November 6, 2019

Parminder Biant

# 12 Australia

 WHAT IS THE GREAT TEST

 TEST/ IB

 S/L, Daniel 11:41 COP, Daniel 12:1

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_I\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_I

 I I

 IB COP, shut door

 \_\_\_11:40B\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_I

 (2015)X

 LDE 227. 3 This is what Ellen White says; she says that the Lord has clearly taught her ,shown her, that the Image of the Beast test which is the SL test, occurs before the COP and it is the **great test** that God's people have to meet before Daniel 12:1, the probation closes, before the shot door .What we've done is taken that statement and instead of applying it or using it in the way that it was originally intended we've taken that and put it into a different context, with a different target audience. So what we've done is we've moved the COP from Daniel 12:1 to the SL and then we've taken the IBT and been forced to bring that to a history or an event that precedes Daniel 11 verse 41. This is 11 verse 41 so therefore this is the history of 11:40 Part B. When this passage first began to be addressed people were using the events of 2015 to mark the fulfillment of this prophecy. So I'm going to put 2015 here, I put it in parenthesis because that is not true, it's incorrect. I put an X by it so that you can remember. What they would argue is that there was a law that was put in place in the United States, the same-sex marriage law, where people of the same gender were given the same legal rights, the same legal standing as heterosexual relationships and this movement saw that as an aberration of the marriage institution. Connected with that event in the summer of 2015 we had a General Conference session in our church where there was a vote on women's ordination. In this vote women's ordination was prevented from being passed mainly because of the work of the African conferences; and I believe some of the South American conferences. And if I can say it this way; it was the conservative conference's divisions, unions that were put in to block the women's ordination, because the Europeans and the North Americans they were happy to have women pastors. In fact they already had women pastors, in some places they were ordained in other places, they were functioning as ministers but they were not yet ordained. And in 2015 that vote got rejected, got turned down and so we don't have an official position that allows women to have equal status with men. But because that issue happened within the church at the same time as it has in America, we saw this as the issue of the IB .Now the reason why this becomes problematic is because the person that instituted that law was President Obama as we all know. And in that time period many of us would see any president, any leader, as being wrong, or bad, or evil, if I can say it that way. So we bought into this idea that Obama was a bad person and he as well as any other president of the United States, could, would bring in a SL; that was our position. And in fact rightly or wrongly, really wrongly, there were rumors, there were discussions that people would have that many years ago some Adventists had a dream that there was going to be a black president who would bring in the SL. And for some people in the congregation it's a well-known story in Adventism. So people took that story and said -wow a miracle has happened, we've got a black president; that could never have happened, maybe that dream is going to be fulfilled. So we had little ideas like that, people saw that he was a bad president, he introduced what is termed “the Obama care” bill which was basically taking the health service, the private health system that they have in America and nationalizing it. He wanted to take it much further than it actually got taken. And people saw that, because they were being forced to enter into a relationship with the government, that made them take out health insurance, and they saw this as a violation of their constitutional rights. So they saw this as evidence that President Obama would dismantle and destroy the Constitution. They saw this as a prelude obviously to the COP or to SL. So that's a bit of the backdrop of why 2015 becomes a point of contention. Now it's been clearly stated over the last 12 months that when you start thinking about two streams of information, just want to refer us back to this presentation that sister Tess did earlier today. She's already identified that we had two streams of information in four different organizations .She's done the USA, Adventism, Papacy and this movement .

