

## FFA and July 18th Part 2

In our introductory study yesterday we discussed a number of points on which we disagree with FFA. They have taken the position that they believe on July 18th this year, there will be a nuclear attack on Nashville in the state of Tennessee. They say that that conclusion has come about through many studies, with many threads they have all come together to that point. They listed 7 points if you remember, 7 subjects. So I don't have my notes in front of me but perhaps between us we can remember what those 7 points were. Islam, Biblical chronology, the USA, Trump, Russia/Putin, United Nations, Papacy. Once we agreed that every single one of those subjects we deal with in Bible prophecy, the conclusions that we come to on those subjects are very different. That may come as a surprise to you that we have such radically different views so soon after a separation. Firstly it's indicative of the speed of light or information that we are receiving from God but the second point is that the differences that we are seeing now, we are coming to conclusions on issues that have been just under the surface for a long time now. Some of these issues have been here for years but they have not been agitated and no open conclusions have been made about some of these subjects. Some of them we have been on public record about what our perspective was long before the separation occurred. So for some time on some of these issues there have been two messages in this movement. It's only now after the separation that people are becoming really clear on what the differences are. So as I said we spent yesterday's presentation discussing these issues and the differences between our perspective of these subjects and FFA's perspective. So we will pick maybe just a couple of them. We won't go through all of them.

The UN. Along with most Evangelical Christians, most conservative Adventists, FFA believe that the United Nations is some evil or corrupt organization. Our understanding is that the United Nations are an organization that has protected the rights and freedoms of humanity for decades now. We have a completely different perspective of the role of the UN. The reason why I think that FFA and other Christians are confused on this issue is because end time prophecy indeed speaks about a one world government. But it's the construction or the formation of what that government looks like on which we differ. Now this is not a minor subject of what this one world government looks like at the end of the world. If we can take one perspective of the presidency of Barak Obama, he spent his 8 years as a president trying to nurture and strengthen the United Nations as we know it today. During his presidency evil forces began to consolidate their powers. I am going to take that concept or phrase from Testimonies to the Church Vol. 9 beginning page 11. The powers of evil are consolidating and preparing for the last battle. So there were moves made against his agenda. Right wing Evangelical Christianity raised up a person to fight or resist against the agenda that president Obama had. The person that they raised up was Donald Trump as we all know. His battle cry from the very beginning was not just one about economical prosperity, he wanted to make America great. His definition of making America great was that America would become the super power of the world. A position that it held at the very beginning of the time of the end 1989-1991. So his job function was to continue to consolidate and to promote that agenda. We spoke about the speech that president Bush made when he said there is a new world order. This is a continuation of that philosophy, that agenda. This is why we see in Europe a dismantling of that Union. Already we see the prefiguring of the destruction or the dismantling of the United Nations. So we disagree on their perspective about the UN.

We also disagree about their perspective on the Papacy. It's not that we say that we do not fight against the papacy, it's not that we say that the papacy is not the great Antichrist. It is all of those things. But it's in the detail of what that struggle looks like that we disagree. As EGW warned us, there is still much to learn and unlearn on the activities of the Papacy. We don't believe that FFA have a correct

understanding of the role of the Papacy at the end of the world. Of course they are basing all of this study on their version of Biblical chronology which to be frank is really just numerology, it's just manipulation of numbers. If you check carefully, if you watch their presentation or read their documentations, spread throughout their studies when it comes to the subject of chronology is the fact that they are actually unsure about the conclusions that they are making. Essentially they just make things up. I will give an example of what they would do. June last year 2019 there are no thoughts of separation. As we go through the summer July is quiet and we have an international camp meeting at the end of August. During that camp meeting FFA separate from this movement. When they separate they see that as a fulfillment of prophecy. They have no model, no idea, that it is connected to time. On the 7th of September 2019 this is the first presentation that they do, their public declaration about the separation. They begin to lay out their arguments. So we have already commented on these presentations in previous studies. Perhaps one that you might want to go to would be Romania September 2019. Also some Sabbath studies that were done in Australia the month of October I think it was.

