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I want to begin with review. We covered a lot of information yesterday, and especially when it's given in **Catholic Vatican style language**, it can be hard to get to the point.

So, I just want to review what we covered yesterday to begin with, probably going back more to the beginning.

When we lined up with the history of **Christ** in our own history, and we didn't place the **counterfeit**, but that was the thought that we had.

In history of **success** you see both leaders at **the time of the end**, the first and the second angel, **Christ** and **John, Parminder** and **Jeff**. The **second** arrives at the way mark of **Baptism** or **2001**. And they become more visible, but they are not yet leading the movement, until you have **Christ come out of the wilderness**, after the **Marriage of Cana,** finally at the **first temple cleansing**, around the time of **the imprisonment of John**, lining that up with **2014**

So, that was the whole point of lining those two histories up, was to show why, when we come to **the time of the end** for modern **Babylon,** we see **both leaders** at the same point in time.

Just to give a little bit of history, it's possibly an oversimplified version, but around the time of the **Second Vatican Council** you had in the **1860s** late **1860's,** early **1870s**, you had much of **Latin America** engulfed in **Civil War**.

So, that the **southern countries of America** were going through some extremely difficult **political** time. Thousands of people **disappeared** or were **killed.** You had **Militia governments** fights war really between **Capitalist style dictatorships**, propped up by the **United States.**

And these more **socialistic communistic** parties. And the **Catholic Church** in in the southern countries of America, **Latin America** began to become quite **political**, in this history of **civil war**, particularly in one country **El Salvador**.

So, the **Catholic Church** in that country, the **Priests and Bishops**, they started becoming concerned about the plight of all of the **poor** people, who in this history of **Civil war**, was **suffering** most acutely.

They began to promote the **needs** of the poor and the working class. And this essentially is what **drew** them to a type of **Catholic doctrine**, that was equivalent or **the religious equivalent to socialism**.

So the **Catholic Church**, in those countries, much of them started to become **political,** leaning towards this **new type of theology** that was developing, that the **Catholic Church** needed to be involved in taking care of those who are struggling, **minority** groups, the **poor**, all of those different areas, **women**.

And this happened around the same time as the **Second Vatican Council**.

It influenced the **Second Vatican Council**, because much of the reforms are really **liberalistic, and socialistic in ideas**.

So, if you were to think of that concept, **this theology** that was developing in **Latin America**, their ideas of taking care of the poor. For example, if you are a strong **Catholic**, you do not believe in **contraception of any kind**, unless it's some type monitoring a woman's monthly cycle, otherwise no **contraception of any kind is permitted**.

**Abortion is just the farthest end of that**. They don't approve of abortion. But before you get to abortion, before you get pregnant, the **Catholic Church does not permit any form of contraception**.

So, you have all of these **poor** people in **Latin America**, and many of them having a great deal of children, **because they can't regulate their pregnancies.**

And in many cases, women who were struggling to **support their children**, and their fathers who had left home, would only come home **occasionally,** would **sleep** with her, get her **pregnant,** and then leave her **with a new baby** to care for. Or in many cases, as a **Civil war progressed of mass rape**. And these women were **bearing the load of raising families and children.**

So, when you this **Catholic Church** starts to care about their plight, they start thinking, we need to be able to **give** these women **contraceptives**, because this **constantly** producing children is creating a burden on their life.

So, naturally these **liberal liberation theologies**, which is what it becomes known as, it really does become **liberal** in its **mindset**. Because it's caring about the people. **Contraception** is just one example of that, trying to meet the needs of the people. And when they tried to meet the needs of the people, **it regularly goes against** **Catholic theology**.

So, in **Latin America** in the 1**960s** and **70s**, particularly, this **theology** developed in **wartime**, known as **liberation theology**. It was particularly taken up by the **Jesuits**.

Just quoting here,

 “**The Latin America's bloodthirsty cold warriors**

 **Liberation Theology,**

 **was a synonym for communism”**

So, the **capitalist style dictatorships**, some of which were being **armed** and propped up by the **United States**, they began to turn on **the Catholic** **Church**. And many **Catholics died**. **Catholics** who believed in this **Liberation Theology**, they were **killed by the government**, for promoting this **Liberation Theology**. Because it was seen as **political**.

And particularly in **El Salvador**, the government **Militias** would shout a phrase; “**Be a Patriot, kill a Priest’**.

So, that was their **mindset** towards **Catholicism** through this history.

If you want to be **Patriotic**, **Be a Patriot, kill a Priest**. Because it was the **Priests**, the **Catholic Church**, that were promoting **Socialism** in **Latin America.**

I want to come back to **1979.**

**1979**, where does **John Paul** go? He goes to the **United States**, to **Washington.**

He also goes somewhere else, in **1979**, he visits **South America** and he goes to **Mexico.** He attracts the **largest crowd in history**, estimated at **5,000,000** people, showing that he had a **Political influence** to reckon with. And he used his **power to denounce** **Liberation Theology**.

So, **John Paul** grows up in **Poland**, he's an **extreme Conservative**, his mother dies when he's about **eight years old**. And if you want to break down his **psychology**, he has a bit of an **issue with women**, his mother was extremely sick, he lost her when he was 8 years old.

He turned to **Mary**, but this is the problem with the **Catholic Church**, they **mask** their **sexism with Mary**, as if they look up to **Mary**, because they're looking up to this **mother**. But when he looks **up** to **Mary** it's such vialed, but extreme **sexism.** A **woman has worth** as long as she's a **virgin.** As long as she’s lifted up as this **pure glowing holy thing**. Not for her mind, not for her actions, **only a pure womb**. That's how the **Catholic Church** views a **woman.**

So, he's mother dies when he's **8 years old**, and he turns, in an **extreme** way, to the **Catholic Church and to Mary**. And is extremely **Conservative**.

So, as he’s trying to see the downfall of **Communism**, he has all of these troublesome **Jesuits,** particularly **Latin America**, promoting **Socialism** and this **Liberation Theology**.

In **Mexico**, in **1979,** he attracts a crowd of **5,000.000** people and starts to publicly **denounce this Liberation Theology**. He says, “**When they begin to use political means, they cease to be theologians**”.

