When we approach our line, one of the most difficult things that I would suggest we need to do, is to juggle multiple concepts at the same time. When we come to a history like 2014 to 2019, everything can become confusing if we just look at it from one direction.

We need to try and practice looking at these things from multiple directions. What I mean by that, is when we think about the line of 144,000, it begins in 1989, and prior to that you have this 10-year history of the Moral Majority. And then we arrived at the Time of the End.

We know that this is our overarching line, it is our template from which we take our fractals. We come down to 2014 to 2019, we do not see 2014 on the line of 144,000, so, we will just note that it is in here.
But we have this overarching line of 144,000 and it shows how our reformed line begins. Of the line of Acts 27, you come to 1989, and you have two Presidents, Reagan and Bush. And the Moral Majority was Instrumental in the election of both Presidents.

So, we can see on the line of the 144,000, when we come to this history, we have the rise of Jerry Falwell, this attempt to make America Great Again, restore its Morality through the action of the Political System.

And then as we trace the reformed line of the 144,000 through Raphia, Panium, Sunday Law, Close of Probation, Second Advent, are all just one history of progression. the result of the Moral Majority and the election of Ronald Reagan.

Moral Majority, backlash to the Civil Rights Movement, issues of Equality, they get Reagan elected and then George Bush. 2014 is not on this reform line, it's progression from then on in.
But we have another way to look at this, we come to the line of the World, and it shows us a more complicated picture, that we can see this Waymark that doesn't exist here, 2014 to 2019, and all the sudden 2014 is the Time of the End.

And what happens in its history? You have Jerry Falwell Jr, you have their own form of Moral Majority, and here they can see Reagan elected and then George Bush elected. Whereas in this history it is the rise of Donald Trump.

Prior to 1979, 1989, prior to the election of Reagan, they had Presidents who were quite liberal; Jimmy Carter comes to mind. And quite progressive in their policies. So, this election of Reagan, is a backlash against progressive Presidents. We come to a reform line where we are on the line of the 144,000, we see the United States downhill all this time.
Acts 20:7, the Ship from the Time of the End, when they go on a Voyage they were not meant to go on, to when the Storm strikes, they’re just a mess all the way till Shipwreck.

Then we zoom in, and it’s a lot like looking at Stars in the night sky. If you were to stand and just look with your naked eye, you might look at a bright Star and think it is just one Star. And then you zoom in, and you see that in fact it is a Galaxy, and it is composed of many Stars. And you zoom in some more and you see hundreds if not thousands of Stars.

So, it is the same thing with our Reform Lines, we can zoom out and see this Ship from the very beginning, the combination of Church and State, the introduction of Conservative Presidents working with the Church System backlash against Progressive Presidents. And then it all goes downhill, all the way through the Sunday Law.

We zoom in and we see that the story is more complicated.
Prior to 2014 you have Progressive Presidents, namely Barrack Obama. There is a backlash to Barrack Obama, and we see the election of Jerry Falwell, we see the election of Donald Trump, through again Jerry Falwell Jr, and his own form of the Moral Majority, a collection of Evangelical Leaders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORLD</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jerry Falwell Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moral Majority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I don’t think we realize how important, not just in understanding this, but also understanding our Primary Waymarks, that we can conceptualize them in these different ways.

So, when we come to this history of 2014 to 2019, we’ve tried to Incorporate some of that. And that is an explanation of why we see Michael Moore, he does Formalize their message in 2018.

So, you can introduce him to this story as if the Time of the End were 2014, and then he's going to have an Increase of Knowledge and Formulate a message, known as Fahrenheit 11/9.

But that isn’t our only Narrative, we also have the 144,000. And we are using the Priesthood line when we look at 2014 to 2019. And we see that in that Plowing, that early history, and the Plowing history of the Priests, 144,000, we must place Michael Moore and his ascendancy, because we’re working off these Two Different Concepts or Reformed Lines.

So, when we understand 2014, 2019, the Plowing of the World History, we must see it in these Two Different Ways. first, learning from the Preparation and Plowing of the Priests, 144,000, and we bring that in and
see that they are going to *Mirror that Image*. A *10-year* history where they meant to wake up. In *2004*, being the release of *Fahrenheit 9/11*.

