

Cause and Effect

Happy Sabbath. I want us to consider a familiar study today. To see if we can't visualize it in a different way. I will give a little background. But we are going to come back and give more thorough explanation soon. We have been using lines in this movement from the very beginning. We learnt to overlay the model of agriculture. So if we were to construct a line, we would construct a line. We identified that there is a ploughing, early rain, latter rain, and a harvest. But for most of our time as a movement this has not been done neatly. We have had to wrestle with it. At times it has been done in ways I would find quite confusing. What we began to identify was that there was five waymarks, four dispensations. As our understanding of the line developed I would suggest it also simplified. It becomes, at least at the basic level, easier to understand now than it has in our past history as a movement. One of the reasons for that is that we identified that it is just a repeating pattern, that in every dispensation there is a message given. It is unsealed and then there is an increase of knowledge and then a formalization and then a test on that message. So God is going to enter into a reform line. He wants to begin by ploughing his people. We are all familiar with the Latter rain. Sunday law to Daniel 12:1. The latter rain, the loud cry of the third angels message. We know the latter rain is a message. We should all be familiar that the early rain is a message and the ploughing is a message. So we have a succession of messages to do this work. We have learned to visualize it that in each stage, each of the four stages of this process, there is an unsealing, an increase of knowledge, a formalization and a test. And you could take this pattern into every dispensation. I will explain later how that develops. But before we review that I want us to consider it in a different way.

I want to focus on our reform line. If we were to take the line of the 144k we break it down, we see the first fractle. Our reform line the priesthood. When we consider our reform line, 1989 - 2001 is the ploughing history. 2001 - 2014 the early rain. 2014 - 2019 the latter rain. 2019 - 2021 the harvest. We have become accustomed to doing this. Usually once this has been laid out we would place in that repeating pattern. A message formalized in every dispensation. But putting that pattern to one side I want us to consider the messages we were given.

1989 what is given to God's people? Reform lines. So 1989 it's reform lines. Is every line a reform line? Every time we lay out a line is it a reform line? No. If I was to lay out WWII it's not a line of reform but it's designed to be placed over our reform line to give details to our reform line. So if this is our reform line, God reforming His church, we can take other lines such as WWII and connect them to explain more of our reform line. But reform lines are specific. Reform lines are God reforming His people. Whether they have to come out of Adventism or His people that come out of Babylon. That's the reform lines that opened up in 1989. What next opened up? Key Truth. Daniel 11: 40-45. So reform lines began to open up at the time of the end. After Reform lines came Daniel 11:40-45. It was formalized in the time of the end magazine. The next truth? The 2520. Now coming back to this. If I am speaking to my friends who are Adventist and they want to know what I believe I start to tell them about reform lines. What do they say? How do they respond? They say that's interesting, I like that idea, I read a book that says history repeats that makes sense. Does it challenge anyone? No. Reform lines don't challenge anyone. They are innocent seemingly. There is no challenge in reform lines. When do reform lines become toxic? Because they are not here. When do they become dangerous? When you get to Daniel 11:40-45 and you see a part A. beginning in 1798 and a part B. beginning when? In your life time.

Reform lines and history challenge no one. It's a nice concept. Once it hits Daniel 11 and connects to your life time and has implications for your conference structure, what seemed to be innocent became for many people toxic. No it's dangerous. Now it challenges people. Now it's a threat. Now it requires a

change of behavior. The concept of reform lines did not require a change of behavior until it developed into this. Knowing that you are in one require a change of behavior. If reform lines had have been understood in 1979 or any of the years previously there is no challenge. It is when Daniel is telling you you're living in one. So innocent turns into dangerous which require a change of behavior. This does not test (Reform lines), this tests (Daniel). But the test is built upon this (Reform lines). That's the ploughing.

We come to the history of the early rain. Now we have the 2520. Does the 2520 test anyone? Is it a dangerous study? I would suggest it doesn't. It's not dangerous. All the 2520 does is say that there is a time prophecy that ends in 1798 and a time prophecy that ends in 1844. If you are living in 2005 or 2009 what's the big deal? It's a time prophecy that ended 150 years ago. Many people are afraid of the 2520 in the church. I am aware of that. But usually they don't even know what it is. This does not require a change of behavior just like reform lines. But this study develops into one that's toxic. The 2520 is innocent. It's a time prophecy that ended over 150 years ago. But it's going to develop into what? It's going to develop into the 126, the 151. It's going to take us to time. A prediction of our Sunday Law. So the 2520 is innocent. It is a nice concept. It's a prophecy that ended 150 years ago. It doesn't create a test until it develops into time setting. All of a sudden it becomes toxic. It require a change of behavior. It impacts your life. So what I want us to see is how these messages are connected.