Can we sense **John Paul's** **hypocrisy**? Was he **Political**? Yes, he was **Political.**

But when these **Priests** are going against his **Political ideals**, promoting of what is quiet **Liberalism,** he begins to **accuse** them of using **Political means.**

He has a particular **issue with women**. Quoting,

“**Women were the thorn in John Paul's side, their challenge pains him more than pains at this point. Their demands and criticism enrage him, yet the searing controversies surrounding birth control, divorce, and the ordination of women, are not going to disappear. The revolution in women's rights is ongoing. John Paul two's opposition to it is fixed, his rigidity is well known. As his line has hardened, his temper has flared. In 1995 he met with the Undersecretary of the United Nations, her name was Naufice Saudek, The Undersecretary of the United Nations on population and development. She met with him privately at the Vatican, and they began to have this veiled disagreement about the role of abortion in developing countries. She insisted that the matter had to be addressed. Domestic violence was rising, and women were often becoming pregnant unwillingly. John Paul burst out angrily, “Don't you think that the irresponsible behavior of man is caused by women”, perhaps such a view is not surprising in a Polish patriarch of the Pope's generation**”.

So, this **article** it begins to break down his **mentality,** it's **extremely sexist**, extremely **not** **Liberation Theology**, put it that way extremely **Conservative.**

So, in **1979** he travels to **South America** and **denounces** this **Liberation** **Theology**, that's been rising up over the last ten years. A couple of years later in **1981** he directly takes on the **Jesuits**. The **Jesuits** have been fairly independent to **the Vatican**, they've been quite **strong-willed**, and despite opposition they've been **promoting** this **Liberation Theology**.

In **1981**, the **head** of the **Jesuit Order**, I don't think he died, I think he had had a stroke; he wasn't able to continue as **Head of the Jesuit Order**. Until this time, it was known that the **Jesuits,** the **head of the Jesuit Order**, is known as the **Black Pope**, if you've heard that term.

Because there's a **second highest** in command in the **Catholic Church**, it goes **Pope, Head of the Jesuit Order**, that's the **hierarchy**. That **Black Pope** could no longer **fulfill** his job function. And the **Jesuit Order elected his successor** as is always the practice**.**

Quoting from Time magazine **1981;**

“**John Paul has entered a high-stakes game, the Jesuits are the largest and the most dominant of the men's orders, twenty-seven thousand of them, far flung influence in education, theology, and missions. Their general, the head of the Jesuit Order, is considered the second most powerful figure in Roman Catholicism. John Paul ii no stranger to controversy, last week top took a bold step to bridle the Society of Jesus. In a move interpreted as a warning to all religious orders, he suspended the normal workings of the Jesuit Constitution, removed to the acting leader of the organization, and replaced him with two Italian Jesuits, who were Conservatives and essentially, acted as puppet leaders. Pontiff's have intervened in the past by dictating the elections of superior general, head of the Jesuit Order. In 1773 Pope Clement the 14th, even dissolved the society. A 41-year long humiliation that some Jesuit intellectuals close to the Vatican are comparing with John Paul's treatment**.”

So, all through his rise, and then finally when he became **Pope** in **1978,** then in **1979** when he goes to **Mexico**, he’s **denouncing** this **Liberation Theology.**

**1981**, he begins to take **control** of the **Jesuit Order**, and he **forces** them into **submission**. He **removes** the **leaders** and puts in **puppet leaders**, and they're supposed to **elect** their own **leadership**.

And the **Jesuits** close to the **Vatican** starts to **compare** **John Paul ii** and what he's done to the **Jesuit Order**, with the abolishing in **1773.**

So, there's this **war,** and we've alluded to that, this is just a little bit more detail. We've alluded to this **Civil war inside the Papacy**, in this time period. When in**1989**, in this history, you had **John Paul ii versus the Jesuits**. It had been **developing in the history previously**.

In **1989,** we could come back to **El Salvador, El Salvador** was in **Civil war**, it was one of the areas that was promoting this **Liberation Theology**.

**In 1989** troops belonging to **El Salvador, troops** that had been **American trained,** killed six **Jesuit priests.** This was a **response** to what the **Liberation Theology**, those **Jesuits** had been preaching.

So, the **government,** members of the **government's Militia**, attacked the **Catholic Church** and kill six leading **Jesuit Priests**. This caused such an **outrage**, it led to the end of **the Civil War in El Salvador**.

In **2019,** this is a **New York Times** article, it takes us back to**1989**.

“**The killing of the Jesuit priest in El** **Salvador, the political impacts of that.**” And then it speaks about how **2019**, “**Pope Francis the first Jesuit Pope, visited Central America and hailed the Jesuits working in Central America as pioneers in the struggle for social justice**”.

So, what does that tell you about **Pope Francis's** position on **Liberation Theology**?

He said they were, “**Pioneers in the struggle for social justice**”.

So, **John Paul** condemns them.

**Malachi Martin** called them the **betrayers** of the **Catholic Church**,

**Pope Francis** calls them the “**Pioneers for social justice**”.

So, we go into this history of **1989** and we see **John Paul ii** and the **Jesuits**, there in this **civil war**, and they're **half right and half wrong**.

**John Paul** is the leader, just as **Butler** was the **leader**. He's **right** on one thing, he's **right** on the need to **overthrow the** **Soviet Union**.

But the **Jesuits** are **right** on **Liberation Theology**.

The changes of the **Second Vatican Council**, which we lined up with **1863** This was **organization**, this was **organization**.

Audience asking a question

No, the **Civil war** was ongoing, it was a major **catalyst** in the end of the war. Because the **international outcry at the killing of Catholic Priests**, it **forced** people to **negotiate** peace.

So, we know **John Paul's** position on **Liberation Theology**.

We line that up with **1888**, the **leadership opposing this radical new faction**, with seemingly **new doctrine**. Lining that up with **Butler**. The **leadership** **of opposing Wagner**, and their supposed **radical new doctrine**. We find that **both** had **elements** that were **correct** and **incorrect**.

**John Paul 2nd**, is the **leader** who's doing a good work, but he's **rejecting** this **new theology**. **Butler** rejected **justification by faith**, **John Paul** 2nd rejected **Liberation Theology**.