 USA ADVENTISM PAPACY MOVEMENT

 CNN FOX Conservative Liberals EWTN NCR FFA Us

So I’m going to ask the question, what's the two streams of information in Adventism ? She didn't go into details of that but it's going to go along the same lines of conservative and liberal ideology. She's already demonstrated through the news reports that she briefly mentioned, you can go and check them yourselves, in the papacy how you've got these two streams of information. Hopefully we can see that. She's mentioned the names of these two streams, she's spoken about the two streams of information in our movement, FFA and the movement that we have, and in the United States, CNN and Fox. I will remind us that when it says the USA, here most countries have their two streams of information you know, a Liberal source and the Conservatives source. People had to have had a real misunderstanding of how this all operates, of who is good and who is bad. Because if you go to any person just look in the mirror, depending on what time of day is, depending what day of the week it is, depending what year is in their life, you're all good and you're all bad . We do many good things and many, many bad things. We make mistakes, we have wrong ideas, we have wrong concepts. So what people do is they say that you know CNN they do plenty of wrong things, and Fox do plenty of right things. And sometimes they have the same perspective. So they want to turn all of this into something that's not clear, that's really muddy. So it becomes a confusing idea, so that there's no clarity. Now if you take that concept, that idea about what I want to call “muddying the waters” if you have ever done evangelism, if you have ever gone to an evangelical Christian and try to persuade them to be an Adventist ,you know the technique that they're going to use, is muddying the waters. They're going to make it so confusing, that what we believe is black and white, Sabbath or Sunday, they're going to make it such a confusing such a complex issue that they're just going to destroy your message. Depending on how competent you are how much grit that you have, and how clever they are, depends on who will win that argument. You’re a Christian you go and speak to an atheist, someone who believes in evolution, you can have the same problem whether you go to the fossil record or the age of the earth, or carbon dating. Everyone's going to have their perspective and they will run circles around you. They would make everything muddy, so you don't have this clear black and white perspective of what's right and what's wrong. It all becomes people's personal perspective, and that is what FFA is doing when we start dealing with these two streams of information as you go through. So we need to be really clear on this issue. Whether there is either light or darkness, absolute truth and absolute error .And the reason why people find it difficult to see this, is based upon our heritage as conservative Christians .Because we have this mindset that when we talk about perfection we conceptualize perfection as being 100% or totally perfect. And that really is not so. You can only be perfect in your sphere as a human being. And however great you think human beings are in the chain of life, the chain of creation, we’re pretty low compared to God. The whole perspective of who we are, when God says” be perfect even as I am perfect”, be sinless, has to be understood in its context as a human being. Not in the context of God. This is a root problem that many people had. The other problem that people have is actually trying to identify what defines sin and sinlesness. But Ellen White makes it really simple. She talks about the **great test**. She doesn't talk about multiple tests she doesn't say some multifaceted test she just says it's **the test**, singular, definitive article. So we know what the great test is the **IB**. We need to work out what the IB is. If you're a run-of-the-mill Adventist unlike ourselves you know what the IB test is. It's the issue of the SL whether you're going to worship God on Saturday or Sunday. It's not asking people anything else, it's not asking what do you do with your money, do you covet your neighbor's goods, did you commit adultery last week, do you lie and steal, or cheat, and that’s not the great test. The great test is really simple, it's the singular test that's easily definable and everyone can see which side of the argument you're going to stand upon. And what God does, being clever as He is, He's going to use this singular test to be the marker to determine who is his disciple and who is not. Now if I were to ask you, if you are God’s disciple or not, hopefully we’d all answer yes. And then I would ask you, if you were God, how would you be able to show people who are yours and who are not yours? I think most people are going to say those people who are good, as opposed to those people who are bad. Then we'd argue of what is good and bad look like because if you go to the Gentiles they look pretty good don't they? We have a biblical argument to prove that. The story of the Good Samaritan .Who was the good person in that one? It's the world not the church. So when we started talking about that, bad it becomes a confusing issue because we don't know how to define what good and bad is. It becomes an unmanageable problem. So what God does, is because we're humans, we're very simplistic, He just breaks it down into a really simple issue which he calls what? **The great test**. Singular test, it is easy to deal with. You know whether you're passed or failed. It's not some nebulous idea, this nebulous concept so that you go through, this experience, this way marking you : “I wonder if I'd passed or not”. This is why people in this movement, on the right side who were still struggling are saying I hope I'm going to make it through 9/11, I hope I'm going to pass the test, because I did something bad the other day, or I don't feel right about myself, or I got out of the bed on the wrong side, or I'm feeling a bit grumpy today and I'm depressed. People are struggling with all these ideas and they have no idea whether they can pass or fail tests. So if you don't have any idea who has the idea, who knows? You're going to say well God knows. If God knew what side you were on, He wouldn’t need to devise all these tests. We don’t need to have any tests because He's the one who's in control of everything and He knows the end from the beginning, He knows which one of us is going to pass anyway. What's the purpose of all these tests? Who is it for? You go to Daniel 7 he tells you. Thousands upon ten thousands of people stand and watch and the judgment is sat. The whole issue of all of this stuff is not for God to understand what's going on,it's so that we can understand what's going on. Go to the story of Job, we discussed this this morning in a conversation that we were having. What does God say when he looks at Job? He doesn't say that Job is perfect or not, He states it as a fact. Who doesn't know? Satan doesn't know. He says go and check and look and you’ll see, look at his behavior, look how he deals with problems and Satan says: he hasn’t even been tested. You got to apply a specific test to know if he's good or bad. Not so that God will know if he's good or bad, so that who would know? So that Satan would know and Satan's agents, Job’s friends. And not only Satan and Job's friends, who else would know? Job himself. Job doesn't even know what perfection looks like. When he goes through the experience how does he feel? It feels bad. Did he pass the test? He certainly passed the test, because look at the reward that he gets at the end. Who pays him the reward, who pays him the seed money? His friends do, his friends have to fork out the money and startup a company, if we can say it that way. Because they got it all wrong. So everyone needs to learn about what righteousness looks like and how it's evidence, except one person God. He already knew. So when we start talking about perfection and the tests it has to be an issue that is really clear and easy to understand. It cannot be muddy or complex. In fact who are the people that say it's complex? It’s Job’s disciples, or his friends. They're the ones who muddied the waters because they say we can't tell if you're good or bad. So I want us to be clear on this issue. When we start talking about these two streams of information if you want to know whether you passed or failed the test you need to know that the test is, easy to see and to be identified. Which brings us back to the story about the IB, of how we've got it all wrong. We've got it all wrong because we don't understand how parable teaching works. Can you just see that this is parable teaching? You're going to compare and contrast two groups, two messages, two ideologies, two concepts. The problem is in some ways they look very similar; so you go to United States, Sister Tess brought CNN and Fox, we could've put something else we could have put the Democrats and Republicans. Where did the Democrats and Republicans live? They live in the same house. Don’t they? Of course they do. They work for the same system, they're paid by the same bank, and they’re the same thing. How many Democrats are bad people? Lots of them. Maybe all of them, there are none good, no, not one. But the test or the issue that we're dealing with, we carve a path through all of that noise and we're able to see clearly who is good and who is bad. You can go to a Spirit of Prophecy quote that basically says ”there are a few good men that hold the whole system in check”. I mean the GC, and what she's talking about is that there's this monolithic movement that wants to destroy God's people, to overtake the world and the people that are going to stop them, are these few people, and they are politicians that are going to be doing that. So you need to ask yourself, those politicians that are going to be doing that great work of stopping this whole system, are they good people or bad people? The way we think about it, because we're so ingrained in our false ideas, we think they're holy, righteous people. I want us to think about this. This monolithic system is all a religious entity, the Christian conservative right, everyone knows that. They're apostate Protestants, Catholics, if you want to go to the Dragon power, with all these people with spiritualistic ideas but they're all conservative in their perspective. So who would fight them? Our perspective is the people who fight them are equally righteous Christians. But that can't be the case. You just see in the world today it doesn't work that way. You go to America and you know it's not operating that way. The people who are resisting this Republican movement, this right-wing conservative led government are people, that we would call worldly, are people who are liberal, people who perhaps don’t have any religion, who are easy going, who have no standards. We call them Democrats. So when Ellen White talks about these people, who are going to resist the move for Sunday, we need to really rethink if we believe here at the end of the world who and what those people look like. So it's not that they are good or bad. It's that they have a different ideology, a different perspective. Now the reason why this becomes important, if we believe that Donald Trump is the last president, he’s going to bring a SL, someone needs to resist him. Who was the person that wanted to resist him? Hillary Clinton. So if she wanted to resist him how can we develop a theology that would have said she could have introduced a SL if she was put in power? It's not a correct prophetic model. She's a globalist, that's the kind of term that people use, and he's a nationalist and the problem is FFA hold the same perspective, the same idea, as this group of people( pointing to FOX). So when we look at what we believe, right and wrong to be, it has to be on a very narrow specific issue, which Ellen White will call **the great test**. It's not some general nebulous idea of who’s good and who’s bad. It's not like that. So when we start talking about these movements and we start saying Fox and FFA, EWTN ,this is one perspective, one world view compared to another world view which is all these ones that we've spoken about. And you're going to get the same issue here in Adventism. The reason why this becomes important is because when you see the fight between Clinton and Trump, this is between someone who wants to introduce the SL and someone who's going to resist the SL. This is why we see the dismantling of the EU, the European movement, the attacks upon NATO. All of this work has been undertaken through the Trump presidency. The reason why that becomes important to see is because most of us have been brought up to believe that the EU is some evil conspiracy, some organization that was invented in some meeting that Satan had to bring the world to an end, call it the globalist. And it's a completely wrong perspective of what end time prophecy looks like. So you have heard us teach unequivocally that this is good (CNN) and this is bad (FOX). Even though they may have many wrong ideas(CNN), they may have their own agenda, which we would not agree with, and if these(CNN) were a symbol of the Democrats and this (FOX) would be the Republicans, then the Democrats would be good and the Republicans would be bad. And that's why we've developed this argument to say that what's happening here in 2015 was not the IBT that was being introduced by President Obama. So we've made the argument that Obama is good and that Trump is bad. I want to read to you something. This is taken straight from FFA. This was done sometime in October this year, 2019, towards the end of October.