So we responded to their initial presentation and the ones that follows. Now what they began to do, which is something that they have done for a long time in fact, they take their personal experiences and they try to show that their personal experience is a fulfillment of prophecy. We might call it providence. FFA have used this technique or this methodology for many years now. So whilst they promote on one hand a "thus saith the lord" or methodology, something that people have perhaps not realized is that one of the evidences that they use to show that they are the chosen ministry, the chosen people, is that the testimony that they bare. They see that they were at a certain place at a certain time where something unique happened. So they try to weave their own personal experience into this end time prophetic message. I am sure that you can all see the danger in doing that because any of us can do that. In fact many of the arguments that people use when they resist what we teach, is not that they argue theologically against us, what is their argument? They don't feel led by God. They are waiting for some kind of sign. It's been a consistent argument against the truth that we teach over the years. Sometimes it's not such a passive response. Often they testify that God has lead them in a completely different direction and they have been blessed in their experience and there for that becomes their evidence that what we teach is wrong and what they believe is correct. This is the exact methodology that FFA have employed for a number of years. I think people have not seen or recognized that. But they continue this idea.

So they have this date September 7th and they pick up a date that has been in our movement for a long time now, November 9th. So what they decide to do is to check if there is any relationship between these two dates. This is the policy that they have been doing for probably about 18 months to two years now. It wasn't something that they particularly invented. In fact it was an idea that was given to them by someone in the movement. They picked up that concept, that idea and started checking dates in real time. To get those dates to work they would have to use multiple calendars. Not just the calendar that we use. So if the system of calculation wouldn't work with our calendar, they would change the calendar to see if it would work with that system. So what they did is they took these two dates and they checked the relationship between them. They discovered that there were 63 days between them. No to you and I that might not mean anything until you realize the 63 is half of 126. 126 is a symbol of the 2520. Without even thinking about what was being discussed or what was happening on those dates, you just take this number, 126, symbol of the 2520, and then you can begin to imprint upon all of this the concept of the scattering and the gathering. So what they do is, they take concepts and ideas that are legitimate and they begin to superimpose them upon this structure that they create because it happens to be 63 days from the day that they publicly fought against us and what the movement has understood

to be the close of probation. You can see where this will go. They will show that November the 9th there will be a scattering and a gathering. The false priests, the foolish virgins, Us, we will obviously be scattered whilst they will be gathered. Now to be gathered takes some time. So they borrow another concept from us, I say us I mean the midnight cry message, and that taught that Donald Trumps presidency, if I can say it in this simple way without trying to make a mistake, his presidency would be in two phases. Trump 1 and Trump 2. So this was part of the midnight cry message that was given. This way mark was November the 9th and what was taught was that in these two phases of his presidency we could identify that his behavior would change. It would be some change in his behavior after November the 9th. To say it simply he would become worse in his behavior. We could express it this way, he would become more dictatorial. So that prediction was made 15 or 16 months ago. We actually have found that that prediction is being fulfilled and the key that initiated that change, and we are only beginning to see the beginning of that change, was his impeachment. The impeachment process of Donald Trump has created an atmosphere or a culture where he has now become much bolder in his ascertains than he was before. If we can see it this way we have a new Donald Trump here after November 9th. FFA picked up that concept and changed it slightly. They see this concept of gathering, they see that they are going to be gathered. No to gather people takes some time. What they did was they took this history and they looked for another date. They looked for January the 20th. January the 20th, if we can ignore the year itself, if you look at that date, that date is significant in the American calendar. In America, every four years after a presidential election this is the date that they actually put the new president in power. This is the president inauguration. He is now official running a country. So you see a new president. If you check the dates that are between this, its 72 days. 72 days is half of 144. You just need to put a K in front of that to turn it into a symbol of the 144,000. So they see that these dates show a model that this movement, you and I, we are scattered and lost. We have a close of probation but FFA have a different experience. They are going to be gathered together and they are going to become the symbol of the 144k. They pick this date because this is the date that you get a new president coming into power. He has already been in power before this date. Some time in November they had their elections, he is already the president but he is not allowed to actually come into power or exercise his authority yet. There is this period of time. Now they have already developed the study of July 18th, and so it was a simple step to go from January the 20th to July 18th and to see that that was 180 days which is half of 360 which is "one time".