We lined those two up, and then if that’s **1888,** the next way mark on our reform line is **the time of the end**,**1989.** We lined that up with **2001**. And that's when we see a **new leadership raised**.

In our history, at **the time at the end**, So, how many do we have? Two, **both** arrive at the **same time**, first and second angel, **Elder Jeff** and **Elder Parminder.**

Compared and contrast that, we saw in **2001**, the rise of **Ratzinger**, who's going to be **the first angel**, and then **Bergoglio,** who will become **the second.**

I just want to mention **Ratzinger's** position on **Liberation Theology**, he is the most **prolific theologian the Catholic Church** has had in **a hundred years.**

But his position on **Liberation Theology**, was **not** favorable. It was in line with **John Paul ii**. He said **Liberation Theology** was a singular heresy, he blasted the **new movement** as a **fundamental threat** to the church and prohibited some of its leading proponents from **speaking publicly**.

In an effort to clean house, **Ratzinger** even summoned **outspoken** **Priests** to **Rome**, and **censured** them on grounds, that they were **abandoning** the **Church's** spiritual role, for inappropriate **socio-economic activism.**

So, **Ratzinger** is not favorable to **Liberation Theology**, he calls it, a **singular** **heresy,** and a **fundamental threat**. So, he's not going to take on this **Liberation Theology**.

Instead in **2001**, he's going to take on **the sexual abuse crisis.** The greatest **crisis** since the **Protestant Reformation.**

In **2001,** he takes over all of those cases **worldwide**, and he begins to clean up, in his own words, the **filth** inside the **Catholic Church**. At the same point in time we have **Bergoglio**, and he becomes a **Cardinal**, he meets some of those **radical Liberation Theologies** believing **Cardinals**, that had formed the top-secret **Saint Gallen group**, under **Ratzinge**r and **John Paul ii**. They had pretty much gone into **hiding.** Because they would get **censured**, sometimes **excommunicated**.

So, this secret group, this **Saint Galen's group**, that were bent towards **Liberation Theology**. They formed this **top-secret** group and started planning how they would **overturn the Catholic Leadership** and bring in a **Pope** who believed in this type of **Liberalism.**

They meet **Bergoglio** **in 2001**, when he becomes **Cardinal**. t's also worth noting that in **2001** he had been **relatively** unknown, **Bergoglio** does this act in **South America** where he visits victims of **HIV/Aids**, and he **kisses** and washes the feet of **AIDS patients.**

This has become a major part of his, you could say **cult of personality**, every time people think that he's bad or has done something wrong, people say well, in **2001** he washed the feet of **AIDS patients**, it became quite famous, So, you begin to see him **recognized**

We mark up here, **2001** the **arrival of the second angel**.

In **2005,** we see the arrival of **Bergoglio.**

In **2001** we mark the death of **leadership**.

In **2005** we mark the death of **leadership**.

It wasn’t just **John Paul** who died in **2005,** who else died? **Lucia**

So, you mark the death, it wasn't just of their leadership, it was **John Paul**, it was also **Lucia**, they both died in **2005.** You have the death of leadership and then at this **Conclave**, being the meeting where they elect a new **Pope,** you have **Bergoglio** come in second.

So. he is for the first time internationally recognized.

We see **Ratzinger** become **Pope Benedict**, but we also have **Bergoglio** coming in second place.

In this history we mark **Elder Jeff**, he's still the leader. But then in **2012,** we have this crisis in the movement, of **time setting**. He's on the wrong side of that crisis.

**2005** to **2012**, **Pope Benedict** is Pope, but he's losing this struggle to clean out the **Papacy**, the **Vatican** particularly.

In **2012** there is this scandal, the **Vati-Leaks scandal** demonstrates his failure to clean out, particularly not just the issues of i**mmorality**, but the **financial misdoings**.

**2014,** we mark a transition in leadership, from **Elder Jeff** to **Elder Parminder**, from the **first angel** to the **second angel.**

**2012**, because of this scandal **Benedict** steps down, first **Pope** in 600 years, and we had the introduction of **Bergoglio,** he finally comes in.

So, this is the empowerment of the second angel, the empowerment of the second angel.

Immediately **Pope Francis** begins to make a storm, in **2013,** someone asked him about **homosexuality** in the **Church**, and he says, **“If someone is gay and he searches for the Lord and has good will, who am I to judge**”.

This causes a storm within the **Catholic Church**, it's not the normal **Catholic** response to **homosexuality**. People become **more** concerns than ever before about the direction he's going to take the **Catholic Church** in.

And then in **2013.** just after becoming **Pope**, he announces that in **2014** there's going to be an extraordinary **Synod.** Extraordinary, meaning unusual, or, not after the normal **Catholic** meetings, it's not one that would have just been part of their role in calendar.

He's called a **special Synod** on the family.

And when you start talking about the family, it's all of those **conservative doctrines** that people have been fighting about, it's not just **homosexuality, gay marriage**, it's also **contraception,** the **role of women** in the family, and the **Church**, all of those different things.

So, he’s choosing this **Synod** on the family, this is what all of those **Liberation Theology** arguments center around.

And we went through a history of that **Synod**. There is a book written by a man we should remember; his name is **Edward Penton.**

And the title of the book is, he's a leading **Catholic.**

If you go to **EWTN,** which we spoke of yesterday, you'll find him being interviewed on camera, article after article, he's a common **Catholic journalist,** conservative. And it's titled, “**The Rigging of a Vatican Synod**”.

Do we all know what **rigging** means? Who wants to explain to us the meaning of rigging, in case anyone's confused by that word?

**Brother Willie**, what does it mean to rig something?

What's commonly rigged? **Elections**. Lack of t**ransparency** during an election.

I would suggest it starts with that, but it goes further than just not transparency. Why don't you have transparency in an election? Because you're **cheating.**

So, you're trying to **manipulate** the outcome. When you rig something, you do something in **secrecy,** because you're trying to **manipulate** the outcome.