Our *Reform Lines* are simple when we keep each *Element Separate*, but where we must start *Juggling* the *Different Elements*, the fact that this is the introduction of *Michael Moore*. But he is also relevant to the *144,000*; then we must conceptualize *Multiple Elements* at the *same* time.

So, we are learning from the *Plowing* of the *Priests* or the *144,000*, both have this *10-year* history where they are meant to wake up; *1979* to *1989*, *Moral Majority, Afghanistan war*, etc.

We see then this *10-year* history of preparation for the *world*; *2004* to *2014*, and this is where you see a *Massive Global Change*.

2009, the *Global Financial Crisis, 2008, 2009*, launches the *Ultra Conservative Tea Party*. This *Tea Party* is a *Catalyst* in the rise of *Donald Trump*.

The next *Catalyst* is *Obama’s* re-election in *2012*, you can already see within their *10-year* history, that they are working off our own *Waymarks*; *2009, 2012*, are *Waymarks in the history of the Priests*.

So, even in their *10 years* they are following the same *Pattern*; *2009, 2012*, the two catalysts years that bring us to *2014* and the rise of *Donald Trump*.

![Timeline Diagram](image)

We looked at the documentary of *Frontline, Zero Tolerance*, and it shows in *Steve Bannon’s* own words as he takes part in that *documentary*,
because of the Tea Party Movement, and then Obama’s re-election, he brought together a Coalition of Steven Miller and Jeff Sessions with himself at the Breitbart Embassy in Washington DC, and they Formulated a Plan, of how they were going to bring in this New Government.

2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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And when I say, New Government, is not just a New President, it is the Overthrow of the whole American Establishment, as it has been for a couple of hundred years.

They decide that the first step is to Overthrow the Establishment within their own Republican Party. And they begin that work in 2014, with the Speaker of the House Eric Cantor, losing his election; the first Speaker of the House to lose his Own Election in the history of the United States. And why he does this, is because the Republican Party plus Fox News, his own Allies, turn on him and essentially, it’s the beginning of an Internal Purge.
We understand, Adolphe Hitler; what did he do when he came into power? He Purged his own Party.

It was known as the “Night of Long Knives”. He sent out all these men, with it’s so called knives, they used guns as well; and Assassinated, slaughtered, members of his own Party, the people that helped bring him to power.

Stalin, what did he do when he took power? First Step

Hitler is elected, he comes to power in 1933, why is he not doing a Worldwide work until 1939? It takes him six years. What does he got to do? He’s got to Purge his own Country. First his own Party, then a Dictatorial Control over his own Party.

Stalin, comes to Power in the early 1920s, why does he take so long, he’s not even fighting Germany until 1941. And Germany, is his Archenemy, why does it take him about 15, 18 years, because Stalin purged more than anyone, he hardly had a Competent General left by the time World War II began. They always begin Purging, first of all the people that got them to power, their own Party, and then a Nationwide Purge.

So, the first beginning of a Dictatorship was this Purge in 2014, and it is Orchestrated by Steve Bannon, Stephen Miller, and Jeff’s Sessions. And the key issue that they are working off, is the issue of Immigration.
Because Eric Cantor and those Swamp-like Republicans, they were Mainstream, Middle-of-the-road Conservatives, they were willing to compromise with Barack Obama on Immigration. They needed to get rid of all of them and bring in Hardline Conservatives.

In 2014 2016 a win with Donald Trump, and what did Steve Bannon say about 2016? He says, this was not an Act of Man, then continues to say, this was an Act of God, this was Divine Providence. He won’t even take total personal credit for this, because he sees this is the Work of God.

2018, there is a Formalization, we looked at the Trump Prophecy, we have seen this coming together, this rising of Donald Trump, as the Front Man of the whole Movement. And we see that we have looked at it from two different ways. We have looked at it from the Clearly Religious Aspect of it, when you see Jerry Falwell, the Evangelical Leaders, how they came together to see him elected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric C</td>
<td>Fahrenheit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then we looked at Steve Bannon and Steven Miller, and their work to get him elected. And we highlighted the point, that even though we can talk about the Trump Prophecy and Jerry Falwell, and that it is so Clearly Religious; what did we say about Steve Bannon’s movement? It’s Religious.
And again, he’s an **Extremely Helpful Individual (Steve Bannon)** because you can find so much in his **own** words, he cannot stay quiet.