 “Sister Tess claimed that Obama was a Constitutional expert which is a bunch of hooey (crazy). Obama is as much a narcissist as Trump. If Obama had actually excelled in his college education he would have flaunted his records but he has never allowed them to be released. He was evidently a failure excelling in the education he chose. And Tess identifies him as a constitutional expert because he received a meaningless title at one point in his life. She describes Trump's executive orders disregarding all of Obama's executive orders. And the fact that Obama care was pushed through without anyone ever reading it .I can see those three representing specific issues that contribute to the civil war in the USA. Those being feminism - Hillary, conspiracy theories, Trump and race - Obama. But she went the exact socialistic mile when she placed the Obama as a symbol of race without identifying that he's obviously a racist.”

He goes on and on. All I want to point out after this rant, what do you get at the end of that, what did you pick up? That there's no difference between Obama and Donald Trump, any one of them could have been the president and any one of them could have introduced a SL. So if anyone could have introduced a SL who was stopping Obama from introducing the SL? You have to come up with some kind of conspiracy that says like… God was doing it, or things weren't quite ready. You'll have to come up with some kind of answer to say that Obama could have, but he didn't. And this is where the wheels fall off the axle in their message. You now get to a place where there is no distinguishing mark between Trump and Obama, between the Republicans and Democrats. They're all bad it's a free-for-all, there is no such thing as two streams of information. And if that's the case you go back to this story here,(pointing to the lines of the 144k, the priests, Levites and Nethenims) if you go back to this story 3/4 of the work for the Nethinims is done in the history before the SL. This is the SL, this is Daniel 11:41. Now we all believe and I'm sure FFA believes that to actually go through this line, to be cut out of something, you need a true message. I'm assuming that's inherently obvious. So the Nethinims must have a true message from somewhere, to be given to them, in order to begin this line. In fact three calls of their history is before the SL. So it must be truth, they must be receiving it from somewhere. So either they don't believe in this way mark (beginning of the line of the Nethenims) where it is or they're going to have to come up with some different kind of answer. Now we understand that this way mark is 2014, from 2014 begins these three dispensations for the Nethinims. Even if you don't understand the plowing and all of those symbols, what you have to understand, is that these Nethinims need some kind of message. If they need a message who is giving them the message? And the message that they receive has to be a true message. I think that's obvious. If they're going to be cut out from the world, must be a true message that cuts them out because the world is a false message. And if it's so and it's in 2014 who's doing that message? We've gone over these so many times. It's not us. It must be the world. If it's the world, you know they're not getting it from the Bible. That's clear. They are not getting this message from the Bible. Even though they don't realize it's a prophetic message, because they don't understand about prophecy, what must that message be to them? If they are not going to get it from the Bible they're going to get it from their own understanding. They must understand what is right and what is wrong, mustn't they? Because the message is the right message as opposed to a wrong message. The world is wrong, this Statue. To get out of that, you must have something that's right. They need to understand where to differentiate between right and wrong. Does everyone in the world understand what's right and wrong? Of course they do. Because everybody understands morality. There are moral issues going on which are going to cut out these people. So all you need to do is understand what are the moral issues that are going on in the world. And we know this is going to happen in the United States because that's where all the trouble is happening. It's not the only places, it's happening in the world, but we're going to our stories from the United States. All you need to do is go to the United States and figure out what is right and what is wrong behavior. Same moral issue. Because they don't understand that they're living in a reformed line. All they can understand is morality. You know that's the case. What identification do we give for President Donald Trump? We say he’s the last President. How do you know he's the last president? You go to the world, you go to these people. I want to make another statement. We are not only saying he's the last President we say he's a good or bad president? Bad. What makes him bad? Because he's a bad person? NO. Is it because he's a narcissist as we just read here? NO. It's because he's going to do what? Bring in a SL. That's what makes him bad. It's not his morality that makes him good or bad. So we say he's going to be the last president. Ask any of these people from the world, ask, is he a good or bad President? What are they going to say? He's bad. What makes him bad? They're not going to have the same reason that we have. They're going to say, you know what, as bad as he is, we'll just swallow deeply because in four years what's going to happen? He's going to be out and he’s going to be replaced. Five years at the moment because we haven't gone through this election cycle yet, the maximum we've got to do is suck it up for five years and then he's out. So you know they do not have a prophetic perspective of what's going on. They just don't. They may use prophetic language, they may inadvertently say some prophetic statements, but they don't have a prophetic message. Because they believe once he's finished his presidency, we are going to go back to normality; we'll go back to the system. He's just an odd aberration. And yet you have people commenting, saying that he's gone so far that we're never going to get back to normality. That may or may not happen from their perspective. But all of them know that sometimes sooner or later they're going to get rid of him. Because even if it's run by the Republicans the next time around they're not going to get someone who's as strange, or bad as he is. So can we see, it's so easy to understand that the world knows who is good and who is bad? So if they can figure it out, how comes we can't figure out who is good and who is bad? How comes we can't figure that out and that's where the problem lies. So you can see the perspective that Future for America has and they become so bold to openly say this now that there is no difference between Obama, Clinton and Trump. And that by its very definition is a conspiracy theory. It's a conspiracy theory to believe that there's no difference. The reason why this is so crazy is because if we compared the movement with Adventism, would we know that there's a good stream and a bad stream of information, a good message and a bad message? Of course we would. Because we invented this concept. The Everlasting Gospel is a three-step prophetic testing message that creates and then demonstrates two classes of people with two ideas, two ideologies, two messages. You either have the Gospel message or you have some alternative version. We have the Gospel, Adventism doesn't. So this idea of two streams of information, two groups of people, two messages, is something that we have well understood and we've understood this idea within the movement as we saw people leaving the movement from 2014. Path of the Just, Tree of Life, we understood that they had a crazy message which was not fit for purpose. It was easy to see two ideologies, two messages, two streams of information, but now we're identifying that FFA is one of those streams, one of those ideologies, one of those messages and that's where all of this begins to become unstuck for them. They want to create a new narrative that they don't believe in two streams of information that's why they did this.(Hiding Mother Angelica).Because they have no idea about two streams of information, they just don't understand it. And as we were taught earlier, they believe in things being half right and half wrong. We discussed that earlier on in the year. When they begin to deal with Mother Angelica they're half right on that subject but they're half wrong. This idea of half right and half wrong is a continual point of problem in their methodology, in their idea. They don't understand the difference between right and wrong. It's clear to see, if it wasn't clear to see there's no way that you and I could understand whether we've passed or failed a test. And we know this from all the previous issues. The 2520, you know if you've passed or failed a test on 2520, it's whether you believe it. 9/11, 1989, The TOE magazine, over and over again it was clear to see whether or not you've passed or failed the test. In fact last year only they knew that time had now become a test. If you didn't accept **time setting** you were out of the movement and if you did you were part of the movement. Clear to see the difference between right and wrong until now. And that's what each of us needs to understand clearly that FFA have become unstuck. I want to come back to this issue, this is not 2015. That's not what the IBT is. The IBT, if we looked at this before, I'm sure most of us in fact maybe if I asked you some of us would have said it's 9/11. This is the test, the great test for God's people to bring them to the SL. **But it isn’t 9/11 it’s 2014**. Before we taught it was 2015. It's not 2015 so I rub that off I know then I would ask you what would that IBT be and I said if I'd asked you this a few years ago most of us would have said 9/11. In fact today some of us would still say 9/11, but I'm saying it's 2014. This is the **great test** that we need to see before we come to the COP. I want to read something again, this is taken from late October, it's another whole passage that Elder Jeff has stated and I'm not sure where it was, maybe a presentation or a conversation. This one's a little bit longer but I want to read this and as we read this you're going to see this is a connection to all of this.( Showing to the four lines, 144,priests, Levites and Nethinims). It's a connection to this story here but then I want to show us it's also connection to this story here.(The story of the Test, Image of the Beast. Daniel 11:41 to Daniel 12:1).So I've got 2014 and I've got 9/11 put it in parenthesis what would that IBT be?

