that at Oct. 2018. All the parts they didn't like regarding I.W.; accepting parts but not the whole; saying that it starts as I.W. but based on no evidence whatsoever, they went into a H.W. sometime in the future.

Six battles, every single one work in the same way; Poland, election, pact broken, Sept. to Dec. 2018, culminating on Dec. 19. That's been in the public record for over a year. Then the war begins (pointing to 2019). Now we have D.T. vs V.P. War on the E.F. Former allies go to war. We'll refer back to that when we lay in WWI. This is victory (writing under 2019 for the KOS). This is victory for the KON (writing under 2021). Then the KOS progressively falls till the SL. So, that's the brief application of this side (pointing to the 4th D.W.) It's focusing on D.T. and V.P.-From their alliance in 2014, Trump fighting the West, 2016, that's internal, rising up of a dictator, the breakdown of their alliance in 2018, victory for the KOS in 2019, to the defeat of the KOS in 2021. Then we began to tie together the 3rd D.W. to WWI. This part focuses mainly on the 1st half, from 1989 to 2014. Again, this is the history of Antigonus and Demetrius v. the allies. Two super-powers – Antigonus and Eumenes. Antigonus has two allies-Peithon and Seleucus. In 1989, we have two super-powers – USA and USSR. US has two allies-Papacy and Mujahedeen-fighting within the eastern satellite states for the control of those states. Eumemes is overthrown from the inside. USSR is overthrown from the inside. Seleucus supports Antigonus. Papacy supports the US. Peithon supports Antigonus. Mujahedeen supports the US. Peithon increased in power. Antigonus

becomes a dictator. He is unrestrained. He kills anyone who becomes a threat. Antigonus kills Peithon. WWI will add more detail. US begins to act like a dictator.

In here, 1989 to 1991, we have the Iraq/Gulf War, the 1st Iraq War, G. Bush Sr began to act like a dictator, and he wasn't working with the UN. The US was controlling the UN. It gives us a clue what we expect to see between Panium and the SL. So, the US starts to act like a dictator (sweeping her hand from 1989 and onward). In this history of the D.W. Peithon is rising in power and Seleucus begins to turn against Antigonus. Their alliance breaksdown. Mujahadeen becomes the Taliban. They are not a terrorist organization. They are an Islamic government that's very strict. And the papacy sees that the US is becoming a dictatorship, and their alliance crumble. So, people might argue how the US is in alliance with papacy. And they go to 1989 to prove that. By 1990, that alliance known as the "Holy Alliance", between President Ronald Reagan and Pope John Paul II, is collapsing. The US and the papacy have not been in alliance since 1989. The US begins to act like the dictatorship, and John Paul II turned against them just as Seleucus turned against Antigonus. Then the US overthrew the Taliban, their former ally. Antigonus killed Peithon, his former ally. At this point, everyone gets weary of Antigonus. So, they send him an ultimatum. They say to Antigonus, "Stop acting like a dictator". So, there are three allies who send an ultimatum to Antigonus. Those three allies were Ptolemy, Cassander, and Lysimachus. They tell Antigonus, "Stop acting like a dictator. All of us generals should work together as equals."

The behavior of the US after 9-11-2001, began to cause concern within the UN. Three allies came together. Seleucus by this stage lost all power because of Antigonus. Papacy lost all power because of the US. So, it was Ptolemy, Cassander, and Lysimachus. One of them was the KOS: Ptolemy. They tell Antigonus, "Treat us like we are all equals. We can rule together. We can divide up the conquered lands together. Solve problems like equals." So, the US acting like a dictator, in 2001, they go to war in Afghanistan. They want to go to war in Iraq and overthrow Saddam Hussein. And the UN says to US, "Stop acting like a dictator. We are the UN. We can solve these problems together. We can unite and make decisions together." Three countries joined to form an alliance to oppose the US: Russia, France, and Germany. They gave the US an ultimatum, and one of them was the KOS, Russia. So, we need to consider our understanding of

the UN. Because it was the US that came to the UN and said, "We do not work with you as equals. If we want to invade Iraq, we will invade Iraq. You are either with us or against us". In 2003, the US invaded Iraq and overthrew Saddam Hussein. So, the three allies sent an ultimatum to Antigonus. He rejects the ultimatum. Instead, he invades the Sphere of Influence of the KOS. So, Antigonus, KON, does not invade Egypt directly. He invaded the territory that was under the influence of Ptolemy, KOS. The US did not attack Russia. Instead, they invade Iraq, a territory that was under the influence of Russia, KOS, for decades. So, US (KON) invaded Iraq, Russia's (KOS) **Sphere of Influence (SOI).**