So this is an example of the methodology that they use and the numerology. They claim this is Biblical chronology. But this is not Biblical chronology as anybody understands it. This is just number manipulation. This is not even the worst of what they do. They do even more crazy things than this. So we disagree on many of these points. Obviously we disagree on their understanding on how Islam works. I don't know if we remember what we said last time but they have this position that everybody is going to turn against Islam. They base that idea upon a bible passage found in the book of Genesis. Genesis 16:12. We didn't go to the bible reference yesterday. So this is a verse that has been used many times in our movement and it is used to create a story that speaks about the UN and how it will come to prominence.

Genesis 16:12.

16:12 And he will be a wild man; his hand [will be] against every man, and every man's hand against him; and he shall dwell in the presence of all his brethren.

So who is this talking about? This is Ishmael. This promise or prediction is given by Abraham to Ishmael's mother Hagar to, it might sound surprising, but actually to comfort here. Sarah and Hagar are fighting

one against another. So there is going to be a separation. There is going to be a separation, if you use the model of Galatians chapter 4, this is a separation of the person that is in bondage and the person that is free. Paul will use this story in order to develop his prophetic model of the separation of God's church in the new testament. He says this experience is a parable or an allegory of two groups of people Laodicea and Ephesus. Of course he doesn't call it Laodicea, that's a label that we have given to God's church in the time period of Christ's first Advent. So he takes this story as we said, he gives her this promise that even though things look difficult, that everyone is against Ishmael, it says that he will dwell in the presents of all of his brethren. So it is a bitter sweet prediction of his experience. So in this story, when Abraham is separated and the angel comes down to speak to Hagar because she is about to die, he gives her a really precious promise in verse 10. The angel says to her he will be an exceeding great nation. Then it speaks about the prediction of verse 12 that everyone will be against him. Now we take that idea and we say, because of the role of radical Islam at the end of the world, everybody is in agitation. The world is in trouble. It's collapsing. This is the world as we know it, so we all band together to fight or resist against Islam. In the midst of all of this FFA teach that the Papacy will rise to prominence and they will lead the UN in its resistance against Islam. All of that model is based upon two stories. The first one we have given here, everybody will fight against Islam. The second one is found in the last book of the Bible Revelation 9. Revelation 9 there is going to be a story when you come to the subject of the sixth trumpet of bible prophecy. As it begins to come to its end, and that 6th trumpet is a symbol of Islam, there is going to be a struggle where Islam is trying to rise to world supremacy and in that history it's their desire to take over Europe. So it is portrayed on this chart by these symbols here. So as they try to take over Europe their mission comes to a failure. Initially they succeed. They take Constantinople as we know, but at the end their whole project collapses. The reason why their project is successful initially, as they try to take Europe, is because they form a confederacy, they form a confederacy against Rome. Rome in this story is Constantinople. Sometimes we might call it the Byzantine Empire. Or the Eastern Pagan Roman Empire. They were successful because they form a confederacy. This is Islam. Now at the end of that story, at the end of the 2nd woe, part of the 6th Trumpet, there is another confederacy that is formed but it's not Islam now, it's the Europeans. When they form this confederacy, they become successful in basically destroying Islam. Destroying it in the context of its role as a woe or the sixth trumpet. So we take that idea of the confederacy of these European powers, taken from Revelation 9 the second woe, and we also take the story about Ishmael in Genesis 16 and we see that we have two testimonies that every person will be against them. We take the symbol of that European confederacy which is just four European nations, we take the symbol of 4 and make it universal or world wide, and you quickly develop a model that says that the United Nations are going to be invigorated as they oppose Islam.

So I have just given a brief resume of this movement's understanding of Islam as it was a few years ago. It's the model that FFA still hold on to. Just to be blunt, it's completely wrong. It's wrong on a number of levels. So first of all if you take the history that is found in Revelation 9, the end of the second woe, we know it to be August 11, 1840. When you take that story, that history, you see that there is not some unified position that Europe holds on to. Who is the major power that we have not considered in that story? France. So there is a struggle between France and these other European powers. There is not this unified or homogeneous perspective of Europe. In fact all of this is just a power struggle of the European powers themselves as they position themselves to try to maintain and to enlarge their authority, their power, not only in Europe but in the middle East. So this idea that Europe is this homogeneous entity that is going to resist against Islam, that all come together because they are afraid of what Islam does, is not accurate even to history. It's only a partial version of that history. We already have enough evidence that that model is not correct.