“ Parminder placed an undo balanced/ weight on Daniel 12:1. In so doing he avoided a fact that was already established and in so doing brought in confusion on the subject. Once confusion takes place with the truth then as time proceeds, uncertainty is attached to the truth. The fact that was in place before Parminder began his dismantling of truths, that were already established, was the probation closes for the priest at a point in time. But for the Levites and the Nethinims it closes progressively.”

 I don’t want to be too much commenting but all that he said here is that I placed too much emphasis on Daniel 12:1. You can see I'm placing too much emphasis on Daniel 12:1 because I want us to anchor us here (CPO, Daniel 12:1) and he wants to anchor us there (CPO Daniel 11:41) so you see this undue emphasis on this, that's one point, what he’s really referring to is this way mark here, this is Daniel 12:1. I placed too much emphasis here and the second point he brings up is that everybody knew the Priests, Levites, and Nethinims, the probation for the Priests closes at one point in time, and the probation for the Nethinims and the Levites is a progressive matter. We all knew that and because I emphasized it I introduced confusion into the movement . We already know that it's a point in time (Priests) progressive (Levites) and progressive (Nethinims),there's this idea and that I place too much emphasis on Daniel 12:1 so I'm introducing confusion into the movement.

” It closes for the Priests at Raffia and then it closes progressively for the Levites beginning at Panium until the SL. It closes progressively for the 11th hour workers, the Nethinims at the SL until Michael stands up in Daniel 12:1.”

So up to this point all of us, us and them, are in agreement .This comment is probably two weeks old so you can see that they're holding onto the same perspective of Priests, Levites and Nethinims as we hold on to. Point (Priests), period of time is the COP, the structural placing of these is in the same place that we do. Raffia, then Panium to SL, SL to Daniel 12:1. So we're all in agreement here.

 “Parminder began to place the emphasis”, we see where things begin to go wrong” began to place the emphasis on Michael standing up as the COP for the 144k and essentially created 4 COPs, 1 for the Priests of our history, one for the Levites, one for Nethenims and one for the 144k .”

So if you see that that's accurate that's what I have done.

 “When Michael stands up it is the end of probationary time for all mankind and it is the end of the judgment of the living that began at 9/11 not the SL as Parminder falsely teaches.”

So I've claimed that the judgment of the living begins at the SL and he says it begins at 9/11. So 9/11 you begin to see why they would make this IB is failing 2015, most people would gravitate to 9/11. I just sort of make some comments on this thing. Now if we want you can go for a thus sayth the Lord, or if you want you can use Ellen White's writings to understand what's going on. Is there any other way to do it? The Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy. Is there any other way to actually understand what end time prophecy looks like? The answer is no, of course there's no other way. It's the only source of information that we have. We have to take all of those words and try to create a story that makes sense. Hopefully you'll agree with that. We've already done this a number of times.
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 Ellen White is going to give us a reformed line and this reform line is this one here. It's the only one that she gives to us. It goes from 1798 to 1844 to the SL, to the close of probation, to the Second Advent. And you can put an agricultural line here, agricultural model, than you have the plowing, the former rain, latter rain, and harvest. The problem that we find in our movement is that what we have done is introduce or create way marks. The true primary way marks that we've created or introduced are here 89 and 9/11. And the question is how did we create those way marks? Where do we get them from? And I want to argue that it's absolutely clear to see that it's not from a thus sayeth the Lord. You cannot take a thus sayth the Lord at least the thus sayeth the Lord as I understand, what that term means, you take the words as written and you just take them at face value, they are what they say that they are, and then you make a conclusion about what you just read. That to me is what thus sayeth the Lord is. You don't take those words and try to spiritualize them away or you don't make an application of them, or you don't take them out of their context. You take them as they are written. That to me is what a thus sayeth the Lord is. And if you took that principle how on earth you get these two way marks? (89 and 9/11). We'll go with the first one 1989 the TOE. If you go to Daniel 11 verse 40 how many TOEs is there in that passage? Is only one. At the TOE the KS shall push against him. There's only one TOE. Ellen White is going to comment, many, many times on not just that verse itself but the whole concept of the TOE. And how many she give you? Only one. The Bible and the spirit prophecy are consistent on this point. There's only one TOE not two. So if you're going to go with a thus sayth the Lord you cannot create two TOEs. In fact over and over again she will tell you that we are living **in** the TOE, not at the TOE. And she'll tell you things like if you want to work out when the end is going to happen ,in the TOE when you want to work out what's going to happen in the TOE, the end would look like this (SL,CPO, 2nd coming) she's going to tell you things like no person knows when that's going to happen, we have no idea, reason we have no idea because we have no timeframe in which to understand when those things are going to happen. So there are no way marks for us to hold on to, to bring us to these end time events. So what we do is we go to verse 40 and using a **methodology** of **parable teaching** we create a way mark that is not there in inspiration, neither in the spirit of prophecy, nor in the Bible. We create a new way mark, the TOE. And not being satisfied with that what we then do is we create another way mark 9/11. And 9/11 is not found in the Scriptures. 9/11 you're going to have to search in Ellen White's writings and the place that we normally go to for that is the book Testimonies for the church vol 9, beginning page 11 and R&H July 5th, 1906. We go to those two passages, sometimes people are more familiar with this one, Life Sketches page 411. We go to those two passages and what we do is not take a thus sayeth the Lord, we're going to take those passages and manipulate them, take them out of their context and we're going to turn that into a 9/11 statement. From these two passes you'll be combining together and make it a 9/11 statement. Now let me ask you if I were to do this ,