WWI, the 3rd D.W., focuses on the early history. They began the war here when Antigonus invaded the SOI of Ptolemy. The next major battle for this time period is here (writing under Barbarossa and the E.F.) This is Demetrius vs Ptolemy, KON vs KOS. Demetrius is the son of Antigonus. Demetrius, the young and the inexperienced king, who would not follow the advice of any of his generals, completely arrogant, and thought that he could defeat Ptolemy, who had decades of combat experience fighting under Alexander. So, you have this battle known as the battle of Gaza. In this battle, Demetrius fought Ptolemy, and Demetrius is defeated. Ptolemy won and Demetrius is crushed. I want us to note that what they are fighting over is not Egypt but this territory, SOI, up here known as the Coele Syria. This is the territory that everyone wants to control. So, they are not fighting over their own countries. They are fighting over the SOI. So, when we talk about D.T. and V.P. fighting, we are not talking about a direct war between the US and Russia. But they are fighting a proxy war, for the SOI. They are fighting over Venezuela, Syria, Ukraine; all these different territories. Battle for the SOI.

So, we have the battle of Gaza. It is also known as the **battle of Raphia**. This is not the battle of Raphia of Dan. 11. This territory of Gaza is also known as Raphia. So, this battle is also known as Raphia but a different Raphia. And the two battles of Raphia line up together. This battle of Gaza, is not the battle of Ptolemy and Seleucus in Dan 11. But, because of the location of where it's fought, it is also known as the battle of Raphia. So, Gaza, aka Raphia, is where Demetrius and Ptolemy fought. In our lines, it lines up with the battle of Raphia of Dan. 11. So, **Ptolemy, KOS, won the battle of Gaza**. He takes all of this SOI. But then, his father, Antigonus returns and joins him and retakes the SOI that Demetrius had

lost. If you look at the history, it will say, he takes control of the territories all the way to the border of Egypt. What does that remind us of? All the language of Dan. 11 that we already connect to Panium. This went to the borders (pointing to the history of 1989 to 1991). 1989 is Panium (pointing to WWIII). At Panium, it only goes to the borders (pointing to 2021). You don't need to take the country to destroy it as the super-power. The KOS is dead in 1991. Russia itself did not need to fall. So, to the borders in 1991, and to the borders in 2021. Ptolemy is defeated all the way to the borders of Egypt.

At this point in time, the KON is tempted to take Egypt (pointing to where Antigonus retakes the SOI that Demetrius had lost). So, why didn't he just keep going and conquer Egypt? Two reasons; two distractions: 1) The Nabateans. They were harassing him; not war but they were launching attacks on his army. Where did the Nabateans come from? They were the direct descendants of Ismael. The KON had a problem with the direct descendants of Ismael. 2) The rise of Seleucus. Seleucus was beginning to become increasingly powerful. While Antigonus is distracted fighting a war with Ptolemy, Seleucus sneaks to Babylon and takes over. So, in the midst of all the distractions, Seleucus rapidly grows in power. So, the two threats that Antigonus faces are the descendants of Ishmael, and the rise of Seleucus.

Thus, in 2021, there are two threats that US will face that will put a restraint on its war with Russia: 1) The descendants of Ishmael; 2) The rise of the Papacy. The papacy is going to rise from Panium to SL. This is what is known as the Babylonian War, a war where Antigonus and Seleucus fights for the control of Babylon. Seleucus wants power. Does Antigonus easily give it to him? No. Seleucus has to fight for it. So, when we talk about the US and the papacy, they went into an alliance here in 1989. But what happened was that John Paul II (J.P. II) was having to fight for power because the US will not just give it to him, and the papacy was too weak. J.P. II was too weak. They were still in their scattering time. They haven't resurrected. They are torn by internal divisions. We focus on the alliance between Ronald Reagan and John Paul II, and we forget that they fought. Directly after 1989, J.P.II wanted power but the US would not give it to him. And J.P.II failed to gain that power.