we can look at the repeating pattern but when it comes to the message people like to cut it into pieces. Put reform lines over here and our understanding of Daniel 11 somewhere over here and not seeing that they are the same thing. They are connected. It's one message in development. Reform lines, Daniel 11:40 is one message. All it is is showing how reform lines impact your life. Come to the early rain. It begins innocently with the 2520. It develops into time setting. If we are familiar with this history it should be easy to see. We know that the 2520 is the key that unlocks time but it didn't do that in 2005 or 2009. Here it impacted no one. It developed into time setting. The 125 and the 151 specifically. No it requires a change of behavior. The 2520 and time setting are one message. Now we come to the latter rain history. I would suggest in this history more so than any other people cut up the messages instead of seeing how they are one message. How it's one message connected. So what begins to open up in this history? What begins opening up here is an understanding of the KN vs. the KS. That's an understanding that opens up to the priests in a different way, similar but with different elements, it opens up to the Levites and the Nethinims. Whether they belong to the church or the world. Whether they know Russia is the KS or not. They all are watching the relationship between these two super powers.

So October of 2018 a message is presented about WWII. It's all based upon Pyrrhus. Pyrrhus is the KS. It develops further into WWII. All focused on explaining WWIII. So you know WWI later connects. So it's this triple application. WWI plus WWII equals WWIII. Triple application. All centered around the KN and KS. That is presented in October 2018 and what's the response of the movement to that? They accept. It's positive. Everyone likes a good study on WWII. There is no fight, there is no challenge. It does not require a change of behavior. There is no test. And many people believe they have passed the test because they can see these two super powers and they don't have a problem with that application. But was this the test? No. reform lines were innocent. They had implications. The 2520 was innocent but it had implications. And understanding of the KN and the KS is innocent but the minute you study the North and the South and you try to understand these two super powers what do you inevitably study? What makes the KN the KN. What is it's identifying characteristic. It's a church and state relationship. This was fist identified when we realized these powers as they were presented to us are all based upon the model of geography.

This is the Earth. If this is the Earth and we turn to the model of geography and identify North and South. The world spins on two Axis. It spins from right to left not up to down. That's the reason if I am standing here and ask you to take me East. Take me to absolute East. Where would you take me? We would just fly around the spinning globe. You could never reach absolute East because there is no such thing. The same with the West. We could fly East or West. They become vague concepts. So when we say that the East wind strikes, East wind struck at 9/11. What country is that? There is none. It's a vague concept about the middle East. They hid in Afghanistan but they don't belong to Afghanistan. Much of their sponsoring comes from Saudi Arabia but they are no part of the Saudi Arabian government. Islam is not one solid point. You can't say the king of the East is Iran. It's Vague. Same with the West. If you take me West to the Western world that's vague. there are quite a number of countries that come under that title. Just like East and West. But the North and South are different. If I ask you to take me to absolute North you will take me to the North Pole. Or if I asked you to take me to absolute South you would take me to the South pole. They have absolute points. So we can talk about the East wind and the West. They don't give us set geographical locations but the North and the South do. They are what we would describe as polar opposites. The North pole and the South pole are opposites. What does it mean to be opposites? Two sides as far apart as possible. They are geographically apposed to each other. But if I took you and planted you on one of them, unless you tried to identify some wild life would you know which one you are on? No. They are polar opposites but in many ways they are almost identicle.

So we have to juggle those two concepts. They are almost identicle but they are opposite. So why did Donald trump come to power? A simple explanation, as Elder Parminder was discussing, they have Obama and he starts introducing all of these changes. Like allowing Homosexual marriage. What's the response of the Evangelicals? They point to Russia, they see Vladimir Putin who says essentially Homosexuals aren't people, Europe is built on Christian morality and it's illegal inside Russia. A homosexual couple cannot marry by law of the state. So Obama is making these changes and what the churches want in America is Vladimir Putin so they elected Vladimir Putin 2.0. He is racist, he is sexist, he is attempting to make homosexuality illegal by taking away their protections, he protects the church, he is anti-immigration. Everything you see in Donald Trump, they elected him because they wanted their own Vladimir Putin. If you were to stand in the middle of the South pole without some key identifying characteristics like a polar bear or a penguin, you would not know which pole you stand on because they have the same characteristics. Vladimir Putin and Donald Trump are extremely similar men. Vladimir Putin speaks about Europe as being a country built by white people, built upon Christian morality who must protect their white Christianity by keeping out Muslims, just as they did in the crusades, and keeping out immigrants. He has the exact same mentality as Donald Trump so they are almost identical. While being almost identical they are polar opposites. Almost identicle. Donald Trump is in a church state relationship but wo got him elected? The church gave power to Trump. Vladimir Putin is in a church state relationship but he was not elected by the churhc. Vladimir Putin gave power to the Russian Orthodox Church. they depend upon him for power that he can remove at any time. Trump depends on the church for power. If they remove that power this year, he cannot, he will not win the next election. He is completely dependent upon the church to win the 2020 election. Vladimir Putin does not depend upon the church but he uses them for his own ends.