And this is what **Edward Penton** and other **Conservative Catholics** start to accuse **Francis** and **Francis's disciples** of in **2014**. That whatever **Pope Francis** said about not questioning the **Vatican Catholic theology**, and it being an open forum, for everyone to have freedom, and discuss his words are meaningless. Because the actual processes by which he conducts this **Synod,** gives this appearance that he is trying to **manipulate** the outcome of the **Synod**.

There is a prominent **Cardinal**, he’s patron of the **Sovereign Military Order of the Knights of Malta**, so that's quite a title. You know if he's a of the **Sovereign Military Order of the Knights of Malta**, that he’s a powerful **Cardinal.** His name is **Raymond Burke.**

He said after the **Synod,** **“it's clear to me that there were individuals who of us obviously had a very strong influence on a Synod process who were pushing an agenda**”.

So, **Cardinal Raymond Burke** immediately accused these individuals at the **Synod** of trying to **manipulate** it, pushing and agenda. And those individuals were hand-picked by **Pope Francis**.

An anonymous **Roman Priest**, closely involved in the **Synod** debates gave this statement anonymously, “**This was a rigged Synod, I call it the rubber Synod**’. This all occurred in **October of 2014.**

One of the chief issues, we discussed it yesterday, is that the **Synod fathers,** those **Bishops**, they were divided into ten small working groups.

So, there was **ten groups** formed, three **Italian,** three **English,** two **Spanish**, two **French**, working in their own languages, who was to oppose amendments to the report.

In preparation to make a final document that they would all sign off on and would be released to the world. So, they were meant to organize in small groups and discuss their points and what they wanted in this final report. And they were to put their **suggestions into writing.**

Normally once those small groups, because this is the way every **Synod** operates, those small groups write the report of what they believe, and then that report is meant to be written out and **given to the public**.

But after these working group sessions had finished, **Cardinal Baldisseri** announced to the participants in the **Synod Hall**, that the reports of the groups would **not be made available to the public**, contrary to the practice of previous Synods. Instead a summary would be made of the group's discussions, which would then be **published,**

All that means is if I have a view and I put it into writing instead of my view being put into writing and distributed, someone is going to take that view, make **a summary** of it, however they want to word it, and then that will be published.

This is what **Pope Francis** had done but **manipulated** the outcome. Because if my views are conservative, **Pope Francis** has liberals interpreting my views and rewording everything. So, that the conservative essence of my writing gets lost

We talked yesterday about **George Pell**, the **Australian** currently in a **maximum-security prison**. He didn't respond well to this, **eyewitnesses** said that he slammed his hand on the table and insisted that people had a right to hear what the **Bishops** were saying.

So, pretty much you had **anarchy** in front of **Pope Francis** in the **Synod Hall.**

Seventeen **Bishops, Cardinals**, one after the other, stood up and **denounced** the decision to not **publish the writings**, there was **uproar** and chanting for a number of minutes. In the end **Pope Francis** caved and he allowed **some publishing**. They called this a **mini revolt.**

So, I think we can all start to appreciate that it's not that easy being **Pope**. It's not that easy even for **Pope Francis** to go in front of all of his **Bishops** and **Cardinals** and say, this is how we're not going to **conduct this meeting**. There in open revolt to his decisions.

But then when it comes to the final working document that was to be released publicly, we discussed how he put those seven **liberals** on the drafting committee for this document, and then finally had to bring in a conservative, and that ended up into **a huge civil war mess itself.**

Of the bishops at the **Synod**, **41** publicly expressed concern, particularly regarding the **language of homosexuality**. They said, there was a seismic shift in tone toward the acceptance of homosexuality. **Conservatives** were outraged according to the **Associated Press**, about a remarkable tone of acceptance extended to gays.

So, they're not claiming to change **Catholic theology**, but they were able to **hijack** the writing of the **final report** and change **the language**.

So, all through this, particularly **2014**, but then all through this history, you have these two groups developing.

And then we also spoke about a separate issue, **RAPAM**. Separate to what he's doing with the **Synod** on the family, they organized this REPAM conference. **REPAM** stands for the **Red Ecclesial Pan-Amazonia**, which just means that it is an **Ecclesial Church**, it's an **Amazonian** conference for the whole of the amazon region.

And it's particularly relating to **human rights** in all of its forms, taking care of the **indigenous,** the **poor**, etc. Which is the **exact** line of thinking as **Liberation Theology**.

We spoke about how this **liberal faction** had access to **Frances**, to conversations between that **liberal** fraction and **Frances** about making bold proposals, starting to introduce **married Priests**, women taking up **roles**, previously **forbidden** to them, etc.

And from **2014** to **2019**, is a steady **progression** of preparation for the **Amazonian Senate**. And you have **two** different narratives developing about this. **EWTN** and **Life Site** news these are the **two,** particularly conservative channels. **Church militant** is extremely conservative, but really in the line of like an independent **Adventist.**

These two, you could say **Conservative** **Adventists**, if you were to compare and contrast, **Church militant** is entirely independent **Adventists**, it's extremely conservative.

We talked about the beginning of the **Amazonian Synod**, and this is when we start to see these **two sides develop**. You have **EWTN** and **NCR**, **National Catholic Reporter**. There's another website known as **National Catholic Register**, it is not the same thing, **it is conservative**. **National Catholic Reporter** is separate to that, and **it is liberal**. So, if you go to **NCR** make sure **it's reporter** and **not register**.

As the **Amazonian Synod** begins in the later months of **2019**, around **October, November**, these **factions** really break into the open.

I want to step back from that and come back prior to that.

So, can we see that there's these **two** factions developing, **Conservatives** and **Liberals** both having a leader to look to. Normally when there's a leadership transition, you find the first leader died.

If you were to go back to the history of **Moses** and **Joshua**, when the **mantle i**s passed to **Joshua,** what happens to **Moses**? He dies,

When you come to the history of **Christ,** and you go from **John** to **Jesus,** what happens to **John?** He dies.

When you come to **2014**, there's a mantle changed, but has **Elder Jeff** gone anywhere? **No.**

So, people now are **tested**, they now have a **choice** between **two** leaders, they don't have to follow the **second**, they can feel comfortable following the **first**.