Remember the counterfeit. This is 1888 (pointing to 1989). Failure for the papacy. They are going to fight again for power (pointing to Antigonus v. Seleucus). It does not look like they are good friends. Antigonus and Seleucus were not meeting in the basement being secret friends. They fought. The papacy wants power at the end of the world just like they wanted it here in 1989. They are going to have to fight for it. D.T. will not just hand over his power without it being wrenched from him. When Antigonus goes to the borders, he is stopped by the descendants of Ishmael and the rise of Seleucus. So, it concludes with what is known as the "Peace of the Dynast" where all the dynasties made peace-the dynasties of Ptolemy, Cassander, and Lysimachus-made peace with Antigonus. Antigonus made peace with all the others so that he can focus on Seleucus and fight for Babylon. At the end of it, Seleucus rises victorious and establishes his own dynasty known as the Seleucid Dynasty. And he went east and does a work and rapidly expanded his territory. Just remember the counterfeit. God's people are in the scattering (pointing between 2019 and 2021). We are in a mess. But we are about to come together. We know that God will restore. This is the cross (pointing to 2019). The disciples; they're restored; gathered together; unified; Pentecost, 2021. They begin to rise; all a preparation for the work of the Gentiles. The Papacy, symbolized by Seleucus, follows the same model.

Because they're a counterfeit, they're in a mess (pointing to the "Peace of the Dynast.") They're going to unite, rise, at the SL, and do a work. So, the 3rd D.W. begins to connect to the study of the counterfeit. Then we come to WWI. So, WWI is perhaps the most difficult because of the quantity of the information, but we need to be able to follow our thread. You have two sides in WWI just like you do in WWII. There are E.F. and W.F. in WWI and WWII. It's going to take us before from where the war begins and see how it develops. There are two sides in opposition: Germany and Russia. These are the same powers as in WWII. It's just not Stalin vs Hitler. These two powers are in opposition. Germany and Austria-Hungary are united. Both of these together represent US-two sides of the US in this history. Germany is the KON but we also have the story of the two horned (Austria-Hungary) power as it's broken. And the fight between these two united forces against Russia fighting over the SOI, particularly Serbia.

Serbia has a great deal of aspirations. It's a Slav country inhabited by the Slav people. But, it's just one country. There are all these other territories comprised

of Slavs. And, **Austria-Hungary (A-H)** has control over all of them. It controls their economy. It manipulates their politics. These countries are tired of Austria-Hungary bullying them. So, they side with Serbia, particularly frustrated with Austria-Hungary. Serbia and Austria-Hungary have a bad relationship. Serbia had been supported by Russia. So, Russia supported Serbia who's being bullied by A-H. Serbia has a plan. It wants to unite all the Slav countries as one Slav kingdom. A-H sees that as a threat. If all the Slavs unite, they would threaten A-H. They must stay broken up. There is one country, Bosnia. Serbia wants to begin by taking control of Bosnia to unite them. But, before they can do that A-H comes down and annexes Bosnia. This is known as the **Bosnian crisis. It was a major catalyst, perhaps the major catalyst for WW**I. A-H takes the SOI from Serbia. A-H wanted to take control of that territory.

Coming back to this history of 1989, US vs USSR, we've already defined the US as the KON. The KOS defines itself in the history of 1989-1991. Now, it's in the Middle East (M.E.). You have Iraq. Iraq has a bad relationship with the US. They had been under the protection of Russia. Iraq wanted to unite the M.E. US saw that as threat. There is one territory that they wanted. Kuwait. So, Iraq invades Kuwait. US attacks Iraq, forces them out, and takes control of Kuwait. So, US took control over the SOI the way A-H took Bosnia. Iraq was upset. Serbia was upset. The KOS was too weak in that history to defend Serbia's interest against Germany. Russia was too weak in 1990-1991 to defend Iraq against the US. So, we are simplifying that history and moving quickly. I just want to mark the Bosnian Crisis and place its application in 1989 to 1991-the Gulf War. Because of Serbia, all the Slav territories now had a vendetta. They were now angry with A-H. So, the Slavic countries formed a terrorist organization called the "Black Hand". It's the Bosnian Crisis that caused the terrorist organization to form, and they are going to attack A-H. In the history of 1989 to 1991, G. Bush does a speech where he is talking about this crisis, how the US is the global leader, they are not going to tolerate Iraq, and those middle-eastern dictatorship. He does that speech on 9-11-1990. Why did Islam strike on 9-11-2001? This was known as the G. Bush's speech on the New World Order (NWO), America in control of the world. And its focus of attack was the M.E., all surrounding the 1st Iraq war.

