

Supreme Court Cases

Tess Lambert March 2020 Portugal

I want us to remember what we've done so far. The studies may seem disjointed but there are some key points that I want us to remember. We started by doing a reform line and we spoke about these messages that each dispensation has one testing message. It may seem like disconnected messages but in fact it's just one. The very first subject that was given to God's people was line upon line, this is reform lines. Is every line a reform line? No. We have our reform line and then we have other histories or other lines that get overlaid with our own. There are four key reform lines, I'm not saying that these are the only lines of reform because there are others. But these are the key ones. These key lines are the beginning and end, the alpha and the omega of Ancient Israel. Then, the alpha and omega, the beginning and the end of Modern Israel. So, we have the beginning and end of Modern Israel and we know this is the captivity of Egypt. Here, they are to come out of Egypt and here is captivity to Rome. In our history we have the Millerites coming out of the 1260 and we have the 144,000 coming out of the 126. So, we have the beginning and end of Ancient Israel and the beginning and end of Modern Israel, Alpha and Omega and Alpha and Omega. These are our key reform lines. What I have suggested is if this is the line of the Priests that began in 1989 with reform lines then we are here. I have suggested that these reform lines become key in understanding the closing history. We understand the principle that God tells the end by the beginning. 2014 becomes our Sunday Law, we then go through the history of the Latter Rain to 2019 our COP. Through these final months just before our COP, we began to refine our reform lines, all three of them. However, one in particular was refined, I believe in a special way, that at this point in our history, the reform line we need more than any other, is the end of Ancient Israel. It will directly tell us the end of Modern Israel. It is an omega history like ours, it is a history of success like ours, and this is where we've been heading from the beginning to study the line of Christ. Because it's the line of Christ, as a history of success, as the end of Israel that will tell us most clearly where we are standing. When we are

discussing the themes that we are focusing on, it becomes this history or reform line that God unpacked for us. When we come to understand another theme for example, The Sunday Law, what reform line do we need to understand? Millerite. We need to take 1850 and 1888. So, we know that we still have work to do in understanding both histories. God began to unpackage these three reform lines but most information was for this history of Christ. I don't mean to diminish the others. The next reform line that God will open up in a comprehensive fashion will be the Millerites, but we are not there yet. The one he's drawn our attention to now is this end of Ancient Israel. This is the one that we have been building up to discuss. That is what we've been meaning to discuss at this school but I wanted to remind us of what has been happening in our history. In our Plowing it's telling us we're in this new reform line and in our Early Rain it's telling us that we are now in TIME and approaching the Sunday Law. As we enter our Latter Rain history and we are required to understand the test of the Sunday Law we studied these two superpowers or two kingdoms of bible prophecy. One on the North and one on the South and we understood the test that we were in. Nationalism, Sexism, and we understood Eden to Eden, New Earth to New Earth, and it's all about Equality. We showed how we take the subject of WW3 and how that becomes a study of Equality. Then we wanted to show that it isn't just a subject here, but in fact this subject of Equality was how our reform line began. We would have no reform line because Church and State would not come together in America if there hadn't been three primary Movements for the Time of the End. So, we discussed these three Movements. We began with the Civil Rights Movements. This is a movement that relates to Nationalism and Racism. We've used different terms for this. We talk about Nationalism and Racism, and they are different shades of the same color. In essence, they are the same thing but with a slightly different perspective. Donald Trump is a Nationalist, he's proud of his nation and he wants his nation to be the best nation. He believes that the reason his nation is so special is because it's this White Christian Nation. He's afraid he's going to lose this nation that he's proud of if it's contaminated with all these inferior people. When we talk about Nationalism and Racism we might be highlighting a different aspect but it's

just like a different shade of the same color. Fundamentally, it's the same thing because you do not have Nationalism without Racism and you don't have Racism without Nationalism. Hitler was a Nationalist, therefore, he had to protect his special nation from contamination by inferior Jews or Gypsies or Homosexuals or people with disabilities. So, that he could keep his nation special and clean. In essence they are the same thing but from a slightly different perspective. So, this happens all around the Civil Rights Movement. I know we've gone through this but I just want to remind us about a couple of important Court Cases. What's the first court case that should come to our minds? Brown vs Board of Education. When was this court case decided by the Supreme Court? 1954. In 1954 the Supreme Court decided that it is unconstitutional to segregate public schools. This began the slow ending of segregation within America. We went a little into this history and we spoke about how the people responded. We spoke about how when the private schools started putting pressure on public schools to desegregate, it took them a long time to put that pressure on them. We gave one example, in the state of Mississippi they put pressure on the schools to desegregate. In 1968 there were 23,000 students in private schools. In 1970, does anyone remember roughly how much that number increased? 23,000 x three times. In other words 63,000. We spoke about one location or one county where white students in public schools numbered 770 and the next year it was 28 and the year after that it was 0. Everyone of those children were put into private schools. This was so prolific that segregation was worse after these court cases than it was before. Do we have any more understanding on what the other court cases were? There's a couple of other court cases that become important. One in particular that happened in 1971. This court case was done by another African American family and their issue was the private schools. First of all they are going to take down the public schools and now they turn to the private schools. In this court case there's two close together, first it was Green vs Kennedy and this is all about the tax exempt status of private schools.