Now we today are being accused of being news paper prophets. I don't know if it's a term that we are familiar with. So this is how this story goes. We say, we look at the news today, we see what's happening and we believe that is a fulfillment of prophecy and therefore we are going to take that version of what we see, connect it to our understanding of prophecy and join them together and people will accuse us that we are taking our idea of truth from the world from what we see. We say this, if you look at the world today, the geopolitical struggle, we see that not everyone is against Islam. We also see that we are so close to what we would call the Sunday Law or Daniel 11:41, that we already should have the evidence of what that event will look like. We are so far along our line of prophecy that we already know what is going to happen. So if you want to know what is going to happen you just look and see what is happening in the world. So people will argue that we are being driven by what we see in the world, we are not being driven by what we see in God's word. So we are identified as being News Paper Prophets. In the context of this discussion, we all know today that not everyone is against Islam. Everyone can testify to that. You can just see it in the world today. In fact if you want to understand Islam we have a completely different model don't we? what is the model that we have about Islam today? Perhaps you don't know the answer to my question. I will give you a clue. It's a phrase. "\_\_\_\_\_ War". What would go here? Ok so we all know "Proxy War". There are enough of us who understood what I wrote because we have a different perspective of Islam today then we had a few years ago. We have to understand Islam, not through the lens of Genesis 16:12. But we have to understand it through the lens of proxy wars because what do we see happening. We see two powers struggling against one another and they use Islam to secure that power. It's a proxy war. Another phrase that we use is spheres of influence. So having seen that today all you need to do is go back into the history of 1840 and it's easy to see once you look carefully, that what was going on in that history is nothing more than proxy wars. These were proxy wars between European powers that created the atmosphere that we see in 1840.

Now we just argued that you have these four European Powers and this is not a study that I want to develop. This is just an observation. Who is against these four European powers? No not Turkey. France remember? It's France. They are struggling over how to deal with the issue that is going on in the Middle East. it's more complicated than just these four European powers resisting Egypt and how they begin to take control of Turkey. France has it's own agenda, it's own issues, it's own goals. That's why it's not part of this crew, that's why there aren't five. We understand that France is the king of the South. The KS is not in agreement with these people so that's just a very superficial understanding of that history. Now if you were to bring that into our own history, is everyone against Islam? Certainly not. In fact you can't even understand it from that perspective. It has to be understood through the lens of proxy war. Today who is the KS? Russia. So you see that this understanding that everyone is against Islam does not bare up to scrutiny. The Russians, the Europeans, American's, they are not all banning together to resist Islam. In fact they are fighting and struggling against one another as each power wants to take control of the Middle East. Because in the Middle East you have two Islamic powers struggling against one another. You can understand it even through the two sects that they have. The Sunni's and the Shiites. You can do it through two nations. Saudi Arabia and Iran. So the story is much more complicated then we at first realized. So all I am saying is that FFA's explanation or version of Islam is not correct. It's so simplistic, it becomes wrong. So that was just a review of what we discussed yesterday with some added detail.

Life is full of surprises. At least that's been my experience. You stumble over some gem, some truth. Often you don't realize the consequences or the implications of this until many years later. So I just want to put two pieces of information down and I want to ask a question. Does everyone know what a penal code is? Penal code. Code means law. Penal means punishment. So it's the law of punishment. So this is the guideline or the rule by which the judicial system must hand down sentences. So you steal a

thousand dollars, you get caught and the judge finds you guilty and he says the death sentence. Or another judge will say two days in prison. Or we won't give you any kind of punishment, we will just tell you off. So to prevent that from happening, from judges doing their own thing, the government, the judicial system, creates a law that gives guidelines for punishment. It's called a penal code. So hopefully we are ok now with what a penal code is. So all this study that we have been doing, what does it have to do with the French Penal code of 1810. What I want us to explore, we are just going to briefly mention it, we are not going to go into detail, is that all of this history, all of this story, about Islam, about all these European Nations, the second woe, the sixth trumpet, 1840. All of these ideas, all of these thoughts funnel in to end time prophecy. As FFA have done, all of these ideas, these seven thoughts, they all culminate in what? July 18th. Islam. Now I want to suggest that the Penal code of 1810 is connected to this subject.