To recap, there's a conflict between Serbia and A-H. A-H takes the SOI that Serbia wanted. There's a conflict between Iraq and the US over Kuwait. The US took the

SOI that Iraq wanted. The Slavs are so angry at A-H that they form a terrorist organization known as the "Black Hand". These Islamic countries were so angry at the US, people are going to come within them and form a terrorist organization called "Al Qaeda". The reason the Al Qaeda hates the US so much is because the US interferes with other countries and the chief example is this crisis. the history of 1989 to 1991, the Gulf war. They know about G. Bush's speech. They hate America for that speech, the speech of 9-11-90. So, when they attacked, they chose the anniversary, 9-11-2001. So, from the Bosnian Crisis, you're going to see the forming of the "Black Hand". From the Crisis in the M.E., you saw the development of "Al Qaeda". This is why I wanted to make sure that we can separate the Taliban from the Al Qaeda. They are not the same thing. The "Black Hand" decided to strike against A-H by assassinating the arch duke, Franz Ferdinand in 06-28-1914. "Al Qaeda" struck in 9-11. And then you're going to have the response. A-H already wanted to go to war with Serbia. In fact, they were just looking for an excuse to go to war with Serbia. The People of A-H hated Franz Ferdinand (F. F.). His own family hated him. His country did not mourn him. But, they need an excuse. They found their excuse.

They were determined to go to war with Serbia. But, if they attacked Serbia just on the basis of F.F.'s assassination, other countries will protest, particularly Russia. So, what A-H did was, they sent an ultimatum to Serbia. If you don't meet our demands, what they really meant was to surrender their sovereignty of their country, surrender their control of Serbia, give A-H full control of Serbia, or else, they will be destroyed. This was essentially, their ultimatum. Because of this crisis of 9-11-2001, the US also sent an ultimatum to Iraq. Basically, the ultimatum was -" surrender your entire country. You have so many hours for Saddam and his sons to exit Iraq or else we'll come down and take control of your country forcefully". Serbia complied with most of the ultimatum but not all. Iraq complied with most of the ultimatum but not all. Neither countries completely surrendered their sovereignty. So, here, war began between A-H and Serbia but the war was not confined to just these two countries. Within days, it became A-H and Germany against Russia and the West. So, it became a war in two fronts for Germany. So, in 2003, this war began in two fronts. We can speak about the W.F. I want to focus on E.F. So, Germany and A-H, they united against Russia. Germany had a plan of how they were going to overthrow Russia and win the E.F.

This is the E.F.-Germany vs Russia. Germany is having some problems fighting on two fronts. So, they decide that they were going to use different tactics. They are going to end the war in the E.F. by causing internal problems in Russia. What they wanted to do was to take this man who is hiding out in Switzerland, this rebel, who has threatened to over-throw the czar, and they wanted to send him into Russia to overthrow the Russian government. That man was Vladimir Lenin (V.L). V.L. was hiding in Switzerland for about ten years. He was banished from Russia because he wanted to overthrow the Russian czar. So, Germany found him in Switzerland, and they decided to put him on a train, seal up all the windows, seal up all the doors, and they were going to send him from Switzerland to Russia, incognito, so that he can overthrow the Russian government. He had promised that if he took control of the power, he'll end the war with Germany.

V.L. took power of Russia in 11-8. We have been in war with Iraq and Russia since 2003. WWI began here in the E.F. between 2001 and 2003. If you listen to V.P. speak, he's speaking about invading Ukraine. It's this story, (pointing to the alliance between the KON and the KOS, and the SOI), the 4th D.W., the Pyrrhic war, invading Ukraine (pointing to 2014). People accuse V.P. of invading Ukraine against international law. And his response is, "US invaded Iraq against international law". It's here (pointing to 2003) that V.P. turned against the West. The external sources will tell you that. It's here that Putin decided to fight against the West. Before the invasion of Iraq, he had been trying to work with the US. At 2003, they turned against each other. He comes up with a plan. He's at war with this country, and he's not doing well against Obama. He doesn't do well with Clinton. But, there is this other fellow, D.T. who promised to drain the swamp, bring American troops from war, and restore relationship with Russia. V.P. is going to facilitate that. Without V.P., D.T. would not have won that election. Without Germany, V.L. could not have overthrown the czar. V.L. overthrows the czar in 11-8. Trump overthrows the US government in 11-8. We speak about 11-9. 11-9 is the story of the dictator. 2016 election was 11-8. The winner is declared 11-9. But that's just counting the vote.