So, we went through some quotes, how he spoke in the **Vatican** in **2014**, he says that **godlessness, immorality**, has sapped the strength of the **Jewish Christian West**. So, he sees not only **Secular Immorality** is the **Enemy**, he also sees **Muslim Countries** is the **Enemy**.

We can focus a lot on his beliefs about **Radical Islam**, but it is also our **Secularism** that he sees as the **Enemy**.

He says that when **America** was **Great**, what it needed to be brought back to, was when there was **Economic Prosperity**, through **Capitalism**, and those **Capitalists** were **Strong Christians**. They are either strong in the **Jewish Faith** or strong in the **Christian Faith**.

So, when they need to make **America Great Again**, who do they need to bring in? It needs to be connected to this **Narrative**, that **America** is only great as a **Jewish Christian Nation**. He says, there is a **Crisis** and that **Crisis is a Crisis of faith**, a **Weakening** of Jewish Christian **Ideals**. Or you could say, **Jewish Christian Beliefs** or **Morality**; brought on by the recent **Popularity** of **Two Enemies**, **Secularism**, and the **Muslim World**.

He says, if you look back at the long history of the **Jewish Christian West** struggle against **Islam**, I believe that our **Forefathers** kept their stance, and I think they did the **Right Thing**, I think they kept it **Out of the World**.

So, it says they kept **Muslims** at a distance, they did not **Allow** them into their **Countries**. And he says whether it was at **Vienna** or **Other Places**, whether it was at **Vienna**, what **Message** does that bring us back to?

He says that their **Forefathers** defended the **Jewish Christian in Europe** by keeping the **Muslims at bay**, at **Vienna**.

What is he referring to? **Restraint of Radical Islam** at **Vienna in 1529**.

Why do we talk about **1529**? The **490 years**

It is amazing how much of our message **Steve Bannon** would be **Familiar** with, if he went through this. But it brings up the **Siege of Vienna**, as an example of how their **Forefathers**, kept the **Muslim world at Bay**.
But it is all built on this **Narrative of Protecting America**. He does not say this, but you can see through the **Policies**.

I hope everyone by now, has looked at **Stephen Miller**, particularly as **Keeping America White**, and **Jewish / Christian**.

So, we went through that history, then we went through the history of **Michael Moore**, and we did that a couple of times. **Fahrenheit 9/11**, he did **Roger** in **May 1989** during **Fahrenheit 9/11, 2004** is explaining **2001** in multiple details. Then in **2014** the **Flint Michigan Crisis, 2018, September, October, Fahrenheit 11/9** and how closely his message **Paralleled** ours.

So, we see this is the history of the **Plowing of the World**. And we come to **2019**, and there is a completely **Polarized United States**; and that is being **Demonstrated** through the **Litmus Test**, that was the **Impeachment Proceeding**.

So, this is the **Plowing of the World**, we come down through the history of their **Early Rain**, then the history of their **Latter Rain**.
We understand, that on a Reform Line we have five Primary Waymarks; we have 2014, 2019, 2021, Sunday Law, and the Close of Probation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>SL</th>
<th>COP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>LR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GC 605.3

So, which Waymark is 2021 for a Nethinim? It’s the Sunday Law.

When it talks about the Sunday Law, it is speaking of this one (Daniel 11:1). So, I want us to remember that what we call Panium or 2021, is the Sunday Law for the World.

Great Controversy, 605.3, Heretofore those who presented the truths of the third angel’s message have often been regarded as mere alarmists. Their predictions that religious intolerance would gain control in the United States, that church and state would unite to persecute those who keep the commandments of God, have been pronounced groundless and absurd. It has been confidently declared that this land could never become other than what it has been, the defender of religious freedom. But as the question of enforcing Sunday observance is widely agitated, the events so long doubted and disbelieved is seen to be approaching, and the third message will produce an effect which it could not have had before.

So, where did we place this quote; But as the question of enforcing Sunday observance is widely agitated, the events so long doubted and believed is seen to be approaching.