So they are almost identicle. Trump was elected by the church because they wanted a good conservative who was patriarchal, Nationalistic, Homophobic, Anti-immigration, white supremacist, just like Vladimir Putin. So they elected someone who was the same as Putin but they are also polar opposites. Church over state is the definition of the KN. State over church is the definition of the KS. This model began to be taught for the first time in Brazil last year. Equality was not introduced at Germany or August. The study began with the KN and the KS.

In October 2018 when this was first presented equality was already part of the message. The moment you do two streams of information and say Obama, Clinton, trump. The moment Two streams was presented, equality was there. Just a couple of months later this begins to be introduced in Brazil and what we are identifying is that for that last centuries we have understood this church state relationship of the of KN to be descriptive of the relationship between a man and woman. So immediately once you begin dealing with the KN and the KS, you are dealing with equality. It's wrong to have church over state but it's also wrong to have state over church. God's people builds there churches, build their families, this movement was built on the idea that this is wrong (Church over state), and this is right (State over church). What we started to change was to say this is wrong (Church over state), and this is wrong (State over church). God's people Israel exist in neither camp. They were not to be in Babylon and they were not to be part of Egypt. No Babylon and not Egypt. In the middle. The church was not to control the state. We have always known that but the state was not to control the church. That was the first study presented on equality. Before the line of Eden to Eden was presented in Germany.

So we have a study that is innocent. It does not change your behavior. But then we become aware of it's implications. It becomes toxic. You are in a reform line, you must change your behavior. Then a study that is innocent. It is not a test to see that there is a prophecy that ended in 1844. Becomes a test when we see the implication of that, time setting. Now it requires a change of your behavior. We are about to be confronted with the SL. We have study and it's innocent, everyone accepts it, no one seriously fights but it has implications. It is going to develop into a study of the relationship between the church and the state the immediately has implications to the relationship between men and women and it requires a change in behavior. Connected with understanding this relationship between men and women, the rights of women were not the only thing under attack. There were other elements of society that Donald Trump would attack. We cannot forget that. In understanding this relationship we also had to look at other studies such as Nationalism, immigration, how the laws work, what freedoms people should give. In a summery, how do you actually separate the church from the state? What does that mean? What I want us to see is that you can't cut up the messages. Particularly the people that left this movement would say that they have no problem with this. They do now but last September they did not. Elder Jeff for a period after he left the movement claimed to accept all of these studies.

Quoting him directly

"My argument is about application not about lines. The lines are sound."

He is not referring to any lines. He is reffering to the lines that I have been teaching particularly. He said after he left

"the lines are sound. I don't have a problem personally with Boston, Concord, Exeter, Test."

It's another way of saying unsealed, increase of knowledge, formalization, test. So the lines are sound and the repeating pattern he says he doesn't have a problem with it.

"It fits, it is powerful"

So after he leaves he has no problem with this or the repeating pattern or the lines that were presented over the last 18 months. I am reffering to his position just after he left. He would not say that today. To develop this type of methodology he has had to continuously back tract. They are starting to talk about WWII and the role of Russia and Putin. they have never said openly they disagree, they never faught

against it, I don't think you could, so they pretend it doesn't exist and many people are happy with that pretext because if you accept this model it has implications. But what they would teach at least at the beginning of the separation is that the lines are sound and I manipulated the study to turn it into something completely unrelated equality. So how could this become this? That's there underlying argument when they first left. Elder Jeff would say at the beginning, Acts 27, Pyrrhus, WWII, information war, all those studies were the work of God opening them up, giving them to the movement, but that at some stage I interfered. So just so we all know her originally saw all of this as the work of God but then somewhere it's had some human manipulate, twist the messages. One reason that he says that is because just like many of the people in this movement, he can't see the connection, how one can become another. They look at the Midnight Cry and they see it as separate parts that don't connect. We have done the same thing with our ploughing history. People struggle because they see this as prophetic. How can God give us a prophetic message and then we start telling women to lead, men to let them, and people to start wearing trousers. What do trousers have to do with the KS. People in this movement think nothing, that's why it's so easy for some people to be convinced that we have done something strange. They make the same argument back in this history where people who loved the 2520 could not follow the logical steps to time setting. This movement did not fail over the 2520. Elder Jeff failed over this. And the same thing happened over here. This did not challenge the movement but people could not follow the logical steps from understanding the KS and the church state relationship and understanding equality so that's the first thing he is going to attack when he leaves this movement.