 Par. Chapter one………..COP

 Par chapter two ………..COP (9/11)

 Par chapter three……….COP

 can call it paragraphs, if I said we both would agree that the subject of this was the COP, we both agreed on that, could you then take this and say that this was 9/11. Do you think that's reasonable to do and these are not even chapters, these are paragraphs, this is breaking all the rules, any rule that you ever knew. You know this is breaking that rule. But if you are going to tell someone a story, you begin here and you run through, then you tell a story here and then you jump to another story and then you jump back into this one. Unless you made it absolutely clear with some other evidence that that was the case. Because this is what FFA would have you believe that Ellen White's going to be doing in these two passages that she's talking about a subject matter and it's clear to see that it's the COP. And then she's going to slip in surreptitiously this event here which is the event of 9/11. That's what they would have you believe and I'm saying this is a clear violation of anything and everything that we should hold on to if we really believed in a thus sayth the Lord and in intellectual integrity. We can’t take all of this because it's not 9/11 it's the COP and then we can make an application back to 9/11. We could do that but Ellen White is not talking about 9/11 in these passages. And they still refuse to believe that .He's not dealing with that at this moment but he's going to in a moment. But I just want you to see that this idea that he's introducing, 9/11 into this story is really difficult to accept and believe and I’m just going to say it for this reason.

“When Michael stands up is the end of probationary time for all mankind and it is the end of the judgment of the living, that began at 9/11.”

 Now Ellen White tells you that no one knows when the judgment of the living begins. That's the first thing. So if no one knows when the judgment of the living begins, how do they claim that they know it's 9/11? How do you know the judgment of the living began at 9/11 if Ellen White says no one knows where the judgment of the living begins? How do you make the connection that 9/11 was ever the judgment of the living? I don’t know if you ever thought about that, when we spoke about 9/11. When this movement goes on and on about 9/11 why don't we ever even tie back the judgment of the living to that reference point? So we're sort of giving a simple answer to that. The simple answer is this, that what we do is we take spirit prophecy quotes that talk about the latter rain. So we talk about the subject of the LR and then connecting with the subject of the LR we take the story of Revelation 18 and when you connect the story of the LR or her quotes connect that with the subject of Revelation 18 and then the story of the LC. LR / Rev 18 = LC(MC)= COP = Judgment of the Living. When you start comparing all of these concepts together and then start dealing with the COP, you take all of these thoughts and ideas and it leads you to the idea or the point of the judgment of the living. That's how we got the judgment of living tied back to 9/11, because what we do is we say in the past the LR began here at 9/11 then we say revelation 18 came down at 9/11 then we say the LC which is connected to this story which we're going to change, not call it the LC what do we want to call it? The MC, beginning that history and it's going to be connected with these COP that's about to come and all of this deals with the judgment of the living.

 LR / Rev. 18 = LC + Judgment of the Living

 That's why he says that from his perspective we all know that the judgment and living began at 9/11. That's the way he's going to approach that. And not at the SL as I falsely teach. I'm going to go over this at least one or two times so that's why I'm jumping back and forth. I want us to see something. Just take the concept of judging someone. When you judge someone what does that mean? What's the inference behind judging someone? They must have done something, they must have made some action and what are you going to do? You're going to make a judgment, or a comment, or an observation of what they've just done. Hopefully we can see that .If you go back to the original judgment story perhaps not the original one but the second original one, will go to Cain and Abel. When you start judging that story what event do we focus on, what event are we given? It's not that they fight, or squabble over their toys is it? Or one of them was rude, or disrespectful to their parents. What was the test? It was about that sacrifice, one was going to offer a lamb, one was going to offer their produce. So it's a **specific test**. That was the test that marks who was going to be good and who was going to be bad. That was **the great test** for them. And based upon that great test what can you do? Judge them who's right and who's wrong. Are we okay with that concept? So if you're going to make any kind of judgment upon someone, what do you need to have done first ?You have to have tested them first to see if they're passed or failed the test so that they're going to be judged. Take Ellen White's model ,her concept of that. Now the judgment of the living is a big issue in Bible prophecy so it's the big thing that you're going to transfer or transition from the judgment of the dead to the judgment of the living. And if you're going to judge living people what you need to do? You need to test them. What tests you want to bring to those people, what they eat, what they drink, how they dress, did they go to work and steal a pen, what test you want to bring to the people at the end of the world, the final generation, to know whether that they have passed or failed in the judgment? When you have to have a big test for them what would be the big test? My brother says the crisis. Ellen White says in LDE 227 what did she say ? You have to give a great test ,have to be able to know whether they are going through a great judgment on the right or wrong side? How can you be judging people before they are tested? Ask yourself that question. How can you judge someone before you've even tested them? You can see it's so intuitively easy to grasp hold of when you just think about it. I'm going to quote what he says.

 “When Michael stands up it's the end of probationary time for all mankind “,we agree on that “and it's the end of the judgment of the living” we agree on that ,he says” that began at 9/11”.

 Which means if you're going to begin the judgment of the living at 9/11, there must be some great test there. And there is no great test there because 9/11 is a manufactured date, not from inspiration, but from structure. And if we're going to see a thus sayeth the Lord we have to get all of that information from inspiration, not stuff that we manufacture because she tells you how you can find out the answer to these questions. We can't just make them up as we go along.