So, what is that event, and where would we place it on our Reform Line? We would place it at 2021, and we placed the Agitation at the Sunday Law.
Before the Event, the people said would never happen, before it Approaches there is an Agitation of the subject.

So, when we see a Waymark Approaching, where are we, and how much light are we given in advance?

So, if we see it Approaching, can we see it if Something is Blocking our view? No, because this is Agitation for the World, it must be in front of them. Therefore, we would Place the Agitation, at what we call Panium or 2021, the Agitation is prior to the Sunday Law.

What then do we do for them, in their History of the Harvest?

We have seen the history of their Ploughing; we’ve seen this Polarizing Message between Two Groups; those who believe Trump is Hitler and those who believe he is Cyrus. We come down to their Harvest, and the Cry is Pronounced to Come out of Her my People, progressively from the Sunday Law to the Loud Cry.

What kind of message are we giving these people? We are going to give them a Bible Message about External Events.

Let us Differentiate them. So, this is a purely Internal Biblical Message, Biblical only, not connected to the External Events. And this one is Prophetic, explaining the External Events, like World War I, World War II and World War III.

So, it’s a connection between the Biblical and the Prophetic, explaining External Events.
Does any of these require people to keep something that we could or would turn Morality, a bad term for it?

So, when we take this message are we going to be telling people, you need to Dress Modestly, you need to Remove your Jewelry, you need to be Vegan; are we going to tell people any of that?

So, someone said, no other Requirements, all they must do is accept this one External Message, and that is it. We bring to them, no message about Diet Reform, or Health Reform.

And another brother said, no other Requirement, accept the Testing Present Truth Message of their Dispensation.

And another brother said, all Truths, they're Required to take everything that we have.

My understanding, when people talked about a Biblical Message, they are talking about this specific Testing Message for their Dispensation; not going to the Bible to show them quotes about Diet or Jewelry or anything like that. It is Biblical Only, related to the One Test.

That is my understanding what people meant when they said Biblical; a Biblical Message relating to the Harvest Test of their Dispensation.

Do we agree all Truths are Required, plus the Testing Message?

So, this one says Biblical Only, but this Biblical Message relates directly and specifically to the Test of their Dispensation. So, if we were to speak to them about Equality, for instance, we are going to go through Inspiration to prove Equality.

This one is a Prophetic Message, World War I, World War 2, explaining External Events. The difference I want us to see is related to what we are bringing them. Are we bringing them just some type of External Message, like you Don't have to be Christian, you Don't need to Keep the Sabbath, you Don't need to Change your Diet, or any of your Practices; just accept this One Test. Whatever is your Testing Message is, that is all you need.

Because some people, and I do not mean this in a bad way; people are mostly confused over this. And so, this is how confused people have
become, where we have such **Different Narratives** among ourselves, of what this history looks like.

So, we want to come into some type of **Unity**, as much as we can about what the **Lines** teach us regarding this history. Are people **Required** to accept **All the Truth** that we understand, whether they relate to **Sabbath**, or **Diet**, or **Dress**?

Plus, the **Testing Message** of their **Harvest**? Or do we give another **Message**, other than their **One Testing Message**? This specifically, is what’s **dividing** people.

We are **Divided** into **Two Groups**, the **World** is **Divided** into **Two Groups**, so, I asked people, to give their idea, their summary in a couple of words, of what those **Two Groups** are. And someone said, **Conservative** verses **Liberal**.

So, we all agree on this point, **Conservative** versus **Liberal**. We are taking that because we are looking back at the **Plowing History** and seeing the **Split** that is happening within **America**.

**Moving to another topic**

So, when speaking about **Ancient Israel**, what type of **Government** did **God** require **Ancient Israel** to have?

When we discuss **Ancient Israel**, we are talking about the **Glorious Land**. So, this is the **Original Glorious Land**, and where is **Alpha Glorious Land**, and what is the **Omega Glorious Land**? The **United States**.

So, when it comes to the **Government of the United States**, it rises in **1798**, and has **Two Horns** like a **Lamb**. What are those **Two Horns**: The **Two Horns** of the **Lamb like Beast**, represent, **Republicanism** and **Protestantism**.