In 1970, there was this court case and it wasn't a strong decision but it was enough for President Nixon to order the IRS to enact a new policy that would make segregated schools pay taxes. In 1971, it was Green vs

Connally and they upheld this. They said that racially discriminatory private schools can't be entitled to tax exemption because it stopped allowing them to define themselves as charities or as charitable educational institutions. It's this Green vs Connally's attack on these private Christian schools that gained the attention of the Religious Right because they were the ones building private schools to take in the white students. We named the two largest schools and what were they? Two of the leading Evangelicals did this and started massive schools. Bob Jones University and Liberty University which used to be called Lynchburg Academy. So, it's this Green vs Connally ruling that started to awaken these Evangelical leaders for the need to be political. That was one movement. If you are a Nationalist you want to keep your nation and your race at the top or supreme, what's the great threat? What they are all afraid of and intervening in government to control is the IRS. The fear is over taxes. We put the thought here that this mentality of these conservative protestant leaders is the exact same mindset that we found in this movement at its beginning. It's this conspiracy theory of this overreaching IRS. It is the IRS that woke up the protestants. It's the IRS that Reagan attacked to win the protestants. He said to an audience of thousands of protestants the fact that the IRS was chasing these segregated private schools was some kind of evil unconstitutional agenda. He sympathized with these private schools. What they are afraid of is the IRS coming for their money and that is also what Elder Jeff was afraid of. It's how he believed that FFA would end. Do you have any comments on that so far? We went back to this nationalism, this racism just like the Jews in Germany. They lived there but they weren't accepted as part of the nation. The Black people in America were viewed the same way, that they weren't American. If you have this racist, nationalists mindset, you're particularly afraid of the Chinese, the South Americans, the Muslims and the Black people. If that's your mindset what are you afraid of? Extinction. Muslims have a lot of babies, the Chinese population is large and growing and they didn't want the African Americans there in the first place. As segregation ends these people become more visible in society. Therefore, they are afraid of the extinction of the White European race. This is the mindset whether the racism is American or any country in the west. The focus is on this racism issue. However, there are two threats.

How can you prevent the white race from being extinct? Because now there are two sub-threats, around this same time, in particular the end of WW2, 1945. In 1960, there comes a movement which was Second Wave Feminism. Back in 1919, you had the First Wave because women want the right to vote and own property and that has been permitted. Why did they permit it? Why did they allow the women to vote? They had already allowed Black men to vote so that the voting rights were equal, white men and black men. However, if you want more white votes the way to do it is to allow white women to vote as well. In one stroke you've doubled the white vote and now three quarters of the population have the right to vote. However, black women were excluded because the purpose of this ruling was really racism. So, it's OK for women to vote and own property. However, in the same history of the Civil Rights Movement, women have now found a new source of power and that is birth control. Now, women are making the decision that maybe they would like to work and have their career as a serious life choice. They think maybe they would like a career rather than a family. So, if you're worried about extinction now how do you feel? And now who is your target? Women, because if you don't want to go extinct, who do you need? Women at home having babies. This became woven into the Civil Rights Movement. We talked about the Third Wave of Feminism 1989 and the Fourth Wave 2012. We are particularly focused on the Second Wave. This was all about women leaving the home and working and deciding to not have children. The second threat was Feminism and they interpreted this as the Biblical breakdown of the family. In the same history, there's another movement fighting for rights in 1969. Does anyone know the name of the event that started this movement? Stonewall. What happened at Stonewall? It's a raid in New York City on this homosexual bar which have been happening frequently by the New York City police. It was quite violent. Something happened at this raid, where these homosexual people suddenly snapped and they had enough and under one body they all united and stood up against the police. Before this they hadn't stood up against the police in any unified way and this was a shock and it began a movement. They became united and said that they had enough of the discrimination and persecution. So, now there are these three civil rights movements and again if you believe that your race is