 He says “Is at 9/11 not at the SL as Parminder falsely teaches”.

 And I want to say I didn't falsely teach, it's the SL. This is straight out of the SOP. And when I say that there's not a SOP quote that says judgment of the living is at the SL, but you can't judge people until you have tested them. And the only test that's going to come, **the great test** upon God's people, E. White tells us, she defines it, is the IBT which is the SL test. So you know when that's going to happen. If you want to have a secondary argument we will take our line here in 1844 and we would say that this was the 3rd AM arriving in history. When is the 3rd AM going to be empowered in history? Depends who you ask. This isn’t E. White story. Because the Ellen White story is progression. You're going to go from the arrival to its empowerment and she's clear both, in EW her first work, and the GC her last work ,from the Alpha and Omega it's always the same story, that this is at the SL, this is the Daniel 11:41, the SL, the NSL, it's not the 2014, the Raffia, the Panium one. That's when the 3rd AM is going to be empowered. Now if you take this story and you fractalize it, what we tend to do, is not to say that that's the empowerment of the 3rd AM we tend to call that the arrival of the third. You've seen plenty of studies that do that. I put it in parentheses. This message here, the arrival of the 3rd AM(1844) what is it mark? The judgment of the Dead, the beginning of the judgment of the Dead.

 1844 NSL
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Therefore if you're going to do Alpha- Omega, repeat and enlarge, what is this mark (NSL) it would also mark the judgment ,not of the dead but of the living. I**t's** **the beginning of the judgment of the living, it's the great test that you can begin to make the judgment** because if you haven't been tested what you're going to judge? You're going to judge is the person nice, was he respectful. You can't make judgments upon people until you've tested them .And this judgment is so important just like the judgment of Cain and Abel was so important as it is in all these previous histories. It becomes such a critical issue but you have to have a great test and the only great test that comes upon God's people is the SL test .Whenever we might understand the SL test to be we're dealing with it as a way mark in this line of history. The **great test** is here,Ellen White is clear on it, and that is therefore when the judgment of the living must have begun. It's NOT at 9/11. So when you take that concept and you mark it here (NSL), what you need to see is who is that dealing with? What is the subject matter? Because we know it's not the Priest, it's not the story of the Priest and it can't be the story of the Levites and it certainly can't be the story of the Nethinims which is how this movement used to teach it and which even though he doesn't explicitly say it, this is the implication of what he's going to say in this statement here. He's going to infer that this judgment of the living which began here at 9/11 or this great test that's being referred to, is somewhat connected with the Nethinims and not with the 144,000. I'm going to explain why that is because there's a fundamental disconnect between what FFA is teaching and what we're teaching today and what we've taught for the last 24 months. Which they have been silent upon, which now they are openly attacking. The **great test** **for the Priests** is not Daniel 11 verse 41. What's the great test for the Priests? **2014**. Hopefully we're okay with that. What's the **great test for the** **Levites**? Has to be the **SL** do we agree with that, has to be the SL that's the great test Ellen White quote teaches us that . So we just take the quote and we apply it over each one of these histories. If the great test that she gives us is this one here (Daniel 11:41, COP) the great test for the Priests becomes 2014 .
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The great test for the Levites becomes Raffia and therefore the great test for the Nethinims would become Panium. If that's all those great tests, 2014, Raffia and Panium, what Bible verse are they all in? They’re in Daniel verse 40 or Daniel verse 41? During Daniel 11 verse 40. So we haven't even got to Daniel 11 verse 41 which is the **great test** that she's speaking about here. When Edom, Moab and Ammon come out of Babylon, what SL is that one? What **great test** is that one? Who is that great test for? Because it can't be for the Priests, they've already had their great taste, can't be for the Levites they've already had their great test ,it can't be for the Nethinims they've already had their great test. Who is the great test for here (NSL) the great test who is it for? Because it's not for the Priest, Levite or the Netninims. Who must it be for? So someone's going to say for 144,000. So I just want to finish reading what he says and this is the problem that you are confronted with whoever you are, if you want to decide who's right and who's wrong. I just go back

“When Michael stands up it is the end of probationary time for all mankind it is the end of the judgment of the living which began at 9/11” which it did not “not at the SL as Parminder falsely teaches. “

Which I did, which is why I said that this is 2014. **2014 is the SL it is** **the great test for the Priests**. Began back here. So when I did this how many of these (SL’s) would you have? Before you got to this one? You have one for the Priests, 2014, the next one you would Raffia for the Levites, the next one you would have Panium for the Nethinims. So you take this SOP quote and you would apply it three times and in the three times that you'd apply it, it would all be the great test for that group of people. It's clear to see if you follow the lines.

 “When Michael stands up it is the close of probation for all mankind and on another line of truth, it is the end of the judgment of the living and the judgment of the living began at 9/11.” It did not “and has three groups of persons that it tests and purifies, the Priests, Levites and Nethinims.”

Can you begin to see what he says, how many groups are there, the three groups of people that it tests, Priests, Levites and Nethinims. If it's Priests, Levite and Nethinims, and they're going to be tested by this concept of the great test, the great COP for them, what he's begun to do is mix up and muddle up the lines. Because when we start talking about the close of probation for the Priests, where is the COP for the Priests ? I'm going to place it here, this would be the close of probation for the Priests, it's before the second Advent. That's the second Advent, the last way mark, that would be second so it’s back here. If you're OK with that one. The COP for the Levites, where would that be, so that would be here. What's the problem with that? A dot is a point in time and he's already said what? COP for the Levites is progressive. Without defining what progressive means. So if it's progressive, it began here and went to where? Went to the end. So we'll come to the Nethinims, where's the COP for the Nethinims? Here and that to is progresses so where is the end? So it ends here. So the question is when we start talking about the COP for the Nethinims which way mark do you want to pick, you want to pick the SL or Daniel 12:1? When you talk about the COP to the Nethinims? Which way mark do you want to pick? So what he is surreptitiously done, accidentally depending how you look at it, he said there's only three groups, he says only three groups having COP, must there be. Three COP, one for each group, the first one begins where? Raffia, 2019, he holds to that, don't care about the middle one that end one when is the end COP? What way mark do you want to give it? So I'm going to say three groups, Priests, Levites and Nethinims.