So, were those **horns** allowed to touch, no, those **horns** were not allowed to **touch**, they are **separated**.

So, we know that the **Omega Glorious Land**; the **United States**, what is their behavior towards **Church and State**? It’s **Separate**.
So, Alpha glorious Land, what type of Government do they have? Because we know Omega Glorious Land Separates Church from State.

If we just think of one man, Samuel, what kind of Power did Samuel have, Religious or Political? Religious? So, when they are going to War, is it purely Religious? No, it's also Political.

So, can we see in one man, just in Samuel, he was both Head of their Religion and of their Government. So, Ancient Israel it is an incorporation of Church and State. We can see that neatly with Samuel because it talks about how he Ruled and Judged Israel, but we also know that his Title is Religious.

Ancient Israel

| ____________________________________________ |
| Samuel        | >>>   | Religious  |
|               | >>>   | Political  |

So, Ancient Israel is this Combination of Church and State, in one person. It gets a little bit more complicated once they come down to the Kings, but when David commits Adultery, what happens to him? David is judged.

So, if David commits Adultery and he’s judged; is the United States being Judged because Trump Committed adultery? No

David’s judged because he committed Adultery, Trump is not judged because he committed adultery, so even when they had Kings, they're still a Theocracy, they're still Controlled by the Dictates of God’s Requirements, even under Kings.

So, that type of Government that Incorporates with a Religious and Political, you would call a Theocracy. Ancient Israel, Alpha Glorious Land, a Combination of Church and state, Omega Glorious Land, Church and State Separated. So, what Methodology do you have to use?
You wouldn’t use Compare and Contrast, because if you use Compare and Contrast, what would you say? Glorious Land Church State Union, the United States Glorious Land, Church State Union, that is the Methodology the Religious Right are using.

What Methodology do we have to use? We must use Dispensationalism, otherwise we will side with Ben Carson. Ben Carson says that Church and State Separation does not mean that the two cannot work together.

So, at the beginning they are a Church State Union.

Let us use Samuel as an example of that; it is Church plus State, when did this Theocracy end?

Ancient Israel

|________________________________|
|Samuel  >>>  Church = State|

We know they been in Captivity to Babylon, they come out of that, they go into Captivity to Rome, but to be God's Denominated People this is what they expect.

Ancient Israel

|________________________________|
|Samuel  >>>  Church = State|

So, where would we place the end of that; after they come out from Babylon what do they do? They Rebuild the Walls of Jerusalem and they Set up a Government.

So, I would suggest that they are still under this type of model even through Captivity as they come out of Captivity.
So, they attempt to **restart** this, then they go into **Captivity to Rome**. What does do the **Pharisees** expect to happen? They expect to go back to a **Theocratic Government**.

It’s a reasonable expectation when we place ourselves in their shoes, and we look at what they have had for how many hundreds of years. It becomes reasonable that a deliverer is raised up, and they are going to be able to **Return to a Theocracy**, which is **State Power** and **Religious Power**.

So, the **Pharisees** are expecting to return to **Theocracy**, **John** is expecting a return to **Theocracy**, what does **Christ** give them?

The point in time when they go from this **Theocratic** model of **Ancient Israel** to the early **Church**, which is not a **Combination** of **Church and State**.

![ANCIENT ISRAEL](image)
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**Ancient Israel**, from the history of **Moses** all the way through until the history of **Christ** and the **Early church**, is a **Combination** of **Church and State**.

When **Jesus** comes and sets up His **Disciples**, the **Jewish Leadership** is passed by, the **490 ends**, **God’s** people have gone from a **Theocracy Church and State**, to just **Church Power**. So, I would agree with that model, that they are a **Theocracy Church State Combination** through the history of **Ancient Israel**; from **Moses** to the time of **Christ**, recognizing their **Periods of Captivity**.

When we go to the model of **Daniel 2**, it **Compares and Contrasts** two **Kingdoms; Two Kingdoms** or **Churches**, and what are they? You have **God’s**, the **Mountain**, and **Satan’s**, what is Satan’s? **Satan’s** is **The Image**.
So, this Image of the Statue in Daniel chapter 2, what is it composed of? It’s composed of,

**Babylon**  **Medo-Persia**  **Greece**  **and**  **Rome**

And so, if you were to go to Daniel chapter 11, it is going to talk about Babylon, but you know it’s, Medo-Persia, Greece, and Rome.