What I want us to see is they are one message. You are dealing with Cause and Effect. Cause if reform lines. This (Daniel 11:40-45) is the effect. It changes your behavior. The 2520 is the cause, time setting is the effect. It will change your behavior. Understanding the KS is the cause. The effect will change your behavior. People get stuck on terms like prophetic and moral. They would say this is prophetic and this is moral. Is this not prophetic? It's a whole model of geography but the minute it changes your life, people see only morality. If they want to see morality as something that will change your behavior, then be consistent. This (Daniel 11:40-45) becomes moral and this (time setting) becomes moral. Because each one changed your behavior, told you how to act. So these are one message. Starts innocently but that's just the cause. It will produce an effect that will test you and change your behavior, so you all know what to expect in this dispensation. If a message comes that is really easy and doesn't change your behavior and you think that's nice, and it doesn't change your behavior, I would like to suggest you have not been presented with your test yet. The test always requires a change of behavior. People can be easily put at ease.

In our latter rain history, many people felt at ease when they heard the message presented. They thought this is nice, the testing message of the midnight cry only requires two things, accept Nov 9 and watch Trump and Putin fight. And that's the test.

I want to give a little background. Before October 2018, I was in Australia. I had been in America three times. I think that might had been my third time. So I knew a little of how they think. I was in discussion with a friend. With this friend I had already shared what I would present in October. She was trying to put me at ease. She said they will accept Nov 9, they will accept the World wars, you are worrying too much, everything will be fine. And my response was, I am not worried what they do with Nov 9. I know they will accept Nov 9. that's not what we should be worried about. November 9 is not what they will argue against. The World Wars are not what they will argue against. October and December from the time it was presented, everyone thought this movement is in agreement, that we all accept the message, people feel comfortable. October 2018 Nov 9 is presented, no problem. WWII is presented, no problem. My friend says I told you so. No one is fighting. We knew our worst fears were realized.

Because what was presented was this (two streams) and this is what we knew they would reject. Two streams of information. A black man and a women verses Donald Trump already introducing race and gender. November 9 was accepted. WWII was accepted. Two streams was rejected from October to now. So many people think that this split happened in September last year. It did not. The split happened in October 2018. It took time to develop into something so open. So what is presented is two streams and it was rejected. Knowing that it was rejected we started to counter this. So the response to this rejection built. Beginning in December through to March. This was the Brazilian school last year. There were some meetings in Australia. The response began but then layers were added to this. This is the Brazilian school. You might remember Ipsus at the camp meeting. This was not done randomly. It was done know there was a crisis growing in this movement that many people were not aware of because while people thought we were all in unity, there was a crack developing that would inevitably lead to September.

So immediately after the message is presented there is an appearance of acceptance but the testing part, the crucial part that's equality in a nut shell, is rejected. So we don't fight openly. We begin to reinforce and reinforce the prophetic messages particularly the battle of Ipsus as done at the Brazilian camp meeting. Late October there is another attack on the message. Elder Jeff at the camp meeting starts to share the idea that the midnight cry is a combination of messages our of Africa, out of Canada, that there are all these messages that compose the midnight cry. Again we don't openly fight. Here we responded through the lines, here also we responded through the lines. Here it's Ipsus among others, here it's the repeating pattern. December 2018. This repeating pattern that we began drawing was understood in December and it was presented as a response to this idea that these three are a formalization of a message. This (Daniel 11:40-45) is not multiple messages from multiple people. Neither is this (SL 2014) and neither is this (Equality). So the same repeating pattern that Elder Jeff called powerful September of last year was presented as a response April 2019 and the attack is "Half right half wrong". He is saying that Elder Parminder here was half right and half wrong because of Samuel Snow at Exeter. The response to that is if you want to put Exeter on a line it's not here (2014) it's here (2018). So the implications of this concept is that this (2009) is half wrong, this (2018) is half wrong if you wanted to be consistent he would have to apply that to himself here but he doesn't want to do that. So again this study that the message here were half wrong, this study was found to be dangerous. It required a more open response. It had to be open because people had to know what we were referring to. So the response again was the lines. Using the lines of Pyrrhus, using the lines of the World wars, using the lines of the counterfeit, using the lines of the reform lines. All these witnesses were presented publicly to show that Elder Jeff was wrong in April. At that point there is no more open further attacks on the message. Everything goes quiet. Behind the scenes there is not acceptance. Behind the scenes he stops watching anything I present from here on in. So we are out of time so I will close.

The purpose of giving this history is to sow the relationships between the messages. The connection between these two (Reform lines and Daniel 11:40) studies that they are one message including cause and effect. These as one message, 2520 and time setting. And this understanding of the KN and the KS, Trump and Putin, they are one message. They cannot be cut up into parts. But it's the second part that requires a change of behavior that becomes a test. And the failure of that test was not September last year but began the day it was presented. It took time to develop into open rebellion, but I want us to have straight in our minds that these messages are one, that we can see how God has lead.

If you kneel with me we will close in prayer.