 Raphia Daniel 12:1
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 The COP begins at Raffia, where is the end? Which way mark do you want to mark for the end of the COP for the Nethinims? So now you've got a dilemma. Are you going to call it the SL, or you're going to call it Daniel 12:1? So it depends of the point that you want to make. That's what's important to see. So the point that he wants to make, he wants to make it here, Daniel 12:1. So he's going to go from the beginning to the end. But that may or may not be accurate. So what he wants to do is to say that you've only got three groups and the last one is Daniel 12:1, where Daniel says is the COP for humanity, so Daniel 12:1 is marking the COP for which group of people? The Nethinims. Because he's going to say there are only three groups. This is the error he's claiming that I teach. I'm going to read on.

“Michael stands up it is the COP for all mankind and on another line of truth, it is the end of the judgment of the living and the judgment the living began at 9/11 there's three groups of persons that it testifies and purifies Priests, Levites and Nethinims. When Parminder’s unholy emphasis on four, Priests, Levites Nethinims, 144,000, 4 COPs , he also brought confusion in concerning the 144,000 which was a subject in this movement that was generally downplayed for Sister White counsels us not to argue who the 144k are.”

So that's the nice argument because Ellen White says don't worry who 144k are and he's saying if Ellen White says don't worry about it then we should do what ,not worry about it. If I were to say the same thing when Ellen White says don't worry about the subject of the **daily**, will he use the same argument to say ,she says don't worry about it so we shouldn't worry about it. Of course he would not do that .He would give a convoluted argument to prove why the date is an important issue in our history even though it wasn't in their history. The reason that she says we don't need to know who the only 144,000 is because she's living in this history here (1844) and there is no need for anyone to know there. Is no way of anyone knowing when that's going to happen. Why don't they know? Because that information is sealed. There's no way of them knowing that and that's what she says. That's why she downplays this. It's not because we're not required to know who the 144k are. Just think about how crazy that one statement is. Ellen White says let's not worry who the 144k is therefore this movement should also not worry who 144,000 is. Is that a tenable situation? Of course it's not.

” I had assumed it was a literal number but also recognized a symbolic understanding of 144,000 as well, but I did not make it an established point because it was error.”

There is no way that 144,000 is a literal number, it just can’t be when you take a thus sayeth the Lord. Where do you go to Ellen White's writings or the Bible or William Foy's writing? None of them will give you clear and equivocal statement that it's a literal number. You just can't do that.

” Parminder brought out William Foy’s reference of the 144,000 being symbolic and he did so in connection with his teachings that the 144,000 were Priests”

like that's something revolutionary because this movement used to teach 144,000 are whom? Priest and Levite. So I just said it's not Priests and Levites it's only Priests and this thing becomes some heretical statement . Just to try to clarify who they are.

“Bring the point out of William Foy’s reference of who the 144,000 being symbolic and he did so in connection with his teaching that the 144,000 are Priests.”

 The reason why he wants to do that is because he's going to say there are stacks of Levites in the church and what's going to happen, is once you've got a couple of hundreds of ours (Priests) how many Levites you're going to get? 140? How are you going to take that number 140k plus this X number which make up the Levites and then all add up to literally 144,000. That's why he's saying I'm forced to make it symbolic if I'm only making it Priests.

 “These are also circular arguments as they were identified in Revelation. This brought about a justification for making Daniel 12:1 the COP for the 144k. And in so doing opened the door to the idea that the door only closes for the foolish Priests at Raffia.” Now you begin to see where he comes to.” but that the wise continue on to be tested at the next closed door which is Panium , then the next closed door until you get to Daniel 12:1.”

I’ll paraphrase what I'm saying which he’s correctly identified.

 P/2019 L/2021 N/NSL 144k/Daniel 12:1
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 The Priests equal the 144k. That's what I'm saying. So the Priest’s COP is Raffia, I call it 2019. The Levites COP is 2021, Panium. The COP for the Nethinims is the NSL, Daniel 11 verse 41 and I'm saying that 144,000 their COP is Daniel 12:1. So you have one two three four. And the Priest have to navigate their way all the way through this history .And the problem or the issue that he's saying that I'm teaching that's wrong is that a Priest gets to this 2019 test and passes it. But what they must do after that? They must go to 2021, they must go through that test and they have the potential of failing the test .They go to this story and they have the potential of failing the test as you go through. So whether you can see it or not what he wants to tell you is that the Priest’s COP, begins and ends where? Here at Rafia, 2019. So in a few days the COP for the Priests is final and complete. There's no more opportunity for salvation if you're a Priest after 2019. You cannot fail, you're completely sealed for eternity. The story is ended. Michael had stood up for you. That's what he's teaching here. That's why he only has three groups .You'll see this as we read on. It really becomes problematic when you start dealing with this so he's going to say that this is what I would call a hard COP. There's no more opportunity after this event , 2019. So he and all of his followers none of them, or all of them become righteous in this, they're going to give themselves a small window of opportunity. That's what they are going to do between November and January 2020. It's about a month and a week, no, seven weeks, they've got about seven weeks more, window that they have created for themselves because they're into time setting, that they are going to say this is the COP for all of those righteous Priests and after that moment there is no opportunity to be lost. You're sealed. That's the position that they're taking. And the position that we have taken in this movement, that November 9, there is a COP is not a hard COP, we've still got a lot more to navigate through. And what you may not notice as he does that is that what he's doing, he's now shifted to what methodology? Progression, you're going to progress from the Priests to the Levites to the Nethinims, and there's no 144,000. There is no 144k line, and that of itself becomes extremely problematic when you start looking at that because he's destroyed the line of the Nethinims. What way mark is this for the Nethinims? Second coming. This is a second Advent for the Nethinims. This is their harvest .If this is a second advent for the Nethinims, who's the second advent for? You begin to have this nebulous idea of humanity in general. Humanity in general is not a reform line. It's just this nebulous concept, is this nebulous idea which they no longer have a reformed line for unless you have this reform line here, the 144,000. And if you’re to do that you are forced to come to a place where you have a COP for that group of people. That COP is not the COP for the Nethinims. COP to the Nethinims is not marked by Daniel 12:1, it's marked by the NSL, Daniel 11:41. Is the beginning, the beginning and the beginning. So they've switched from a concept of repeat and enlarge to progression only.

“This concept destroys the purification of the church until Michael stands up and destroys the idea that there’s any sign consists of a pure people that are only thereafter joined by other pure people.”