So, it talks about Alexander the Great, he is overthrown, it’s divided into the Four Winds of Heaven until you have 2, Seleucus and Ptolemy. What term does it give for Seleucus?

So, if we want to turn to verse 5 and 6, is the introduction to these 2 Kingdoms; Seleucus and Ptolemy; Lysimachus and Cassandra have been done away with.

So, it talks about Seleucus and Ptolemy, but it doesn't name the individuals, it refers to them under 2 Different titles. What are those 2 Different titles?

If you were to go to verse 5 and verse 6, in verse 5, it's talking about Ptolemy in Egypt; but it doesn't say Ptolemy or Egypt, so, then how does it describe him? It describes him as the King of the South.

So, Ptolemy down in Egypt is the king of the south. So, then who is Seleucus? Seleucus is the king of the north.

So, when we talk about the Statue of Daniel 2, it takes us through
But **Prophetically** how do we define those **Kingdoms**, and what are each of these?

They all come under the **Title** of the **Kings of the North**.

**Jeremiah 1:15**, starting in verse 13, *And the word of the Lord came unto me the second time, saying, What seest thou? And I said, I see a seething pot; and the face thereof is toward the north. Then the Lord said unto me, Out of the north an evil shall break forth upon all the inhabitants of the land. For, lo, I will call all the families of the kingdoms of the north, saith the Lord; and they shall come, and they shall set every one his throne at the entering of the gates of Jerusalem, and against all the walls thereof roundabout and against all the cities of Judah.*

So, when **God** is going to **judge** his people, who does he bring against them? He brings the **king of the north**. Except it doesn't say, **king** or **Kingdom**, it says, **KINGDOMS** plural.

So, it says, **God** will call all the families of the kingdoms of the north and they shall come one after the other. **Babylon** came, **Medo-Persia** came, **Greece** came, **Rome** came.

And we have another witness. **Revelation chapter 17:3**. He sees a picture, a **Woman** riding a **Scarlet** colored **Beast**, that **Beast** having **Seven Heads** and **Ten Horns**.

The **Woman** is riding the **Beast**, what is that a **symbol** of, and who has the reigns? The **Woman**. So, is this a **Church, State Relationship**, and so
who's in power? The Church is: that's the model of the king of the north, Church over State.

So, we're seeing in the description the Woman riding the Beast, a Woman in Bible Prophecy representing Church, and a Beast, representing State; the Church in Control over the State, so this is a Church, State Relationship.

So, when we come and look at this Beast, what are the Seven Heads? Are they the Kingdoms of Bible Prophecy? Or are they Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece, and Rome?

Because people would go to this verse, Revelation 17:3, and have Two Different Stories. One would see this is all the Kings of Europe, and one would see those Seven Heads are the Seven Kingdoms of Bible Prophecy starting with Babylon.

Which one do we see it as? We see it as Kingdoms of Bible prophecy.

We're having a Photographic Flash of this Woman in the history of 1798, her Cup is Full, but this is Satan's Church since the very beginning. This is the King of the North or the Church, State Relationship; from the history of Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece. Pagan Rome, Papal Rome, all through that history, the Beast has Seven Heads, the Kingdoms of Bible Prophecy.

So, if we take that picture and see, that this Woman is Controlling the Seven Kingdoms of Bible Prophecy, all those Kingdoms are the King of the North. Then all these Kingdoms starting in Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece, and Rome, must be a Church, State Relationship with the Church in Control of the State.
So, come down to Medo-Persia, who controls Medo-Persia, Church or State? Does the Church control Medo-Persia, does their Religion control it, or is it Controlled by State Power?

So, we're taking these Kingdoms; option 1, some people say State. How is Medo-Persia Controlled, through the Church or through the State?

So, some say Both, some say State, and some say, Church.