So, you have these **two factions** developing in these histories between who follows **Jeff,** his **disciples**, and who follows **Parminder**, his **disciples.**

So, from **2014** to **2019** you have these **two sides** developed, who follows **Benedict**; his **disciples**, and who follows **Francis**; his **disciples**. They have **two** leaders to **choose** from.

And it's not happened in **600** years, that you would have a **leadership** transition as this in the **Catholic Church**. **Pope Benedict** is he supportive of **Francis**, to begin with? He says at the beginning I'm passing the mantle; I'm going to go into **hiding** but he never truly **relinquishes** his control.

Normally if a **Pope** resigns what does he become? He becomes a lay person; he loses that title. But **Benedict** chooses not to do that. He wants to become **Pope emeritus**.

So, he chooses to keep this **title** of **Pope**, he never actually **let go** of his **leadership.** And he stays living **inside** the **Vatican**. So, he could have fully **relinquished** his leadership **authority** at the very beginning, but at the very beginning he **chooses** not to do that. The same time he's **claiming** to support **Francis.**

All this history, **Elder Jeff** passing the **mantle**, did he properly **relinquish** his **authority**? **No** In fact from his statements most recently, we understand the what he expected **Parminder** to be, was some type of **puppet leader**, to still come under his **authority**. Somehow that we would turn to him for direction and guidance, and he would still be the one, quietly leading the movement, never fully **let go** of his **authority** even when he claimed to pass the **mantle.**

So, we find that both former leaders are holding onto that claim of authority.

When do you find **Benedict** first start to cause problems for **Francis**, first come out in open **contradiction** to him?

Do you all remember?

It was presented before the shaking ever happened, because I wanted to give **Elder Jeff** a warning, he didn't listen.

**April 2019**, just prior to this **Francis** has been dealing with the sexual abuse crisis, and what is **Francis's** position on the **sexual abuse crisis**?

What does he believe is the cause?

What would **Francis** say the cause is for the **sexual abuse crisis**?

(Audience talking)

He's starting to introduce that thought, that **priestly celibacy**, the way that of the very least, how **Priests** they're taken there at a young age and they're locked off from the community, really, they're quite emotionally underdeveloped. That they have by the time these young men enter the **priesthood**, they have not developed in society properly. They end up warped people. And he's starting to allude to that

That these **Priests** have not been properly connecting with their societies, and there's some type of issue there. And you could go as far as saying **priestly celibacy**, but **Francis** won't be that open about it.

So, **Francis** prior to **April 2019**, he has a meeting where he calls all of the key leaders of the **Catholic Church** across the world, and conducts meetings on this **sexual abuse crisis**, and he starts to meet with the victims.

And through those meetings his stash starts to push this idea that it's because of this disconnect between **Priests and society**.

How is that different to the **Catholic Church's** position before? Because that's not the normal **Catholic** position on why these cases occur.

What's **Benedict's** reasoning for why these **sexual abuse** cases happened?

(Audience talking)

**Benedict** doesn't say that, that's what **John Paul** said; **women are raped because of their own fault**, essentially.

**Benedict** doesn't name women particularly, who does he blame?

Because for them, the largest **percentage of cases**, the **victims** are **boys. Women** are still abused, but the **majority** of the victims are **young men**. He blames a **liberal mindset**.

So, he blames the **liberalism,** particularly of the **West**, and the **sexual** immorality that he saw rise particularly in the **1960s** and **70s.** We talked about, the **1960s** in the **sexual revolution**. He blames all of that for the **sexual abuse** crisis within the **Catholic Church.**

This is an article of **The Guardian**, **April 20, 2019**, it's titled; “**One Church Two Popes**”.

So, if you look up “**The Guardian”**; “**One Church Two Popes” The crisis inside the Vatican, why Catholicism is in crisis this Easter**

In his **pre-Easter** address to **pilgrims** gathering in Rome, **Pope Francis** highlighted **Jesus**’ words as he died on the cross on the first **Good Friday**.

 “**Forgive them father, for they know not what they do. We all the Argentinian Pontiff stressed need to find the courage to forgive those who have wronged us**”.

Those remarks sparks speculation about who exactly **Francis** was struggling to forgive. Top of most lists in **Rome**, this **Easter** is his predecessor **Pope** emeritus, **Benedict the 16th**.

“**Who decided in 2013 to break with 600 years of work unto death, Papal tradition and retire. This opened Catholicism door to the breath of fresh air, that is Francis”.**

So, you can see how **liberal news** articles treat **Francis**, how do they like him, and it's clear he’s well **supported**. For the past six years as the winds of change have blown through the **Church, Benedict** has by and large kept a **respectful silence**, ignoring the ever louder pleads of **traditional Catholics** who want the **92-year-old** to join them in opposing **Francis's reform agenda.**

So, **Conservative Catholics** are not hiding, their calling to **Benedict** to come out of retirement and oppose **Francis.** But he stayed quiet from **2013** to **April 2019.**

When **Benedict** published a **6,000**-word article in a **German magazine**, it made headlines by **blaming** the clerical abuse **scandal** on the moral relativism of the **1960's sexual revolution**. And the **homosexual** cliques that allow this **lawlessness** to in fact **seminaries.** It is a line of argument that directly, and conservative **Cardinals** insist pointedly **contradict** all of **Francis's** efforts, including a summit of world **Bishops** in the **Vatican**, in **February.**

To tackle the damage done by **pedophile Priests**, by pointing the finger at a **dominant** culture within the within the **Church**. A culture that encourages **Priests** and **Bishops** to operate as if they are **above the moral guidelines** **they preach**, and regard themselves as **beyond** the sanction of **Civil courts’**

**Pope Francis** the reformer is being challenged by his **conservative** predecessor, who now has a far-right backing including **Steve Bannon.**

But what **Benedict's** intervention and apparent rebuke of his successor mostly lays bare, is how hard it is proving for an absolute **monarchy** like the **Catholic Church**, to operate when it has not one, but **two living Pope's**. Indeed, it explains why for six hundred years **Papal** retirement was regarded as not an option. The **two** of them **represent** opposite **Popes of Catholicism.**

**Benedict** breaks his silence in early **April 2019.**

Who else comes out in a study **April 5, 2019**? **Elder Jeff.**

Now, he's **supposed** to have passed the **mantle,** yes?