So, the KOS. Remember that this is failure (pointing to WWI). WWI is a failure. Germany lost the war, fighting on two fronts. So, they decide to overthrow Russia from the inside by helping V.L. V.P. is not winning that war against the US (pointing to our history). So, he is going to end by sending in D.T. to overthrow

the US government; to drain the swamp. And he does just that. Lenin ends the war with Germany at the **Treaty of Brest-Litovsk**. In this treaty, Germany and Russia make peace. But it's at a great loss to Russia. It's Russia that loses great deal of territory, people and resources. So, the peace treaty costs Russia. This is the peace treaty between the US and Russia. This is failure (pointing to Brest-Litovsk). This is success (pointing to 2019). But, this peace comes to a great loss to the US. To make peace, the US has to surrender its SOI. So, we need to come back to discuss the waymark (pointing to both peace treaties) because WWII says that 2019 was a battle, beginning of war between the US and Russia. WWI is not a battle. It's a peace treaty and the war concluded. It doesn't begin. WWI gives you the E.F. from 2003 to 2019. WWII gives you the E.F. from 2019 to 2021. The two WWs, they fit like a hand in a glove.

Particularly when you come to 2019, the WWs show every facet of what they could look like. D.T. loses the SOI. He lost the I.W. Is that a peace treaty? Or a battle? Both perspectives are correct. They complement each other. This is victory for the KON (pointing to Brest-Litovsk) in the history of failure. This is victory for the KOS in the history of success (pointing to 2019). The KON is going to lose in the history of failure and Germany loses the war. And this treaty, Brest-Litovsk, where Germany got all these SOI is thrown out. Russia got back all it had lost. This marks the end of WWI (pointing to the Armistice Day); the end of WWII (pointing to 1945). But, there is a process. Germany doesn't know how badly it lost. In the Treaty of Versailles, in 1919, Armistice Day is 1918 and the Treaty of Versailles is 1919. Germany doesn't know how badly it lost until the war formally ended at Versailles.

When you take this model, Acts 27, D.W.s, WWI and WWII, Acts 27 took us to these wars, the wars of Pyrrhus. It gave us witnesses to take us to WWII. That helped unlock **the triple application: WWI + WWII = WWIII.** You have to combine them. The 3rd and the 4th D.W. gave us a second witness. It's just not WWI + WWII = WWIII. It's these two plus the two D.Ws. that equal WWIII. It gives us information from Panium to the SL as the KOS fall and eventually dies. Very briefly, we have taken these four (pointing to the two D.Ws. and the two WWs) and laid out their history. Even though it might look like a mess on the board, it fits like the pieces of the puzzle; the way WWI connects with WWII. The WWI emphasized how it began. WWII emphasized the final part. Each one adds a

different layer. They explain the E.F. in its two parts. If you were to just focus on the line, the application, it gives us a complete view of the relationship between the US and Russia. They were two super-powers at war. They went into this proxy war in Afghanistan. The US overthrew the USSR, taking control over the eastern satellite states. Russia was overthrown from the inside. The US allied with the papacy and the Mujahedeen. Directly after the deadly blow is struck, it starts to take control over the ones sphere of influence. In the M.E., there is this conflict as America keeps interfering. The USSR is too weak because it's falling, to defend the interest of Iraq.

So, America takes control in the Gulf War, acting like a dictator. The UN is not happy. The Countries in the M.E. are not happy. As a result, a terrorist organization formed known as Al Qaeda. The Taliban takes over Afghanistan. Al Qaeda attacked the US at 9-11-2001 as a response to this 9-11, the speech of President George Bush, Sr. The US is going into Afghanistan to overthrow the Taliban. In this history, you will see the breakdown between the papacy and the US. Three leading members of the UN comes to the US and says "Work with us. Don't do your own thing." The US ignores them. The US gives an ultimatum to Saddam Hussein. Give us control over your country or else we'll take it over by force. And the US invades Iraq. The 1st Iraq War began in 2003. This becomes a war which developed into an Information/Proxy War as V.P. turns against the West. In 2003, you have V.P. fighting against G. Bush and having a very bad relationship with Clinton and Obama. In 2014, V.P. is going to invade Ukraine. At the same time, he is going into an alliance with D.T. Then, he is going to send D.T. into the US government, into the office of the president, to overthrow the establishment, abandon the SOI that they have been fighting over since 2003, and make peace between the two countries. Their relationship is strained, and it breaks down. Then they patch up their differences. D.T., the KON, is going to fight against V.P., the KOS, whether you call it a battle or a peace treaty. Both are accurate depending on your perspective. But the KOS, V.P., is going to take all the SOI from D.T. They make peace, but it comes at a cost to the US. But, V.P. will start to lose its SOI. D.T. is going to fight him to the borders of Russia. At this point, D.T. is distracted by Islam and the rise of the papacy.

So, he only goes to the borders. That's all he needs to do. That's the deadly wound. Russia will fall from Panium to the SL. You can see the whole history from

1979 to the SL. It's all laid out by these lines. When you add them all together, it forms a complete story. The relationship between the KON and the KOS. This is all constructed using line upon line methodology.