So, if we turn to the story of Daniel chapter 6, you have all these Government Officials and they're angry at Daniel, and they want to trip him, so what are they going to do? All the presidents of the kingdom, the governors, and the princes, the counsellors, and the captains, have consulted together to establish a royal statue, and to make a firm decree, that whosoever shall ask a petition of any God or man for thirty days, save of thee, O king, he shall be cast into the den of lions.
The King signs a Law that says you're not allowed to make a request of any god, only to me. And then he sees that his favorite, Daniel, has Broken that Law, and the Punishment is Death, and what does Darius want to do?

So, if Darius wrote the Law, why can't he Change his own law?

Darius is not seen as Mortal by his people; this is why he can't Change the Law. Because to his people, Darius, King of Medo-Persia, he is not just a King, he's Deified, he is a type of God.

|______________________________|
| DARIUS  >>>  KING |
| >>>  GOOD |

So, to his people he has two Hats. How many Hats does Samuel have? He has two Hats, it is a Theocracy, State Power and Religious Power, Incorporated into a Theocracy. Darius is the same, he is a King but he is not just the King, he is also Deified. he is the Spiritual Leader of Medo-Persia.

So, when he Signs a Decree as a god, saying, you can't make requests, you can't Pray and Worship any other god except Me, because I'm a god, I'm your Special god, you can't Pray to anyone else.

When he signs that Decree as a god then he comes back, he takes off his god Hat and he puts on his King Hat and he comes back as a King and he wants to Change the Decree, can he change it? No, Darius as King Cannot Change what he wrote into Law as god.
So, when we see the history of Ancient Israel, this is the True King of the North. God's kingdom, Zion in the sides of the North. It's the True King of the North and we talk about the Counterfeit the Counterfeit King of the North.

TRUE KN  
ANCIENT ISRAEL

|______________________________________|
|SAMUEL  CHURCH + STATE

COUNTER KN  |______________________________________|
|DARIUS   >>>  KING
|>>>  GOOD

So, what type of System of Government is Satan going to Counterfeit? He sees a Theocracy, Church and State are Incorporated into One Person, he Counterfeits that with Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece, Rome, you get Kings like Darius, they are Church and State Incorporated into One Person.

So, I tricked you all when I said State or Church and sister Dorcas chose to create a third option and say both, Church and State. And I agreed with her option, Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece, Rome, they're Controlled by a Church and State Relationship.

But who is over who? Church is over State. So, Darius as God controls Darius as king.

So, this is Church State, this is Church State, this is history of the Cross. And now what does God do? He Separates the Church from the State, in the history of Christ, 34 AD.
So, the King of the North, through the history, from Moses to Christ has this Church State Model whether Incorporated into a Theocracy its Counterfeited with Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece, Rome, those Ancient Kingdoms are also a Theocracy.

Alexander the Great, he believed he was a god did he not? That's why he named himself Alexander the Great. No historian calls him Alexander the Great that's just the title he chose for himself.

So, then God separates Church from State, and his people are Incorporated into this Church. So, Satan, works by Counterfeiting, what does he have to do? Separate Church from the State. When does that happen?

If God did this in the history of Christ, what does Satan have to do to his kingdom?

King Clovis of France, if he wants to get something done, whose Permission does he need for his People, the Franks. Is he a king and God? So, Clovis he is a King, but he is not the Head of their Religion.

Who is the Head of their Religion? The Pope.

So, can we see what has happened? Darius is both, King and God. You come down to Clovis, and he is only King. So, what has Satan then done? He Separated. So, it must happen prior to 1798.
When does Satan Counterfeit this Separating of Church and State? Because we know that Darius, he is King and God, you come down to Clovis, he is only a king, he has no Religious Leadership of his People.

The point I want to make is, we see that this is a Counterfeit of this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True KN</th>
<th>Ancient</th>
<th>CROSS 34 AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>___ Israel ___________________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel</td>
<td>Church + State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterfeit KN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>___________________________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darius --- King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--- Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--- Church + State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then we see God do a work, separating Church from State.

So, by the time we come into the 1260 years, we have the Papacy over who? The kings of Europe, but they are separated.
So, God separated Church and State, Satan separated Counterfeit work of separating Church and State. Now you don't have a Theocracy where the King is also God. Now you have a Pope Religious Power separate and distinct to the State Power. Just as God's Church, the early Church of the Disciples, separated itself from the State Power.

This conclude our presentation