 I explain that. First you have to purify the church. You purify the church what do you do? You purify the world. The world can only come into a purified Church therefore these people have to be pure. What is purification look like? It means you're fully sealed fully perfect ,everything's complete and done .So the Levites need to have had their COP before the SL as the Priests did. 2019, 2021 it's a hard COP, it could be pure people, so that other pure people can come in. All of this is pure outright error. The reason it's error because it's not consistent with the lines which they claim to believe themselves. If they claim to believe that their COP of the Nethinims goes from the SL to this point here ,what they've done is destroyed the line. Because even though they want to call this Daniel 12:1 and Daniel 12:1 is the COP, this is not the COP for Nethinims. How can it be? Because the close of probation if I were just to do this line what follows the COP? Harvest. When is the harvesting of Nethinims? It's here. This is the harvesting of the Nethinims. So how can you have the COP coming after the harvest? The COP has to be before the harvest right here where it's been, placed on this reform line correctly. They're destroying their lines, they're no longer working upon line upon line methodology anymore. What they're doing is going back as Elder Jeff stated in this first presentation, going back to a Laodicean methodology, Laodicean ideology, that doesn't use line upon line to work out its structure. So they have no idea how these lines work even though they claim that they do.

 “His confusion,” my confusion,” provides a model for an impure people until you get to Michael standing up”

You need to ask yourself if what I'm introducing is confusion? Is it an erroneous idea that we don't have a perfect people? It depends how you define perfection. **Perfection is defined by the great test**. Not by something that you're not tested on. If you're not tested on something you can't make a judgment on it. And you know the one thing that we're tested on is the SL issue, we're not tested on any other issue. if you wanted to go back to a Laodicean methodology you would know that that's the whole premise, the foundation upon which Adventism stands. What's the difference between the Adventist Church and the Catholic Church ? The Catholics steel, lie and cheat? They don't. Do Muslims? No. Do atheists? No. Do Adventists? No. The only distinguishing mark between us and them I say in a simple fashion is the Sabbath issue connected with the Sanctuary. That's the only distinguishing mark and that's why Adventists believe it’s becomes the great taste. It's a singular issue not some nebulous idea. So when we take that concept and we apply it correctly, the great test for the Priests is a SL test which is 2014. Then it becomes Raffia for the Levites and Panium for the Nethinims. That's what the great test is. That's where the judgment or the COP would be. **That's what the judgment of the living, ot the great test, which then** **leads to the COP for those people**.

 “As I read these chats, ( I see he's got some personal chats I don't know what they are), I see people that still hold to some of those concepts that were put in place by Parminder’s Satanic ideas.”

So you have to ask yourself the question is this a satanic idea to understand this is the second Advent? Is it a satanic idea that the COP comes before the harvest? If those are satanic ideas then he's introducing truth and error into his own narrative. At least we're fully satanic if we would to hold on to that. These were not a mixture of truth and error and you know the definition of satanic theology is what? A combination of truth and error. They never teach error on its own, they always teach truth and error and that's what we've seen right through this page and a half that we've read. At least what I'm teaching is consistent, is either consistently all wrong, or consistently all right. One of the two. You can never have something that's consistently wrong all the way through. Because there's no model for that. It's always truth and error against the truth. So by definition this must be the truth because it's consistent.

“ Milleritte history is repeated in our history and there was a noted controversy concerning the doctrine of the shut door in sister White's age and thus informing us that there will come again that there will again be a controversy about the closed door in our history”. He's correct on that.” This is that controversy about what a shut door looks like”.

 He's right upon that. What is the shut door of 2014 look like? And the reason why he won't tackle that is because he no longer accepts that in **2014 was the SL, the great test for the Priest**, because if he did he would destroy his own message. So he wants to shift everything to the COP which is COP here for the priest which is Raffia which is not our great test.

“Does the door closed only for the foolish in that particular testing period or does it close for both? We always taught and Parminder would give lip service to the following. We always taught that the everlasting gospel was a three-step testing prophetic message that would develop and demonstrate character among those who were held accountable for the testing process. We further taught that the first two of these prophetic tests first and second angels messages, took place while probationary time was open for those being tested but the third test was different in that it took place when probation closed at the third test and when both classes were then separated”

And this is the error that FFA have held on to from the very beginning. For many years the third way mark, the third test was a singular point in time. If you're familiar with those studies you should know that and then that got expanded and become a period of time. So if we do that, first second when you get to the second we have a point in time which we would call the third. This is what used to be taught and then what we realized , even they would testify to this, that this one became a period of time. They would call it time dilation, they came up with all these fancy theories.
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 But it became a period of time and so the third got put into this and this is straight error. This is not correct; the 3rd AM is not in this history when this is the COP which is what he's just taught. It's not that and the reason you know that because if you go to the GC or you go to EW and you run through the chapter titles it says the third message closed. Sister Tess took us through that study. When does the third angels message close? At the COP. The 3rd AM closes at the COP it doesn't begin at the COP. This is the COP the 3rd AM is here before the COP not after it. This is the error that they are promulgating which they're accusing us of doing.

” Both the parable of the tares and that of the net plainly teach that there is no time when all the wicked will turn to God”.

Now he's going to quote from the SOP obviously. The SOP is correct, he's quoting from COL page 123. This is interesting because this is the ABC the one two three of all of this and they've got it wrong. They don't know where to place the work of the third angel. **It occurs before probation closes not after probation closes**. The harvest is not the work of the third angel. It's clear to see that if you go into inspiration.

 “Therefore we taught that the third test was different than the first two( which was wrong) and we explained it as a test where character is manifested or demonstrated”

. Where do you manifest character? When the plants are in the field not when they're out of the field.

 “A test such as the pregnancy test where the woman urinate” so he goes onto this this model that he generated which is all wrong,” no probation either red or blue, foolish or wise. Parminder destroyed their understanding by turning three COPs into four and in manufacturing confusion about who the 144k were.”

Because he wants to go back to a broken model to say that the 144,000 are both the Priests and Levites and you can see where he's headed to that he wants to make it a literal number. This is just taken from a portion of one presentation, that's one of I don't know how many presentations that they've made. You can clearly see how this is all a combination of truth and error that they profess to give lip service to these lines but they don't believe in the lines, they don't even know where to place the 3rd AM. They're placing the 3rd AM in the history of the harvest. Where we know the third angel doesn't even do any harvesting. If you're not clear on that just go to this chart, first angel, second angel, third angel, the third just finishes its work and then what do you see? Harvest, the harvest is after the third angel not the third angel in the harvest .This is the mistake that they're making. It's one after another after another .We can go on and on showing you why they are completely wrong. I don't know what else to say.

Prayer