facing extinction what's the problem with this group, LGBTQ? They can't reproduce. The doctors can do a lot but not to the extent of what happens with a heterosexual couple. As you can see there is this very real fear that the white race will go extinct. People don't like gay people for many reasons, there's all kinds of ideas and prejudices. People don't like women for all kinds of reasons but what I want us to see is that it all leads back to nationalism. In particular issue #1 Nationalism/Racism when it starts impacting finances that sparks the Moral Majority. This is all one reform line or one story and I think we're all familiar with it. It doesn't start because of these issues and then all of a sudden over here now the Protestants care about Sunday, that's not the story. If this was Millerite history than over here you would have Slavery. Then you come to the Time of the End, there's issues about Slavery and there arguing about it in the American political system all of this time. There's a law on it in 1850, which is the big Sunday Law on the 144,000 line and then they fight a war over it. What was the movement here in the Millerite history that grew throughout this time? The Abolitionist Movement. So, you've got these warring external movements, the south fighting for slavery using the Bible and then this rising Abolitionist Movement fighting for equality. Now bring that to our history, you have this largely Southern Christian fight for discrimination just like the Abolitionists Movement, you have another external movement fighting for equality. You have two in Millerite history and two in our history. In the Millerite history, God's people sided with the Abolitionists, so you know now who we are required to side with in our history. In Millerite history it was Slavery all the way through from before the Time of the End until the cup was full till Judgement. In our history what we see that begins our reform line are those issues in America that become the external fight in the history of the Sunday Law. We already expanded on 2014 to 2019 in our last class. We wrote about how you have three men in 2014. Who were those men? Jeff Sessions, Steve Bannon and Stephen Miller. What is Stephen Miller's biggest issue? What issue does he control in the Trump administration? Immigration. This issue. Stephen Miller becomes very important for us to understand. These three men are going to begin overturning the Republican establishment in 2014. There going to start cleaning out all of those middle of the road Republicans who are willing to

work with Obama. They're going to start forcing them out of government and replacing them with these far-right Republicans. They began that work in 2014. Who was the first man they took out in 2014? Eric Cantor. Eric Cantor was a reasonable politician, he didn't have strong nationalistic views and he was willing to compromise and work with Obama on the issue of Immigration. These three men joined forces to get Eric Cantor removed. They're going to get him out of government and bring in a right leaning Republican who will not work with Obama. So, Eric Cantor is the first head to fall. In 2014, another man begins to work, not one of these three. He's going to work on one branch of government and what's his name? Mitch McConnell. In 2014, the Republican took the House and he calls this work the greatest thing he's ever done in politics. What was that thing? What's the greatest thing he could possibly do in politics? He stopped Obama from appointing Judges to the Judicial System. We already spoke about how many judges George Bush appointed and how many Obama appointed and we saw that Trump has appointed as many Judges in three years as Obama appointed in eight years. The reason he was able to do this was because Mitch McConnell had blocked Obama from filling any vacancies. When Trump became President there were all of these vacancies and he can fill these vacancies with whatever Judges he wants. We spoke about the balance within the Supreme Court between Liberals and Conservatives and what is it now of nine Judges? Five conservative and four Liberal. If Ruth Bader Ginsburg dies it will be six conservative and three liberal. People are already acknowledging even if Trump lost the next election it would be decades if not a generation before you could undo the damage that he has done. Getting him out of office will not undo this damage. Ruth Bader Ginsburg along with many others knows that she has to survive at least till the end of the year in the hopes that they get Trump out of office. Everyone is rooting her on. That work began in 2014. They have done the work in the judicial system and now all of these things are coming up in court cases. The problem is that it's an election year and there are all of these problems with the Democrats. You have the CoronaVirus and there are so many things happening right now. We are not aware of the court cases but we should all research the court cases, in particular the ones that began in 2018 and 2019 and are getting decided this year. There's a

danger that as we focus on all of these other issues, even just on the words that Trump speaks we are not seeing the court cases that are happening. At the beginning of his term when he wanted to get Immigration bills passed people could still stop him but the last travel ban went before the Supreme Court and it favored on the side of Trump. The decision was 5-4. The five conservative voted for it and four liberals against it. These actions of Trump are no longer being held back by the Supreme Court. We spoke in our last class about how the Supreme Court has acted as a check on the Religious Right for at least the last 100 years. However, now we find within the last five years all of that has changed. Back here, before our reform line, it's all about these court cases, the interpretation of Laws and the interpretation of the Constitution. We come into our reform line and church and state unite and in the dispensation of our Sunday Law what we should be focusing on are these court cases. Here it's about court cases and here it's about court cases. It's about the judicial system here and the judicial system here. This has held back the Religious Right and Church and State. Church and State have been restored, they've come back. The history of Church and State are about the same issues and court cases. Also, about the interpretation of laws and the interpretation of the Constitution. There's one important court case that I want to include, this was a court case in 1989. It also became relevant last year, again. Back in 1964, you had the Civil Rights Act. It's title 7 of the Civil Rights Act included the following clause; It's illegal for an employer to discriminate based on race, religion or sex. So, that means that an employer cannot discriminate against his or her employee because of the race that they are, the religion that they are or their gender. In 1989, there was a woman who was very successful in her job and what happened was that this firm that she worked for was not friendly to women. The firm was Price Waterhouse. She was supposed to be given a promotion but she was not given that promotion because she didn't wear makeup, they said she was too strong, that she needed to be more feminine, she needed to do her hair better, and that she needed to change the tone of her voice. These were all of the reasons that they gave her that were directly related to her as a woman as to why she was not given this important promotion. So, she took them to the Supreme Court and she won and Price Waterhouse was forced to give her that promotion.