**April 2019, Benedict** releases this six-thousand-word essay **undermining** his successor, **April 2019** there's a camp meeting in Germany and what does **Elder Jeff** do?

What **presentation** was released at that camp meeting? **Half right and half wrong.**

And what is he saying, when he teaches **half right half wrong**?

So, in **2012**, he's going to say that **Elder Parminder** was **half right and half wrong**.

So, at the **same** time he's **reinforcing** what he's already said; that **Parminder** is his successor, the **second angel**, the **new leader** of the **movement**. He's also completely **undermines** his position, by saying that **Parminder** in the history, when he brought the message was only **half right and half of it was error**, and we **countered** that.

So, in **April** you have that **tension,** internally between those, and this is what really starts to divide people; **Jeff’s disciples** from **Parminder’s disciples.** People are **unhappy** with the **position** that we took **against** him.

And you have these **two** factions, within **Catholicism**, same month you have these **two** factions as **Benedict** comes out of hiding and undermines **Francis.** That's in **April** of last year.

We come down to **September, October** of last year, and the **Amazon Synod**. We spoke about how **Pope Francis** welcomed this **delegation** from the **Amazon** **region,** they brought these statues of **pregnant women**, and **Conservative Catholics** were **horrified.**

Quoting one Cardinal Nuala, strong Conservative. Pope Francis sacked him in **2017**, he went on **EWTN** and said that, “**The great mistake was for Francis to bring idols into the church in the first place to throw the idols into the river as a Conservative Catholic again had done maybe against human law but to bring the idols into the church in the first place was a grave sin a crime against a divine law**”.

So, what **sin** are they accusing **Pope Francis** of? Bringing **Idols** into the **Church.**

What do we call that? **Idolatry**. They're accusing him of **Idolatry.**

**The National Catholic Reporter** answers back, So, they are saying, **Idolatry, Abomination by** **Francis.**

**National Catholic Reporter** counters back, they do a **four-part series** on **EWTN**, and accuse **EWTN**, at least in this instance, of **racism.**

Quoting from the **National Catholic Reporter**, this is the **National Catholic** **Reporter** speaking about **EWTN**.

They say, “**Viewers who tuned in to EWTN s news nightly for news from a Catholic perspective were treated to two previously recorded one-on-one interviews by anchor Lauren Ashburn with Mike Pence and Sarah Huckabee Sanders**”.

So. in some of this **four-part series**, is they break down the **connection** between **EWTN** and **Conservative Protestantism**, particularly **Trump's administration**

So, **NCR** is **highlighting** the connection between **EWTN** and the **White House, Trump's administration.**

“The segment was clear evidence of how a television outlet, once devoted to expressions of **Catholic** piety, and **Conservative** catechists, and apologetics has grown into a truly influential media empire well-connected to **Republican** politicians and the **Trump White House. EWTN** where the **Catholic** perspective is unabashedly **partisan,** has become the **media star** in a web of connections, including wealthy **Conservative Catholic** donors and some of the most public, anti-**Pope Francis** forces in the **Catholic** world**”.**

Who created **EWTN?** **Mother Angelica**,

So, when **Eder Jeff'** split from this **Movement,** he released a **presentation** explaining why he split. It was his **first** public **statement** since he made it clear he was **separating** from this **Movement.**

What was the title of that presentation? **Hiding Mother Angelica**

**Mother Angelica** was a **Catholic nun** who founded **EWTN.** What **Elder Jeff** just did, was he said, now I taught that in **1996** there's the **formalization** of a **message**, but also in this history you have **two** streams of **information**, two **internal** two **external.**

I say those **two** streams are **CNN** and **Fox,** symbolized by those titles. I say that CNN is **good**, and Fox is **bad.** We all are familiar with that. What **Elder Jeff** says is, that both **Fox** and **CNN** are **bad**. That they're both **two streams;** CNN **Dragon** Fox **False Prophet**. So, it's the **dragon** and the **false prophet**.

And then in his first video when he **splits** from this **movement**, titles, “**Hiding Mother Angelica**” claims, that you can place **EWTN** here, and that that's the **Beast.**

So, he says **Dragon**, **Beast, False Prophet**, and these are the **three** information streams of the **threefold Union.**

So, what I teach, is that you have **two** **streams of information**, one is **good** for your **agenda**, one is **bad** for your **agenda**. So, for a **Nethinim** at the end of the world, CNN is **good,** Fox is **bad**.

For this movement, **Time of the End magazine** was **good,** **Adventist leadership** was **bad**. And we could go down that line.

What he's saying is, not that there's these **two** streams, one is **correct**, and one is i**ncorrect**, he's saying that there's **three** streams, they're **equally wicked**. And they're the **Dragon**. The three information streams, of the **Threefold Union**; **Dragon, Beast, and False Prophet.**

Does that make sense? Someone said no. I will try and draw our **two** positions.

So, this is the **Movements** position, **we teach** that in every **dispensation,** there are **two streams of information**. One stream is **good**, one **stream** is **bad.** And it's about **choosing** the **good** from the **bad.** That is our **test** in that **dispensation**.

So, if you're in the **dispensation** of the **plowing**, you have to **choose** the **Time of the End magazine** over your **conference Church Pastor**.

Does that make sense?

And then, what we're saying is, that if you're a **Nethinim,** you want to be on the **right side** at the end of the world, you have to choose **CNN** over **Fox**.

Does that make sense?

So, we say **two streams**, and while you find them in every **dispensation**, it is the **formalization of the message** for the **144,000**. So, **1996** has a **special** place in that **structure**.

And in **1996** we placed, we’re just dealing with the **external,** not that we couldn't do the internal, Time of the End magazine, **Church Pastor**, but just with the **external**, we say, **CNN** and **Fox.**

**Fox News** becomes the **propaganda machine** of the **Republican Party** and **Evangelical Protestantism**, they become the stream that we use for **Hiddekel** and **Uli**, it takes you to the **lake of fire**.