The penal code of 1810 was connected to another penal code. I am sure most of you don't know because it is not common knowledge, of 1791. We know, it's just a general observation, the French Revolution comes and it creates somebody who is going to take that revolution, if I can say it this way, he is going to weaponize it and make it effective. So the revolution itself actually serves some purpose. Who is that person? No Ropes Pier. What good would that revolution do if they just did their little thing in France. What is their little thing that they are doing by the way. Why is that revolution happening? What has happened in France to create an atmosphere and to have this revolution? I want to suggest, because we are getting different answers, that we have an image of the beast formed. It's obviously not an image of the beast, it is the beast. I use the image because that is the term that we use often. So a beast has been created and what was that beast? Church and State. That's why I said image of the beast so that you know the terms which we are dealing with. So there is a church state relationship. The church is whom? Papacy. The State is whom? The monarchy or the royalty. So I don't have a working knowledge of all the intricacies of the French Revolution. It's not that difficult to go back and check the thread of history, but I at least wanted to give a brief overview with a certain perspective because I asked, who is that person that weaponizes or makes effective the French Revolution. People gave names of the leaders of the revolution itself. So you have a beast that has been created and in the context of this study, the French Monarchy with the Papacy. That explains why in the French revolution who is killed? The Monarchy and the Papacy. They are going to deal with those two elements, their enemies. So you get into a situation where you now have a republic. What is the problem? France is not the earth it's what? It's the sea. Revelation 13. It's not the earth it's the sea. And what is a sea? Many nations. So we have a model of Europe which is many nations. Now you have this island here which is France. I call it an island because it's a haven or a place of freedom. This island is surrounded by a sea of what? Of enemies. It's surrounded by a sea of enemies and who controls or who makes those other people enemies? Two things. Monarchy and the Papacy. So they got all of these nations who are against them and they will destroy them. They will retake France. So this revolution needs to be weaponized. It's needs to be effective. And who is that person now? Napoleon. I am just giving a different version of this history. Napoleon isn't part of the revolution if you think about it. That's other people. But without Napoleon the revolution would not be effective, it would die. That is what I mean about the weaponization of that. To make it effective, you either kill your enemies or they kill you. And getting rid of the beast in France is not enough. He needs to get rid of it all through Europe.

So this is not part of our story. It is just the prelude. So Napoleon is good. He wants to make France great. Once he makes France great what does he end up becoming? He ends up becoming a dictator and everything becomes toxic. So this penal code of 1810 it's often called the Napoleonic penal code. So this code was created under the guidance or supervision of Napoleon. At this time in his existence he is a dictator. He has taken the role of dictatorship. So without going into the details of these two penal

codes, do you think the penal code of 1810 is going to be good or bad? Bad. So it ends up becoming a bad penal code. So if that's a bad penal code and you look at the date here, what do you think this penal code is going to be? It is going to be good. So this penal code (1791) was created in the atmosphere or the culture of the French revolution where there would be freedom. Liberty from the beast power. So the beast power got two problems. You are slave to the state. The monarchy will control you. We know that because Samuel the prophet, what does he predict when everyone says they want a king? He says sure, but what will the king do to you? He will put you into servitude. You become slaves. So that's the Monarchy bit. They will have to deal with this in the penal code. We ok with that? So then there is the other bit to deal with. What is that? Papacy or religion. So there are religious laws in France. many of them. So what will this penal code do? It will begin to fight or undo those laws that were based on a religious agenda that the Papacy had given France. So the context of the 1791 penal code is the French revolution's attempt to undo the damage of the State and the Church. Religious intolerance and economic servitude. Say amen if we are ok with that.

I want us to understand prophetically what this penal code was doing and why it has relevance to this study that we are exploring. So we have run out of time and we need to turn that groan into an amen.

let's pray.