She was able to prove that she was discriminated against because of her gender. This is the court case in 1989, Price Waterhouse vs Hopkins. Why that is an issue now is because this Civil Rights Act says that you can't discriminate based on race, religion or sex. What is being argued over is that when you say gender that what you are talking about is gender stereotypes. That someone must look and act a certain way to fit into society. Late 2019, there's another case before the Supreme Court and in this case it's based on the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Price Waterhouse vs Hopkins and there are three cases where homosexuals have been discriminated against in their workplace. They've taken it to the Supreme Court and there arguing that they are being discriminated against because of gender stereotypes. The Supreme Court will decide this year whether the Civil Rights Act protects homosexuals or not. This is just one of the cases before the Supreme Court but there are many others. These cases relate to women and minorities, specifically Immigrants and African Americans. So, there are court cases about race, gender and about homosexuality, all of these are before courts. You can see the same related issues. I will give you a news article in "The Conversation." It's titled "Does the Civil Rights Act protect LGBT workers? The Supreme Court is about to decide." This will tell you about this case and then I would encourage you to go back and look at this 1989 court case, look it up on Wikipedia. There are three court cases to look up; Bostock vs Clayton County. I'll give you one more article, New York Times, "Supreme Court Considers Whether Civil Rights Act Protects LGBT workers." I believe this article will give more information than the previous recommended articles because it seems more comprehensive. Prior to the Time of the End there are three movements and the uniting of the Religious Right. Steve Bannon will tell you that everything went wrong in this history. In particular the later years of George Bush Sr., Bill Clinton, George Bush Jr., and then everyone panicked under Obama. He was their worst nightmare for these Christian Nationalists and they united again and this time it was with a plan. Whatever your view of 2014 as a Sunday Law is, this is where it all began. The taking down of the Judicial System and the American Government which consists of the The Judicial System, the Legislative System and the Presidency. They won the Presidency in 2016 but they began taking down

the American Government way before Trump ever decided to run. So, that is as it stands today and this dispensation from 2014 - 2019 has tested all three groups. Because the Nethinims can see these court cases, Donald Trump and all that is happening as well as we can. Of course, we know that they are lacking the Prophetic Message. I'm not saying that they have all they need but this has been a Plowing, their Preparation based on all of this work. So, it is what began our reform lines and these same court cases in this dispensation began the reform line of the World. This is the reform line of the Nethinims or the World and you can see the same issues. When you come to their history of Harvest you come down to the Sunday Law history, you know it's not going to be these issues and when you come down to the Sabbath Sunday issue, that is not what the Protestants have been fighting about. That is not the change that they have to see in society. Does that make sense? I've said all I wanted to on these subjects and the next step is to start drawing out the history of Christ which would require us to erase this board work. I'm going to close now but I just want us to keep these things in our mind as we discuss the history of Christ. Even as we look at his history, when we do line upon line and see what Christ is trying to teach his disciples, overlaid with our Latter Rain, we can begin to understand what he's trying to teach us. What do we mean when we say that Elder Jeff had the wrong understanding of the knowledge of the Kingdom or the geography (location) of the Kingdom. When we do the line of Christ we can keep this in our minds. The issues that Christ was fighting, the unlearning of the disciples is not the same thing that we need to unlearn. We are not expecting that Adventists are going to start a State and become a Political Power and find this secret Nation Island somewhere and set up an Adventist Empire. The Jews were expecting the return of their Empire but we are not expecting an Adventist Empire. So, what is it that we have wrong? If you kneel with me well, close in prayer.

Dear Father in Heaven, thank you for our blessings. We see how you have guided us but also how you're guiding others, you dont wish anyone to be lost. Those who cannot be immediately reached by us because we're not ready and they are afraid. You are already preparing them, the same time that you are training us. Lord, we do not wish to get to Heaven and see that there are people lost because we didn't do our work properly. I pray that

you will help us as we endeavor to understand what it is about your character that we don't understand and unlearn our mistakes. I pray that you will help us in this. In Jesus name - Amen