 **CNN** we're not talking morally, they give the **right message** at the end of the world. for the world.

So, **CNN** becomes good **and don't think** morally and prophetically, parabolically, **CNN** become **good** **Fox** becomes **bad**. This is the **information stream** that they can ingest safely, this is the one that they cannot.

 **1996**

 **FOX CNN**

 **YAHOO**

 **GOOGLE**

 **KRYPTON**

But I also recognized that **1996** being the **formalization of the message**, you can place so many things here. So, you can place **Yahoo News** here, you can place **Google,** you can place **Krypton,** **Krypton** was the predecessor of **WikiLeaks.**

it inspired **Julian Assange** to create **WikiLeaks.** **Google's** just a search engine. But all of these things, you can get placed in **1996** at the **same** time as the **formalization** of our **message**, it's a huge boom for these information streams.

And I'm not trying to say that **Krypton** is good or bad, it just fits in there. I’m not trying to say **Google** is good or bad, it just fits in there.

So, it's not just **Fox** and **CNN,** they become a **symbo**l of the **Uli** and the **Hiddekel** the **good** and the **bad**. There are others that develop in this important year.

So, this is what the **Movement** teaches, what **FFA** teach, what **Elder Jeff** has fought for from the very beginning of the **Midnight Cry** is that, this is incorrect

This understanding is what has divided this **Movement.**

If you want to know why that there's been this shaking, it is almost entirely, it all comes back to this issue here, because **Elder Jeff** does **not** believe this day, when **November 9** was presented in **Arkansas, October 3, 2019.**

We did not fight over **November 9**, he accepted that **on the spot**. But we stayed for about two hours and fought over this, he did not except it.

 **1996**

 **FOX CNN**

 **YAHOO**

 **GOOGLE**

 **KRYPTON**

So, that was **rejected** from the very beginning of the **Midnight Cry.**

What he teaches is that, in **1996** you have **CNN,** it is the voice of the **Dragon.** You have **Fox,** which is the voice of the **False Prophet**. And you have **EWTN** which is the voice of the **Beast.**

 **FFA**

1. **CNN voice of the DRAGON**
2. **FOX voice of the FALSE PROPHET**
3. **EWTN voice of the BEAST**

So, he says that these **three** information streams are the voice for the **threefold Union**. Does that make sense?

The first thing I don't see logic to is **CNN,** how is that the voice of the **United Nations**? And **Fox's** the voice of **America**? **CNN** is created in **America**, it's a news **platform** for **Americans**, just as much as **Fox is.**

So, how can you say **CNN** is the voice of the **United Nations**. Who is influencing what **CNN** broadcasts, because it's not **Pakistan**, it's not **Uganda**, and it's not **Russia**?

**Russia's** impacting this one. If you want to start saying that there's foreign interference, **Russia** is impacting what **Fox** presents, they're not impacting what **CNN** presents.

What portion of the **United Nations** is speaking through **CNN?** That's the first part that makes us rely on **Conspiracy theories,** that are completely untenable.,

The second issue I have with this is, **EWTN,** I'm happy to see that in some fashion that it can fit into here. Mind you it was actually formed well before **1996.** All they got in **1996** was a satellite dish.

What's the problem with placing **EWTN** as the **voice** of the **beast**?

Does it speak for **Benedict** **or Francis**? It speaks for **Benedict**. They are entirely against **Pope Francis**.

So, are they speaking for the **Papacy**? **No**

Instead you find we come down to this **dispensation**, and how many streams do you have internally? You have **two.**

On one side you have **Parminder and me**, on the other side you have **the** **Movement,** **FFA.**

Come down to the **Papacy** and how many streams do you have? **National** **Catholic Reporter** and **EWTN.**

So, when **Elder Jeff** leaves this **Movement**, he makes his first presentation on **EWTN** and says that that is proof, that I am **wrong** about **two streams of information.** Instead of proving **us** wrong he just **proved it**. Because what he identified was that there **are** **two** streams within the **Catholic Church**. It entirely fits our **model.**

And in those last, particularly in the last months of **2019,** this all **blew** into the open **war** between **National Catholic Reporter**, liberal supporting **Francis**, and **EWTN** conservative supporting **Benedict.** These were the **two** media streams for the **Catholic Church**, and they were openly **hostile** to each other.

Going on to **National Catholic Reporter** and look for that **four-part series,** it won't be hard to find. Supporting to Google that the **four-part series** by **NCR** and it'll bring you to this these four articles against **EWTN.** And it goes back and forth between them.

But we also mentioned **Life Site** **News.** I just want to read this article it’s October **22, 2019** by **Life Site** news, it says,

“**The Bishop of the Diocese of Xingu Brazil, revealed in a new book that in his diocese women are not only presiding over liturgies of the word but are also giving homilies, a practice contrary to catholic rules**”.

In his new publication **Bishop Trotter** repeats his call for married **Priests** and for **female Deacons,** as well as for **female Priests**. It is in this context that he speaks about the large role that **women** already play in the church, in his own region in **Brazil.**

When claiming that women have too little say in the Catholic Church. He states, “**Brazil and perhaps also somewhere else is at the most a tender flash of light, it is far from proof of the Sun Rise of these things**”.

That the introducing of **women Priests** and all of this but is convinced, that the time will come. And he hopes that the **Amazon Synod** will break open **new paths**, make **steps** towards this direction. In **2014** he meant with **Pope Francis** and explained his views.

Then they go to an **Austrian Bishop, Don Philippe**, he says that “**Tradition has had a bad taste”, he proposes to “Get rid of the ballast that has been accumulated over the centuries, which we, in our church carry with much suffering and which some in the right corner, fanatically defenders tradition**”.

So yesterday when we closed, we talked about the argument over **dispensationalism**. It's what divided this **Movement.**

Is what **God** saying instructions in one dispensation, in application to that, does that change in another **dispensation**.

We've gone through that with **diet**, we've gone through that with **racism**, and the final straw for those that left, was dealing with that with **sexism**. Understanding the **methodology of dispensationalism**.

And this fight between these **Liberal Bishops** and the **Conservative faction**, is all about how you **approach tradition**. Is it **eternal** or is it **dispensational**?

The **Austrian** prelate, furthermore, suggested that “**there have been many teachings, for example of the 1800s that the Church in the 20th century abandoned**”. For example, this is **Life Site News** this is a **Catholic Publication,** he says, “**The church used to believe in some things**”.

And what have they changed? The **Church's** stance with regards to **Democracy.**

What did they use to believe about **Democrac**y?

So, the **Catholic** article is going to make the argument for **dispensationalism**, saying, “**Two hundred three hundred years ago the Catholic Church was dead set against democracy and religious liberty”. And also, two other novelties introduced in the Second Vatican Council that would have been regarded as heretical at the time of the first council**”.

So, they're saying “**We have already changed some of our beliefs based on dispensation**”. It's the **same** thing that we said, “**We don't eat fish, we don't practice slavery**”, because that was past **dispensation** and **God** is requiring different of us today.

They make the argument, **200** years ago, we did not practice real liberty, **religious liberty**, and we would **not** permit **Democracy.**

**EWTN** says, “**Pope Francis introduced an abomination into the Catholic Church he promoted idolatry”.**

**National Catholic Reporter** responded in their article, “**Why don't those conservatives and EWTN look outside their windows and see the Egyptian obelisk in St. Peter's Square**”. They say because these statues of these **pregnant women** they were **naked**, this **horrified** the **Conservatives.**

And **NCR** says, “**Why don't they look at the roof of the Sistine Chapel, all the paintings of naked people. Remember the Philistines in earlier generations that wanted the nudes of the Sistine Chapel covered over**”. So, they had this argument back and forth.

These are the **two** **streams** within the **Catholic Church,** and it's relevant for us to see when all of this breaks into the open.

**April 2019** internally **April 2019** with a counterfeit.

Then we’re getting into the history of **September,** **October** of **2019.** This conflict with the **Amazonian Synod** also **splitting** the **Church** and causing **Pope Benedict** to write an article to contribute to a book just recently released, that in a stronger fashion than ever before **undermined** his **successor**.

Do we have any questions on what we've done so far?

Audience asked question, **Elder Tess** replies. Yes, they believe that **Francis is a dictator**.

**Elder Tess** answers another question. Depends how radical you go once you get down to church militant type of far-right, they believe he's the Antichrist, the prophesied **Antichrist of Revelation**. That he needs to be replaced by a conservative **Pope to cleanse the church**.

**Elder Tess** answers another question. She replies: If you want to listen to a quite laborious to listen to book, but still interesting, there was a book, a conservative **Catholic** wrote a book on the **2014** **Synod** on the family and he titled it **“The Dictator Pope”.** Saying that **Pope Francis** pretty much as establishing a **dictatorship**. Not just because of what he's done on **Synod** of the family, but also how he's been purging the **Catholic Church** of **Conservative** enemies.

When we come to the **internal,** we see that prior to **November 9,** we start saying things that are really quite **radica**l. We're bringing the argument of **dispensationalism** and **equality,** and this is what causes **Elder Jeff** to come **out** from retirement and speak out **publicly** against what we're **teaching.**

Same time, **2019** the final months, you have the **Amazonian Synod,** everyone knows where **Pope Francis** is heading, the introduction of bringing an **equality** with women, as far as **allowing** them in certain cases to **perform** certain **functions**. Which everyone knows will lead to them being introduced into the **Priesthood** and allowing **married Priests**.

And that causes **Pope Benedict** to come out of **retirement**. Contribute to a book that's been recently released, but he wrote that book, he wrote his portions for that book, not this year but in the **September, October**, months of **2019.** So, it might not have been **recognized** until now. But that was happening at the **same** time as the **internal** shaking.

So, **Brother Dennis** is just highlighting how it's not permitted for **Pope Benedict** to speak **out** against his **successor**, how he's supposed to wear the **white garment** that shows his **respect** and **submission** to the new **Pope**, he's not actually permitted, and I think **Brother Dennis** you used the term **dead man talking**. He's not supposed to **interfere** with the actions of his **successor.** That's essentially **illegal,** not **permitted.**

And yet we have the **same** in the **internal**, we have, he who symbolically, he ended in **2014.** This is marking the **death** of the **first angel**, in our history of **success** the line of **Christ**. And yet we come up to this history and where **he** is **not permitted** to be speaking against this **Movement,** we find that he does,

So, that the **compare** and the **contrast** work **neatly**, particularly from **2014** through **2019.** You find this **transition** in **2014** and **2013,** but the restructuring **Francis** does reform in **14.**

This **division** between these **two** sides, the **disciples** of **Jeff,** the **disciples**, of **Parminder**, the **disciples** of **Benedict,** the **disciples** of **Francis.**

The **disciples** of **Benedict** saying, please come out of retirement, please sort out this mess.

The **disciples** of **Jeff** were not so subtly saying the **same** thing.

We come to **April**, counterfeited, and this **Movement**, **Elder Jeff** speaks, pro **Benedict** speaks.

Later months of **2019,** it's open conflict between **two streams of information.**

Open **conflict** between this **Movement**, and **FFA**, open **war** between **NCR** and **EWTN.** And the **Conservatives** again looking to **Benedict.**

**Elder Jeff** start speaking in the final months of **2019**,

**Pope Benedict** starts writing in the final months of **2019.** Both **undermining** the changes of their **successor.**

That book, only released in the last couple of weeks, **Benedict** was writing in that time period. The title of the book; “**From the Depths of Our Hearts**”. It argues in favor of centuries-old tradition of **Priestly celibacy** within the **Church**. In other words, **Priestly celibacy** is not **dispensational**, **same** argument.

 This concludes presentation of “The Two Popes”

If you kneel, we’ll close in prayer.

Dear Father in heaven thank you for our blessings. We see how you have led and guided, not just in these last five years Lord which have been of such significance, but also in the centuries that have led us to this point. We see father that you have acted with love and compassion to restore your people. we see the ugliness of the counterfeit of this work. We appreciate you. I pray Lord that we might understand both more clearly, that none of us should be deceived. Lord we know these issues are stirring up within the movement, conflict over what these things mean, what we bring to the Nethinim’s, what we require of them, what is required of us, I pray Lord you give us greater clarity on these issues, may none of us be deceived. I pray this in Jesus name. Amen.